CITY OF NORTH LAUDERDALE

COMMISSION MEETING

WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 14, 2016

BUDGET WORKSHOP – 5:00 p.m.

WATER CONTROL DISTRICT MEETING – 6:00 p.m.

REGULAR MEETING
(Immediately following Water Control District)

AMENDED AGENDA

1. INVOCATION AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE – Vice Mayor Hilton

2. ROLL CALL

Mayor Jack Brady
Vice Mayor David G. Hilton
Commissioner Jerry Graziose
Commissioner Rich Moyle
Commissioner Lorenzo Wood
City Manager Ambreen Bhatti
City Attorney Samuel S. Goren
City Clerk Patricia Vancheri

3. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

   a. July 12, 2016

4. BUDGET RELATED MATTERS

   a. ORDINANCE – First Reading – Ad Valorem Tax Rate FY 2016/17

      ▪ Motion, second and vote to read
      ▪ Attorney reads title
      ▪ Staff presentation (Susan Nabors)
      ▪ Public Hearing opened
      ▪ Public discussion
      ▪ Public Hearing closed
      ▪ Commission motion and second to adopt
      ▪ Commission discussion
      ▪ Commission vote
AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF NORTH LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA, ESTABLISHING AND ADOPTING THE CITY OF NORTH LAUDERDALE AD VALOREM TAX OPERATING MILLAGE LEVY RATE AT 7.5000 MILLS, OR $7.5000 PER THOUSAND DOLLARS OF TAXABLE ASSESSED PROPERTY VALUE, FOR THE 2016 TAX YEAR, REPRESENTING AN INCREASE OF 10.65% FROM THE PRIOR YEAR’S ROLLED-BACK RATE OF 6.778 MILLS FOR ALL PURPOSES; PROVIDING FOR CONFLICTS; AND, PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

b. **RESOLUTION - Fire/Rescue Special Assessment Program**

- Motion, second and vote to read
- Attorney reads title
- Staff presentation (Susan Nabors)
- Public Hearing opened
- Public discussion
- Public Hearing closed
- Commission motion and second to adopt
- Commission discussion
- Commission vote

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF NORTH LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA, RELATING TO THE PROVISION OF FIRE RESCUE SERVICES, FACILITIES AND PROGRAMS IN THE CITY OF NORTH LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA; IMPOSING FIRE RESCUE ASSESSMENTS AGAINST ASSESSED PROPERTY LOCATED WITHIN THE CITY OF NORTH LAUDERDALE FOR THE FISCAL YEAR BEGINNING OCTOBER 1, 2016; APPROVING THE RATE OF ASSESSMENT; APPROVING THE ASSESSMENT ROLL; PROVIDING FOR CONFLICTS; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

c. **RESOLUTION – Residential Solid Waste Assessment Program**

- Motion, second and vote to read
- Attorney reads title
- Staff presentation (Susan Nabors)
- Public Hearing opened
- Public discussion
- Public Hearing closed
- Commission motion and second to adopt
- Commission discussion
- Commission vote

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF NORTH LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA, RELATING TO THE PROVISION OF RESIDENTIAL SOLID WASTE COLLECTION SERVICES IN THE CITY OF NORTH LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA; APPROVING THE ASSESSMENT RATE FOR RESIDENTIAL SOLID WASTE COLLECTION SERVICES FOR THE FISCAL YEAR BEGINNING ON OCTOBER 1, 2016; IMPOSING A RESIDENTIAL SOLID WASTE COLLECTION ASSESSMENT AGAINST ASSESSED PROPERTY LOCATED WITHIN THE CITY OF NORTH LAUDERDALE FOR THE FISCAL YEAR BEGINNING ON OCTOBER 1, 2016; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY; PROVIDING FOR CONFLICTS; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.
d. ORDINANCE – First Reading - Adoption of Fiscal Year 2016/17 Budget

- Motion, second and vote to read
- Attorney reads title
- Staff presentation (Susan Nabors)
- Public Hearing opened
- Public discussion
- Public Hearing closed
- Commission motion and second to adopt
- Commission discussion
- Commission vote

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF NORTH LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA, ADOPTING THE ATTACHED ANNUAL BUDGET, AS THE CITY OF NORTH LAUDERDALE’S FINAL BUDGET FOR THE 2016/17 FISCAL YEAR, ALLOCATING, APPROPRIATING, AND AUTHORIZING EXPENDITURES IN ACCORDANCE WITH AND FOR THE PURPOSES AS STATED IN SAID BUDGET, EXCEPTING CERTAIN APPROPRIATIONS AND EXPENDITURES REQUIRING FURTHER CITY COMMISSION ACTION AND/OR AUTHORIZATION; AUTHORIZING BUDGETARY CONTROL BY DEPARTMENTAL FUND TOTAL FOR ALL APPROPRIATIONS EXCEPT FOR AMOUNTS ALLOCATED FOR CAPITAL OUTLAY ITEMS; PROVIDING FOR THE AUTHORIZATION OF ALL BUDGETED EMPLOYMENT POSITIONS AND THE AUTHORIZATION FOR THE CITY MANAGER TO MAKE TEMPORARY APPOINTMENTS TO BUDGETED POSITIONS; PROVIDING FOR THE CREATION OF TRUST FUND(S) FOR RECEIPT OF MONIES BY GIFT, GRANT, OR OTHERWISE, WHEN THE SAME CONTAINS AS A CONDITION OF ACCEPTANCE, A LIMITATION OR RESTRICTION REGARDING THE USE OR EXPENDITURE OF THE SAME AND THE MANAGEMENT AND DISBURSEMENT THEREOF; PROVIDING FOR A TEMPORARY OR PERMANENT SUSPENSION OF THE OMNIBUS APPROPRIATION AND EXPENDITURE AUTHORIZATION CONTAINED HEREIN BY CITY COMMISSION RESOLUTION; PROVIDING THAT THE BUDGET HEREBY ADOPTED MAY BE ADJUSTED OR MODIFIED BY SUBSEQUENT RESOLUTION SO LONG AS SUCH ADJUSTMENT OR MODIFICATION SHALL NOT RESULT IN A VARIATION OF THE TOTAL BUDGET, PROVIDING THAT IN THE EVENT A VARIATION FROM THE TOTAL BUDGET IS OR BECOMES NECESSARY, THIS ORDINANCE SHALL BE AMENDED ONLY BY A SUBSEQUENT ORDINANCE CONSISTENT WITH CHAPTER 166, FLORIDA STATUTES, THE CHARTER AND CODE OF ORDINANCES OF THE CITY OF NORTH LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA; PROVIDING FOR THE AUTHORIZATION TO LAPSE ALL ENCUMBRANCES OUTSTANDING AT SEPTEMBER 30, 2016, AND AUTHORIZATION TO RE-APPROPRIATE ALL UNEXPENDED CAPITAL APPROPRIATIONS, INCLUDING LAPSED CAPITAL OUTLAY ENCUMBRANCES IN THE 2016/17 BUDGET YEAR PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY; PROVIDING FOR CONFLICT; AND, PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

5. PRESENTATIONS

6. PROCLAMATIONS

a. **GG’S of New York Restaurant and Pizzeria – 40th Anniversary**

b. **Leukemia & Lymphoma Society Blood Cancer Awareness Month - September**
7. PUBLIC DISCUSSION

8. QUASI-JUDICIAL ITEMS

a. **ORDINANCE – First Reading - VAR - 16-03 Easy Self Storage**
   Folio # 49411280020 W. McNab Road and Avon Lane
   APPLICANT: SS McNab LLC

   - All interested parties wishing to speak on this item are sworn in
   - Staff presentation (Tammy Reed-Holguin)
   - Public Hearing opened
   - Public comments
   - Public Hearing closed
   - Commission discussion
   - Commission motion and vote

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF NORTH LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA, APPROVING AND GRANTING VARIANCES FROM THE NORTH LAUDERDALE CODE OF ORDINANCES, SECTION 106-490 (10) REGARDING OVERALL BUILDING HEIGHT WHERE 45 FEET IS ALLOWED AND 53 FEET 6 INCHES IS BEING REQUESTED; SECTION 106-184 REGARDING FENCE AND WALL HEIGHT TO PERMIT AN 8 FEET WALL AND FENCE WHERE 6 FEET HEIGHT IS ALLOWED; PROVIDING FOR STIPULATIONS AND CONDITIONS; PROVIDING THAT PROVISIONS NOT VARIED BY THIS ORDINANCE REMAIN IN FULL FORCE AND EFFECT; PROVIDING FOR CONFLICTS; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

b. **SUBJECT: Preliminary Site Plan Approval - SPR 16-02**
   Easy Self Storage - Folio # 49411280020
   East of Avon Lane on South side of McNab Rd

   Site plan approval to construct 100,000 square foot self-storage facility in a light industrial (M-1) zoning district.

   APPLICANT: Kenneth Carlson, SS McNab , LLC.

   - All interested parties wishing to speak on this item are sworn in
   - Staff presentation (Tammy Reed-Holguin)
   - Public Hearing opened
   - Public comments
   - Public Hearing closed
   - Commission discussion
   - Commission motion and vote

**MOTION**: For the approval of the preliminary site plan, subject to the twelve (12) conditions listed in staff memorandum, and with direction for administration and the applicant to continue work together to finalize the site plan.

c. **SUBJECT: Sign Waiver SWAV 16-04**
To allow two wall signs whereas City Code Section 94 only allows one square foot of wall sign no greater than the width of area owned or leased and to allow letters up to 31 inches in height, whereas City Code Section 94-16(C)(2)(c) of the City Code allows for 16 inches maximum letter height within a M-1 light industrial zoning district.

APPLICANT: Kenneth Carlson, SS McNab, Inc. LLC.

- All interested parties wishing to speak on this item are sworn in
- Staff presentation (Tammy Reed-Holguin)
- Public Hearing opened
- Public comments
- Public Hearing closed
- Commission discussion
- Commission motion and vote

MOTION: To approve the requested sign waiver to allow two wall signs with 31 inch letter height.

9. CONSENT AGENDA

- Remove items from consent agenda if desired
- Commission motion, second and vote to read
- Attorney reads consent agenda
- Commission motion, second and vote to adopt the consent agenda

a. ORDINANCE - First Reading: Amendment to Appendix F Fee Schedule Related to Residential Units

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF NORTH LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA, AMENDING APPENDIX F TO THE CITY’S CODE OF ORDINANCES, “FEE SCHEDULE” TO CLARIFY THE FEES FOR THE BUSINESS TAX RECEIPT AND THE PROCESSING AND INSPECTION OF RESIDENTIAL RENTAL UNITS; PROVIDING FOR CONFLICTS; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY; PROVIDING FOR INCLUSION IN THE CODE; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

b. RESOLUTION - Agreement with McFatter Vocational College to permit Paramedic and Emergency Medical Technician Students to Participate in Educational and Training Programs with North Lauderdale Fire Rescue Department

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF NORTH LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA, AUTHORIZING AND DIRECTING THE CITY MANAGER OR HER DESIGNEE TO ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT WITH MCFATTER VOCATIONAL COLLEGE, TO ALLOW EMT STUDENTS TO RIDE WITH THE NORTH LAUDERDALE FIRE RESCUE DEPARTMENT FOR EDUCATIONAL AND TRAINING PURPOSES; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

10. OTHER BUSINESS
a. **RESOLUTION.- Approving and Ratifying the Administrative Order Executed by City Manager on August 16, 2016 and the August 22, 2016 Notice of Intent (NOI) Prohibiting the Issuance of Building Permits or Development Orders for the Installation of or Siting of any Wireless Communications Facility as Defined Under Section 365.172, Florida Statutes, in any Public Rights-of-Way or any Location in the City**

- Motion, second and vote to read
- Attorney reads title
- Staff presentation (Tammy Reed-Holguin)
- Commission motion and second to adopt
- Commission discussion
- Commission vote

**A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF NORTH LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA, CONFIRMING AND RATIFYING THE CITY MANAGER’S ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER AND NOTICE OF INTENT RETROACTIVELY TO THE DATE OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER COMMENCING ZONING IN PROGRESS PURSUANT TO SECTION 106-61 OF THE CITY OF NORTH LAUDERDALE CODE OF ORDINANCES AND PROHIBITING THE ISSUANCE OF BUILDING PERMITS OR DEVELOPMENT ORDERS FOR THE INSTALLATION OF OR SITING OF ANY WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY AS DEFINED UNDER SECTION 365.172, FLORIDA STATUTES, IN ANY PUBLIC RIGHTS-OF-WAY OR ANY LOCATION IN THE CITY AND AUTHORIZING AND DIRECTING THE CITY MANAGER TO UNDERTAKE STUDY AND REVIEW OF THE CITY’S REGULATIONS REGARDING THE SAME; PROVIDING THAT THE ADOPTION OF THIS RESOLUTION SHALL COMMENCE THE ZONING IN PROGRESS RETROACTIVELY PURSUANT TO SECTION 106-60 OF THE CITY’S CODE OF ORDINANCES, WHICH SHALL BE FURTHER EMBRACED THROUGH THE ADOPTION OF A SUBSEQUENT ORDINANCE AND SHALL RUN UNTIL APRIL 1, 2017; PROVIDING FOR CONFLICTS; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.**

b. **ORDINANCE - First Reading: For a Moratorium on Issuance of Building Permits or Development Orders for the Installation of or Siting of any Wireless Communications Facility as Defined Under Section 365.172, Florida Statutes, in any Public Rights-of-Way or any Location in the City**

- Motion, second and vote to read
- Attorney reads title
- Staff presentation (Tammy Reed-Holguin)
- Commission motion and second to adopt
- Commission discussion
- Commission vote

**AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF NORTH LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA, ESTABLISHING A MORATORIUM UNTIL APRIL 1, 2017 ON THE ISSUANCE OF BUILDING PERMITS, OR DEVELOPMENT ORDERS FOR THE INSTALLATION OF OR SITING OF ANY WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY, AS DEFINED UNDER SECTION 365.172, FLORIDA STATUTES, IN ANY PUBLIC RIGHTS-OF-WAY OR IN ANY LOCATION WITHIN THE CITY OF NORTH LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY; PROVIDING FOR CONFLICTS; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.**
c. **SUBJECT - Penny Surtax Projects**
   - Staff presentation (Mike Sargis/Ambreen Bhatti)
   - Commission motion and second to adopt
   - Commission discussion
   - Commission vote

**MOTION**: To approve the Penny Surtax project list as outlined by Staff.

d. **SUBJECT - Broadview/Pompano Park 19th Annual Community Bazaar**
   - Staff presentation (Tammy Reed-Holguin)
   - Commission motion and second to adopt
   - Commission discussion
   - Commission vote

**MOTION**: To approve “The Broadview/Pompano Park 19th Annual Community Bazaar”, to be held on Saturday, December 3, 2015, from 2 P.M. to 9:00 P.M. at the Broadview/Pompano Park Community Park subject to the conditions listed in Staff’s memorandum.

11. **REPORTS**
   a. Burnham Woods Day – November 19, 2016 10 am to 6 pm (Tammy Reed-Holguin)

12. **COMMISSION COMMENTS**
   a. Discussion and Motion to schedule Commission Meeting for November 15, third Tuesday of the month due to the Election (Tuesday, 11-08-16 and Thanksgiving (Thursday, 11-24-16) and to schedule one meeting only in December on Tuesday, the 13th due to the Christmas holiday (Sunday, 12-25-16)

13. **CITY MANAGER COMMENTS**
   a. Update on RFP for banking services

14. **CITY ATTORNEY COMMENTS**
   Disclosure of No Conflict
b. **RESOLUTION – Resource Recovery Board/Broward County Amendment to Settlement Agreement**

- Motion, second and vote to read
- Attorney reads title
- Staff presentation (Attorney Goren)
- Commission motion and second to adopt
- Commission discussion
- Commission vote

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF NORTH LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA, APPROVING THE FIRST AMENDMENT TO THE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT WITH BROWARD COUNTY FOR THE LITIGATION, Styled *CITY OF SUNRISE ET. AL. V BROWARD COUNTY*, AND AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTION THEREOF; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY; PROVIDING FOR CONFLICTS; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

15. **ADJOURNMENT**
The North Lauderdale City Commission met at the Municipal Complex on Tuesday, July 12, 2016. The meeting commenced at 6:00 p.m.

1. **INVOCATION AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE** – Commissioner Wood gave the invocation and led the pledge.

2. **ROLL CALL** – Clerk called roll. All present.

   Mayor Jack Brady  
   Vice Mayor David G. Hilton  
   Commissioner Jerry Graziose  
   Commissioner Rich Moyle  
   Commissioner Lorenzo Wood  
   City Manager Ambreen Bhatti  
   City Attorney Samuel S. Goren  
   City Clerk Patricia Vancheri

3. **APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

   a. **June 23, 2016 - Special Meeting** – Vice Mayor Hilton moved to approve the Minutes as submitted. Seconded by Commissioner Wood. **Minutes unanimously approved by voice vote.**

   b. **June 28, 2016 – Regular Meeting** Vice Mayor Hilton moved to approve the Minutes as submitted. Seconded by Commissioner Wood. **Minutes unanimously approved by voice vote.**

4. **PRESENTATIONS** - None
5. **PROCLAMATIONS**

a. **Honoring Centenarian – Ralph J. Polinice – 100th Birthday – July 5, 1916** – Tammy Lipp, a relative of Ralph J. Polinice was present to represent him and his family. Clerk read a Proclamation honoring Mr. Polinice which was presented to Ms. Lipp.

b. **Florida Engineering Society: A Century of Innovation - 100th Anniversary** – Clerk read the Proclamation into the record and George Krawczyk, Public Works Director, received the Proclamation.

6. **PUBLIC DISCUSSION**

Aundre Price - resident of Cross Keys, introduced himself to the Commission. He had no particular item to discuss.

7. **BUDGET RELATED ITEMS**

a. **RESOLUTION - Adoption of a Tentative Millage Rate for the General Operating Budget for Fiscal Year 2016/2017**

Vice Mayor Hilton moved to read. Seconded by Commissioner Wood. Attorney read:

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF NORTH LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA, ADOPTING A TENTATIVE MILLAGE RATE OF 7.5000 FOR GENERAL OPERATING BUDGET PURPOSES FOR FISCAL YEAR 2016/2017 PROVIDING FOR THE ESTABLISHMENT OF AND SETTING FORTH THE DATE, TIME AND PLACE OF THE FIRST PUBLIC HEARING TO FORMALLY ADOPT THE FISCAL YEAR 2016/2017 MILLAGE RATE; PROVIDING FOR CONFLICTS; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

Susan Nabors, Finance Director, presented the item based on backup memorandum. She indicated that cities must advise the Property Appraiser of a proposed millage rate and the date and time of the first budget hearing which goes on the TRIM notices mailed to property owners in August. She stated that administration is recommending a millage rate of 7.5000 mils which is the same rate as the prior two years. This is the maximum millage rate that can be assessed. It can be changed in September; however it can go no higher. The first public hearing will be Wednesday, September 14, 2016 at 6:00 pm. Commissioner Wood moved to approve. Seconded by Vice Mayor Hilton. All in favor by voice vote.

RESOLUTION NO. 16-07-6306 PASSED AND APPROVED UNANIMOUSLY
b. RESOLUTION – Comprehensive Pay and Classification Plan - Fiscal Year 2016

Commissioner Wood moved to read. Seconded by Vice Mayor Hilton.

Attorney read:

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF NORTH LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA, REPEALING RESOLUTION 15-07-6194 WHICH RESOLUTION DID ADOPT THE CITY OF NORTH LAUDERDALE COMPREHENSIVE PAY AND CLASSIFICATION PLAN FOR FISCAL YEAR 2016, AS AMENDED FROM TIME TO TIME, AND HEREBY ESTABLISHING A REVISED PAY AND CLASSIFICATION PLAN FOR FISCAL YEAR 2017 COMMENCING ON OCTOBER 1, 2016; PROVIDING FOR THE ESTABLISHMENT OF NEW CLASSIFICATIONS; PROVIDING FOR CONFLICTS; AND, PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

Jennifer Yarmitzky, Human Resources Manager, presented the item based on backup memorandum. She indicated that the item was presented in the workshop held prior to the Commission meeting. Vice Mayor Hilton moved to approve. Seconded by Commissioner Moyle. All in favor by voice vote.

RESOLUTION NO. 16-07-6307 PASSED AND APPROVED UNANIMOUSLY

8. ORDINANCES SECOND READING

a. Ordinance – Second Reading – Amendment to Chapter 106 “Zoning” Section 106-222 “Location, Character, Size” and Section 106-3 “Definitions” of the City’s Code of Ordinances

Commissioner Wood moved to read. Seconded by Vice Mayor Hilton.

Attorney read:

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF NORTH LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA, AMENDING CHAPTER 106 ENTITLED “ZONING”, ARTICLE I ENTITLED “IN GENERAL” SECTION 106-3 ENTITLED “DEFINITIONS” AND ARTICLE VI ENTITLED “OFF-STREET PARKING AND LOADING AND PARKING RESTRICTIONS” AND SECTION 106-222 ENTITLED “LOCATION, CHARACTER, SIZE” OF THE NORTH LAUDERDALE CODE OF ORDINANCES, PROVIDING FOR STIPULATIONS AND CONDITIONS; PROVIDING FOR DEFINITIONS PROVIDING THAT PROVISIONS NOT VARIED BY THIS ORDINANCE REMAIN IN FULL FORCE AND EFFECT; PROVIDING FOR CONFLICTS; PROVIDING FOR INCLUSION IN THE CODE AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.
Tammy Reed-Holguin, Community Development Director, presented the item based on backup memorandum. She indicated that the City Commission adopted this Ordinance on first reading on June 14, 2016. Ms. Reed-Holguin commented that this ordinance basically tightens up the driveway section of the City’s Code of Ordinances to make it more specific in terms of the types of materials that can be used to make sure that residents will use one type of material and not a combination of materials. Also included is clarification as to when two rectangular driveways are permitted and how wide a front yard needs to be in order for a circular driveway to be permitted. Ms. Reed-Holguin stated that in reviewing plans, this will make it more specific to implement the Code more consistently. Tonight staff is seeking approval on second reading. Public hearing opened. No one spoke. **Vice Mayor Hilton moved to adopt. Seconded by Commissioner Moyle. All in favor by voice vote.**

**ORDINANCE NO. 16-07-1337 PASSED AND ADOPTED UNANIMOUSLY**

9. **OTHER BUSINESS**

   a. **RESOLUTION – Law Enforcement Trust Fund Purchases**

Vice Mayor Hilton moved to read. Seconded by Commissioner Wood. Attorney read:

*A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF NORTH LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA, APPROVING THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE CITY ADMINISTRATION AND THE BROWARD SHERIFF’S OFFICE AND AUTHORIZING EXPENDITURE NOT TO EXCEED $85,000 FROM THE LAW ENFORCEMENT TRUST FUND FOR THE PURCHASE OF LAW ENFORCEMENT TACTICAL GEAR; THE START UP COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE BODY WORN CAMERA PROGRAM; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.*

Captain Brian Faer presented the item based on backup memorandum. He indicated that the request for purchase of some breaching tools and ballistic shields will allow officers to enter critical situations faster without having to wait for assistance from the fire department or others. Other expenditures are for the upstart of the body camera program to equip 54 deputies and the construction and build-out of a video security room. Commissioner Moyle moved to approve. Seconded by Vice Mayor Hilton. All in favor by voice vote.

**RESOLUTION NO. 16-07-6308 PASSED AND APPROVED UNANIMOUSLY**

10. **REPORTS**

   a. Parks and Recreation Program Updates

**Mike Sargis, Parks and Recreation Director:** reported that four weeks of the eight week Summer Camp Program has been completed. He commented that the Principal of North
Lauderdale Elementary has been a great host. Currently, 538 kids are registered in the program, with a waiting list of 141 kids. Sargis reported that the camp is successful so far and there are six weeks to go. He asked to save the date for Wednesday, August 3rd for the Annual Summer Camp Talent Show at 7:00 pm at the Broward College North Campus, Omni Auditorium.

Mr. Sargis also commented that with regard to current events that occurred in Disney, all the Parks around have been talking about “No Swimming” signs. He stated that we are in the process of changing out our “No Swimming” signs in our parks that have lakes or canals to include signs with language indicating that alligators and venomous snakes may be present.

Mr. Sargis reported that as part of the City’s Youth Athletic League, we partnered with the Sheriff’s office through their countywide operations for the Police Athletic League to run the baseball program. He said it was a 50/50 partnership. Also the City’s soccer league program was merged into the PAL program as well. Mr. Sargis commented that part of the program was for PAL to provide security at the fields during games and practice. Trophies and uniforms were also provided by the Sheriff’s office and the revenue off the program was split 50/50. Mr. Sargis reported that over the last year or so there has been a change of staff upon the retiring of some of the deputies and there is not the same feel of the program. Some mistakes have been made with uniforms and trophies this year and the City’s name was not included on the trophies, nor some of the uniforms. Mr. Sargis said we take great pride in the programs and some of the problems are affecting these programs. Mr. Sargis stated that tonight they are advising that they would like to break the relationship with the countywide Sheriff’s PAL operations and have the City take over 100% of running those programs ourselves, similar to our after school program; summer camp program; senior program and teen club program. Therefore, the City would be solely responsible. Mr. Sargis reiterated that the problems have nothing to do with District 15 personnel. He just wanted the Commission to know that they were looking to have the City wholly responsible for the programs.

Tammy Reed-Holguin, Community Development Director: reported that at a previous Commission meeting a presentation was made by a Program Administrator for the Property Assessed Clean Energy Program (PACE) and Commission requested that it be discussed further at a workshop. Time ran out at the workshop prior to this meeting, therefore she presented a PowerPoint presentation at this time, a copy of which is available in the City Clerk’s office. She indicated that the PACE program was implemented by the State and leverages bonds to be able to fund improvements to single family homes that are owner occupied, such as for energy efficiency, clean energy generation and also hardening. There are many eligibility requirements to access the funding through the PACE program. Ms. Reed-Holguin highlighted some points including that a home owner’s property could not have gone through a foreclosure, nor had a loan modification, which would make it ineligible for the PACE program. Also, there would have to be sufficient equity to cover the cost of the projects. Ms. Reed-Holguin highlighted the financing minimum and maximum that would be available; the length of the loan variations; and some of the concerns in reviewing the literature regarding the PACE programs. She also commented that the interest rates and fees are not necessarily competitive with commercial loans, and there are some factors that homeowners should be knowledgeable of. Ms. Reed-Holguin stated that staff would like more time to investigate these factors before the City gets involved and also to look into the role of the administrator and what those costs would be to the
homeowner. Staff would also like more time to look into the background of the various providers with regard to experience and what programs they will offer. Ms. Reed-Holguin stated they want to look more closely at the role of the City in the implementation of the PACE program and our role in the event that an issue arises between the provider and the homeowner. Ms. Reed-Holguin reported that there is another option that the City can consider; on June 14th, Broward County approved the PACE program countywide and North Lauderdale residents could participate if the City notifies them that they are interested in doing so. This would just take authorization of a Letter of Intent to the County that we want our residents to be able to participate. The City would have 120 days to opt out of the County program if we choose to provide our own. There is no prohibition to doing both. Ms. Reed-Holguin stated that if we opt in, the PACE program would be readily available to our residents. If we want to participate in our own program, it would entail going through the legislative process of approving all of these things. The County already has two PACE providers on board, and is in the process of considering more providers. The County has enhanced some of the terms and conditions of the program to protect the consumer. City Manager Bhatty commented that an option would be to opt in now, while looking in to our own program, because there are a lot of unknowns and there are mixed reviews with regard to this new concept. Commissioner Wood concurred with City Manager that if the County has already done the leg work, he would trust the County program for residents that are looking to participate; he asked about the administration costs and what costs the City would incur. Ms. Reed-Holguin replied that it is unclear right now, and we will have to investigate what those costs are. City Manager Bhatty said that is one of the concerns about the program and what control the City would have if there are any problems or complaints about the program from the residents. City Attorney Goren interjected that two other cities that he represents, Pembroke Pines and Tamarac, have their own programs and reiterated that this is a service, and also a loan that is packed into your tax bill as a different method of financing. He said the experience thus far has been very short term, and some of the questions asked cannot be answered at this time. Those cities are going forward with both options at this time. Commissioner Graziose commented that he has been in contact with PACE while trying to help a resident and one positive of the program is that if you own your house, like some older residents, and are living on social security or other incomes and don’t have property insurance, they cannot apply for City grants. Tammy Reed-Holguin interjected that a matching grant does not require homeowner’s insurance. Commissioner Graziose commented that this is an alternative that those residents could use for things like a new roof because they could do it for twenty years. City Manager Bhatty stated that she is seeking direction from the Commission whether or not they want to authorize a letter to the County with regard to participating with the program. Commissioner Moyle commented that we should participate with the County but it should be up to the homeowner to research if this is a good deal or not in the event of possible problems, limitations or prohibitions for this program. He said if the homeowner wants to explore this as a possible way to get their house repairs, then we should do it. Mayor Brady commented that we should go with the County and become well educated before we do the program. Vice Mayor Hilton agreed that we should go with the County and come back to see if we need to do the program, or if the County is working just fine. Commissioner Wood commented that he would like to have the people that worked with the other cities to come to speak to the Commission, but that in the meantime he would like to opt into the County. Attorney Goren said it was Attorney Alan Cohen for the County.
The Commission’s consensus was to move forward with the County program. City Manager stated she will contact the County to opt in and will provide the information on our website and the newsletter. Attorney Goren commented that this item was on the Workshop Agenda and to give the City Manager direction, the Commission must move the PACE item from the Workshop to the regular agenda and then make a second motion to authorize the City Manager to go with the County program for now.

Commissioner Moyle made the motion to move the item from the Workshop Agenda to the City Commission Agenda. Commissioner Wood seconded the motion. Motion passed unanimously by voice vote.

Commissioner Wood made a motion to authorize City Manager to notify Broward County that the City of North Lauderdale would like to participate in the County’s PACE program. Seconded by Commissioner Moyle. Motion passed unanimously by voice vote.

11. COMMISSION COMMENTS

Commissioner Graziose – Gave and update that the contractor who installed the yellow flashing lights on the curve of Cypress Creek/McNab will be redoing the lights as the power source was not large enough. Also, another contractor has started construction of the barrier wall. These items, as well as the striping were a result of a meeting between City Administration, the Fire Chief and Police Chief to discuss the number of fatalities that occurred there, and since these installations and improvements there has not been a serious accident there. Commissioner Moyle said he believes that the striping is incorrect where the entrance of 441 begins. He said there are people who live at Hawkins Road that have to cross all the lines and there should be a broken line; the same thing happens at the Blvd. of Champions there should be a broken line. Commissioner Graziose said they have been notified on the first one at Hawkins and he will notify them of the second one. Graziose commented that the business people at the shopping center on Bailey Road and State Road 7 are concerned because there are no U-Turn signs and people are being ticketed for making a U-Turn to go into to center. Broward County took a look at it and advised that they would change the sign. By Broadview Elementary on SW 17th Street, they are going to put time signs in front of the houses that say no parking, stopping or standing from 6:45-8:00 am and 2:00-2:30 pm on school days. Commissioner Graziose also commented that the grades were submitted for our schools and a couple of them have gone up – Morrow Elementary from an F to a C; North Lauderdale Elementary from a D to a C. Silver Lakes and Broadview stayed at a C. Pinewood stayed a D; their Principal retired and they will be getting a new principal.

12. CITY MANAGER COMMENTS

a. Zika Update – City Manager Bhaty commented that the City of North Lauderdale has been the most responsive and active participant with Broward County to educate our residents about Zika. The Health Department is providing 1500 flyers to put in our water bill, around the parks and wherever we can. Mayor Brady said we also have door hangers in three
languages. City Manager Bhatty stated we are very pro-active and at Commission’s direction we have purchased pellets to place in green pools.

13. CITY ATTORNEY COMMENTS

City Attorney Goren reported that the County Commission authorized proceeding forward with the surtax item jointly with the cities. At this time a joint instruction manual is being prepared to distribute with City Officials about what can and can’t be done with regard to the surtax proposal. Attorney Goren commented that the City Commission has a constitutional right to support whatever it thinks is right for this City. However, the City cannot spend its public money on advocacy, but you can spend it for education. The Political Action Committee can raise money to advocate on behalf of the surtax. Goren commented that the MPO made a presentation to the City Managers last week and has engaged a marketing firm to assist them to do advocacy and coordinate issues. He said his firm will be issuing a memorandum outlining all the various topics.

14. ADJOURNMENT – There being no further business, the meeting adjourned at 7:08 pm.

Respectfully submitted,

Patricia Vancheri, City Clerk
FINANCE DEPARTMENT
MEMORANDUM

TO: The Honorable Mayor and City Commission
FROM: Ambreen Bhatti, City Manager
BY: Susan Nabors, Finance Director
DATE: September 14, 2016
SUBJECT: First Reading - Ad Valorem Tax Rate

To implement the Comprehensive Fiscal Year 2016/17 Budget, two separate ordinances will be enacted. The first ordinance pertains to the City’s millage rate and the second adopts the City’s budget.

As originally discussed at the July 12, 2016 Commission workshop, the Administration had proposed that the Commission set the City’s operating millage rate at 7.5000 mills, same as last year.

Ad valorem property taxes provide approximately 30% of the City’s General Fund revenue. This funding is vital to providing the City services as outlined in the proposed budget. Per the City Charter, in order to adopt the operating millage rate, approval will be needed by at least four City Commissioners (super majority).

The ordinance approving the Administration’s recommended budget provides for spending limitations on various City funds that are in the proposed budget. The final adopted budget will reflect any and all modifications approved by the Commission.

RECOMMENDATION:

The City Administration recommends Commission’s consideration and approval of the attached Ordinance, which establishes the City’s operating millage levy rate at 7.5000 mills for the 2016 Tax Year.
ORDINANCE NO. __________________

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF NORTH LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA, ESTABLISHING AND ADOPTING THE CITY OF NORTH LAUDERDALE AD VALOREM TAX OPERATING MILLAGE LEVY RATE AT 7.5000 MILLS, OR $7.5000 PER THOUSAND DOLLARS OF TAXABLE ASSESSED PROPERTY VALUE, FOR THE 2016 TAX YEAR, REPRESENTING AN INCREASE OF 10.65% FROM THE PRIOR YEAR’S ROLLED-BACK RATE OF 6.778 MILLS FOR ALL PURPOSES; PROVIDING FOR CONFLICTS; AND, PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, the City Commission and the City Administration of the City of North Lauderdale, has reviewed the 2016/17 Fiscal Year budget for the various operating departments of the City and the means of financing said budget.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF NORTH LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA:

Section 1: That the City Commission of the City of North Lauderdale, Florida, does hereby establish and adopt the City of North Lauderdale Ad Valorem Tax Operating Millage Levy Rate of 7.5000 Mills for the 2016 tax year for all purposes, or $7.5000 per thousand dollars of taxable assessed property value. This millage rate reflects an increase of 10.65% from the prior year’s rolled back rate of $6.778.

Section 2: That all Ordinances or parts of Ordinances, Resolutions or parts of Resolutions in conflict herewith be and the same are hereby repealed to the extent of such conflict.

Section 3: That this Ordinance shall take effect on October 1, 2016.

PASSED on first reading by the City Commission of the City of North Lauderdale, Florida this 14th day of September, 2016.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

______________________________
CITY ATTORNEY SAMUEL S. GOREN

______________________________
MAYOR JACK BRADY

______________________________
ATTEST

______________________________
VICE MAYOR DAVID G. HILTON

______________________________
CITY CLERK PATRICIA VANCHERI
To: Honorable Mayor and City Commission

From: Ambreen Bhatti, City Manager

By: Susan Nabors, Finance Director

Date: September 14, 2016

Re: Fire/Rescue Special Assessment Program

Attached for your consideration and approval is the Annual Rate Resolution for the Fire Rescue Assessment Rates. On June 28, 2016, the preliminary assessment was approved by the City Commission.

In November, 2015, the City Administration engaged Government Services Group, Inc., (GSG) to review the City’s Fire Rescue Special Assessment rates and provide a five (5) year plan. As a result of their review, GSG issued an Assessment Program Memorandum in May 2016, which recommended a revised rate structure that provided for the full funding of the fire assessment over the next five years. GSG’s methodology allows for smooth and natural adjustments to the assessment rates that correspond to the Fire/Rescue Department’s fluctuating operational costs (such as health insurance, fuel, and capital costs). The assessment for the year beginning October 1, 2016 will be the first year of the five (5) year plan. A copy of the Fire Assessment Five (5) Year Plan is on file at the City Clerk’s Office for public review.

Recommendation:

The City Administration recommends Commission’s consideration and approval of the attached Preliminary Fire Rescue Rate Resolution reflecting an annual adjustment to our current year fire assessment rate for Single Family residents to $197 (from $178 – an increase of $19 over the prior year) and the multi-family residents, including fire inspections, to $334 (from $383 – a decrease of $49 over the prior year). The Commercial, Industrial-Warehouse and Institutional Property Use Categories will also experience their respective proportional changes.
CITY OF NORTH LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA

RESOLUTION NO. ________________

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF NORTH LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA, RELATING TO THE PROVISION OF FIRE RESCUE SERVICES, FACILITIES AND PROGRAMS IN THE CITY OF NORTH LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA; IMPOSING FIRE RESCUE ASSESSMENTS AGAINST ASSESSED PROPERTY LOCATED WITHIN THE CITY OF NORTH LAUDERDALE FOR THE FISCAL YEAR BEGINNING OCTOBER 1, 2016; APPROVING THE RATE OF ASSESSMENT; APPROVING THE ASSESSMENT ROLL; PROVIDING FOR CONFLICTS; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, the City Commission of the City of North Lauderdale, Florida, enacted Ordinance No. 96-6-901, as amended by Ordinance 97-7-933 (collectively, the “Ordinance”), which authorizes the imposition of Fire Rescue Assessments for fire rescue services, facilities, and programs against Assessed Property located within the City; and,

WHEREAS, as a result of the decision by the 4th District Court of Appeal in SMM Properties v. City of North Lauderdale, the City revised its fire rescue assessment in Fiscal Year 2001-2002, to be consistent with the court’s decision, and assess solely for fire rescue services other than emergency medical services (EMS); and,

WHEREAS, on August 22, 2002, the Florida Supreme Court rendered its decision in City of North Lauderdale v. SMM Properties, wherein it agreed with the 4th District Court of Appeal that EMS could not be funded by a special assessment, and approved of the decision of the 4th District Court of Appeal in SMM Properties v. City of North Lauderdale; and,

WHEREAS, in 2015, the City retained the services of Government Services Group (GSG) to complete a comprehensive review of the City’s Assessment Methodology for Fire Rescue Special Assessment, and GSG prepared an Assessment Program Memorandum, dated May , 2016; and,

WHEREAS, the Fire Rescue Assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 will continue to be consistent with the decision of the 4th District Court of Appeal, as approved by the Florida Supreme Court, as the City will assess solely for fire rescue services, exclusive of EMS, as it has done since Fiscal Year 2001-2002; and,

WHEREAS, the imposition of a fire rescue assessment for fire rescue services, facilities, and programs, as described in Resolution No. 16-06-6301 (the “Preliminary Rate Resolution”) and defined in the Ordinance, as amended, is an equitable and efficient method of allocating and apportioning Fire Rescue Assessed Costs among parcels of Assessed Property; and,
WHEREAS, the City Commission desires to impose a fire rescue assessment within the City for the Fiscal Year beginning on October 1, 2016, using the tax bill collection method; and,

WHEREAS, the City Commission has adopted the Preliminary Rate Resolution, containing a brief and general description of the fire rescue facilities and services to be provided to Assessed Property, describing the method of apportioning the Fire Rescue Assessed Costs to compute the fire rescue assessment for fire rescue services facilities, and programs against Assessed Property, estimating a rate of assessment and directing the updating and preparation of the Assessment Roll and provision of notice to affected landowners; and,

WHEREAS, in order to impose Fire Rescue Assessments for the Fiscal Year beginning October 1, 2016, the Ordinance requires the City Commission to adopt an Annual Rate Resolution, during its budget adoption process for each Fiscal Year, which establishes the rate of assessment and approves the Assessment Roll for the upcoming Fiscal Year, with such amendments as the City Commission deems appropriate, after hearing comments and objections of all interested parties; and,

WHEREAS, the Assessment Roll has heretofore been made available for inspection by the public, as required by the Ordinance; and,

WHEREAS, notice of a public hearing has been published and mailed which provides notice to all interested persons of an opportunity to be heard; and

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on September 14, 2016, and comments and objections of all interested persons have been heard and considered.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF NORTH LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA:

SECTION 1. RECITALS. The foregoing "WHEREAS" clauses are true and correct and hereby ratified and confirmed by the City Commission.

SECTION 2. AUTHORITY. This Resolution is adopted pursuant to the Ordinance, as amended, the Preliminary Rate Resolution, sections 166.021 and 166.041, Florida Statutes, and other applicable provisions of law.

SECTION 3. DEFINITIONS AND INTERPRETATION. This Resolution constitutes the Annual Rate Resolution as defined in the Ordinance. All capitalized terms in this Resolution shall have the meanings defined in such Ordinance, as amended, and the Preliminary Rate Resolution.
SECTION 4. IMPOSITION OF FIRE RESCUE ASSESSMENTS.

(A) The parcels of Assessed Property described in the Assessment Roll, as updated, which is hereby approved, are hereby found to be specially benefited by the provision of the fire rescue services, facilities, and programs described or referenced in the Preliminary Rate Resolution, in the amount of the Fire Rescue Assessment set forth in the updated Assessment Roll, a copy of which was present or available for inspection at the above referenced public hearing and is incorporated herein by reference, as amended by this Annual Rate Resolution. It is hereby ascertained, determined and declared that each parcel of Assessed Property within the City will be specially benefited by the City’s provision of fire rescue services, facilities, and programs in an amount not less than the Fire Rescue Assessment for such parcel, computed in the manner set forth in the Preliminary Rate Resolution. Adoption of this Annual Rate Resolution constitutes a legislative determination that all parcels assessed derive a special benefit in a manner consistent with the legislative declarations, determinations and findings as set forth in the Ordinance and the Preliminary Rate Resolution from the fire rescue services, facilities, or programs to be provided, and a legislative determination that the Fire Rescue Assessments are fairly and reasonably apportioned among the properties that receive the special benefit as set forth in the Preliminary Rate Resolution. No EMS is funded by revenue from the fire rescue special assessment.

(B) The method for computing Fire Rescue Assessments described or referenced in the Preliminary Rate Resolution is hereby approved.

(C) For the Fiscal Year beginning October 1, 2016, the estimated Fire Rescue Assessed Cost to be assessed is $4,131,753. The Fire Rescue Assessments to be assessed and apportioned among benefited parcels pursuant to the Cost Apportionment and Parcel Apportionment to generate the estimated Fire Rescue Assessed Cost for the Fiscal Year commencing October 1, 2016, are hereby established as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY USE CATEGORIES</th>
<th>Rate Per Dwelling Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single Family</td>
<td>$197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-Family</td>
<td>$334</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NON-RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY USE CATEGORIES</th>
<th>Building Classification (in square foot ranges)</th>
<th>Commercial</th>
<th>Industrial/Warehouse</th>
<th>Institutional</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; = 1,999</td>
<td>$463</td>
<td>$100</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2,000 - 3,499</td>
<td>$926</td>
<td>$199</td>
<td>$999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3,500 - 4,999</td>
<td>$1,620</td>
<td>$348</td>
<td>$1,748</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5,000 - 9,999</td>
<td>$2,314</td>
<td>$496</td>
<td>$2,496</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10,000 - 19,999</td>
<td>$4,628</td>
<td>$992</td>
<td>$4,992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20,000 - 29,999</td>
<td>$9,256</td>
<td>$1,984</td>
<td>$9,984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30,000 - 39,999</td>
<td>$13,884</td>
<td>$2,976</td>
<td>$14,976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40,000 - 49,999</td>
<td>$18,512</td>
<td>$3,968</td>
<td>$19,968</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt; = 50,000</td>
<td>$23,140</td>
<td>$4,959</td>
<td>$24,960</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The above rates of assessment are hereby approved. Fire Rescue Assessments for fire rescue services, facilities, and programs in the amounts set forth in the updated Assessment Roll, as herein approved, are hereby levied and re-imposed on all parcels of Assessed Property described in such Assessment Roll for the Fiscal Year beginning October 1, 2016.

(D) No Fire Rescue Assessment shall be imposed upon any building whose use is exempt from ad valorem taxation under Florida law. Any shortfall in the expected Fire Rescue Assessment proceeds due to any reduction or exemption from payment of the Fire Rescue Assessments required by law or authorized by the City Commission shall be supplemented by any legally available funds, or combination of such funds, and shall not be paid for by proceeds or funds derived from the Fire Rescue Assessments.

(E) As authorized in Section 2.13 of the Ordinance, interim Fire Rescue Assessments are also levied and imposed against all property for which a Certificate of Occupancy is issued after adoption of this Resolution based upon the rates of assessment approved herein.

(F) Fire Rescue Assessments shall constitute a lien upon the Assessed Property so assessed equal in rank and dignity with the liens of all state, county, district or municipal taxes and other non-ad valorem assessments. Except as otherwise provided by law, such lien shall be superior in dignity to all other liens, titles and claims, until paid.

(G) The Assessment Roll, as herein approved, together with the correction of any errors or omissions as provided for in the Ordinance, shall be delivered to the Tax Collector for collection using the tax bill collection method in the manner prescribed by the Ordinance.

SECTION 5. CONFIRMATION OF PRELIMINARY RATE RESOLUTION. The Preliminary Rate Resolution, except as may be amended herein, is hereby confirmed.

SECTION 6. EFFECT OF ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION. The adoption of this Annual Rate Resolution shall be the final adjudication of the issues presented, including, but not limited to, the determination of special benefit and fair apportionment to the Assessed Property, the method of apportionment and assessment, the rate of assessment, the Assessment Roll and the levy and lien of the Fire Rescue Assessments unless proper steps shall be initiated in a court of competent jurisdiction to secure relief within 20 days from the date of this Annual Rate Resolution.

SECTION 7. SEVERABILITY. If any clause, section or other part of this Resolution shall be held by any court of competent jurisdiction to be unconstitutional or invalid, such unconstitutional or invalid part shall be considered as eliminated and in no way affecting the validity of the other provisions of this Resolution.
SECTION 8. CONFLICT. That all Resolutions or parts of Resolutions in conflict herewith, be and the same are repealed to the extent of such conflict.

SECTION 9. EFFECTIVE DATE. This Annual Rate Resolution shall take effect immediately upon its passage and adoption.

PASSED, ADOPTED AND APPROVED THIS 14th DAY OF SEPTEMBER, 2016.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

___________________________________
MAYOR JACK BRADY

SAMUEL S. GOREN, CITY ATTORNEY

ATTEST:

___________________________________
VICE MAYOR DAVID G. HILTON

___________________________________
PATRICIA VANCHERI, CITY CLERK
FINANCE DEPARTMENT
MEMORANDUM

TO: Honorable Mayor and City Commission
FROM: Ambreen Bhatti, City Manager
BY: Susan Nabors, Finance Director
DATE: September 14, 2016
SUBJECT: Solid Waste Assessment Program

Attached for your consideration and approval is the Annual Rate Resolution for the Solid Waste Assessment. On June 28, 2016, the City Commission approved Resolution 16-06-6302, the Preliminary Assessment Resolution.

The City Commission instituted an assessment for solid waste collection and disposal. The program has been a great success and has helped to streamline the payment process for the waste hauler as well as expedite the payment of revenue to the City. The collection of the residential solid waste assessment for FY 2017 will follow the same uniform collection rules as it did in FY 2016. The assessment will be collected by the County and remitted to the City. Under the assessment, the single family residential property owner will pay a lump sum annual fee of $216.02 with their property tax bill, which is the same as in FY 2016. The assessment will be for the year beginning October 1, 2016.

RECOMMENDATION:

The City Administration recommends Commission’s consideration and approval of the attached Solid Waste Rate Resolution reflecting an annual unit cost for residential solid waste collection of $216.02.
RESOLUTION NO. _____________________

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF NORTH LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA, RELATING TO THE PROVISION OF RESIDENTIAL SOLID WASTE COLLECTION SERVICES IN THE CITY OF NORTH LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA; APPROVING THE ASSESSMENT RATE FOR RESIDENTIAL SOLID WASTE COLLECTION SERVICES FOR THE FISCAL YEAR BEGINNING ON OCTOBER 1, 2016; IMPOSING A RESIDENTIAL SOLID WASTE COLLECTION ASSESSMENT AGAINST ASSESSED PROPERTY LOCATED WITHIN THE CITY OF NORTH LAUDERDALE FOR THE FISCAL YEAR BEGINNING ON OCTOBER 1, 2016; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY; PROVIDING FOR CONFLICTS; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, the City Commission of the City of North Lauderdale, Florida, enacted Ordinance No. 11-05-1250, on May 31, 2011, which authorized the imposition of a Residential Solid Waste Collection Assessment, pursuant to the procedures set forth therein (“the Ordinance”) for the provision of Residential Solid Waste Collection Services for single-family residential properties and certain multi-family residential properties that receive residential solid waste collection services within the City, and provided for findings of special benefit and the method of apportionment of the Residential Solid Waste Collection Assessment; and,

WHEREAS, the imposition of a Residential Solid Waste Collection Assessment for Residential Solid Waste Collection Services for each Fiscal Year is an equitable and efficient method of allocating and apportioning Residential Solid Waste Collection Assessed Costs among parcels of Assessed Property; and,

WHEREAS, the City Commission desires to impose a Residential Solid Waste Collection Assessment within the City for the Fiscal Year beginning on October 1, 2016 using the tax bill collection method; and,

WHEREAS, the City Commission, on June 28, 2016, adopted Resolution No. 16-06-6302 (the "Preliminary Assessment Resolution"), referencing the Residential Solid Waste Collection Services to
be provided to Assessed Property, describing the method of apportioning the Residential Solid Waste Collection Assessed Cost to compute the Residential Solid Waste Collection Assessment for Residential Solid Waste Collection Services against Assessed Property, estimating a rate of assessment, and directing the preparation of the Assessment Roll and provision of the notice to the affected landowners; and,

WHEREAS, in order to impose the Residential Solid Waste Collection Special Assessment for the Fiscal Year beginning October 1, 2016, the Ordinance requires the City Commission to adopt an Annual Assessment Resolution during its budget adoption process, which establishes the rate of assessment and approves the Assessment Roll for the upcoming Fiscal Year, with such amendments as the City Commission deems appropriate, after hearing comments and objections of all interested parties; and

WHEREAS, the updated Assessment Roll has heretofore been made available for inspection by the public, as required by the Ordinance and the Preliminary Assessment Resolution; and

WHEREAS, notice of a public hearing has been published and mailed, which provided notice to all interested persons of an opportunity to be heard; and,

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on September 14, 2016, and comments and objections of all interested parties have been heard and considered;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF NORTH LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA:

SECTION 1. The aforementioned “WHEREAS” clauses are hereby ratified as true and correct and incorporated herein.
SECTION 2. AUTHORITY. This Resolution is adopted pursuant to the provisions of the Ordinance, the Preliminary Assessment Resolution, sections 166.021 and 166.041, Florida Statutes, and other applicable provisions of law.

SECTION 3. DEFINITIONS AND INTERPRETATION. This Resolution constitutes the Annual Assessment Resolution as defined in the Ordinance. All capitalized terms in this Resolution shall have the meanings defined in the Ordinance and the Preliminary Assessment Resolution.

SECTION 4. IMPOSITION OF RESIDENTIAL SOLID WASTE COLLECTION ASSESSMENT.

(A) The parcels of Assessed Property described in the Assessment Roll, as updated and which is hereby approved, are hereby found to be specially benefitted by the provision of the Residential Solid Waste Collection Services described in the Preliminary Assessment Resolution, in the amount of the Residential Solid Waste Collection Assessment set forth in the Assessment Roll, a copy of which was present or available for inspection at the above-referenced public hearing and is incorporated herein by reference. It is hereby ascertained, determined, and declared that each parcel of Assessed Property within the City will be specifically benefitted by the City's provision of Residential Solid Waste Collection, facilities and programs in an amount not less than the Residential Solid Waste Collection Assessment of such parcel, computed in the manner set forth in the Preliminary Assessment Resolution. Adoption of this Annual Assessment Resolution constitutes a legislative determination that all assessed parcels derive a special benefit, as set forth in the Ordinance and the Preliminary Assessment Resolution, from the Residential Solid Waste Collection Services to be provided, and a legislative determination that the Residential Solid Waste Collection Assessments are fairly and reasonably apportioned among the properties that receive the special benefit as set forth in the Preliminary Assessment Resolution.
(B) The method of computing the Residential Solid Waste Collection Assessment described in the Preliminary Assessment Resolution, as modified, amended, and supplemented herein, is hereby approved.

(C) For the Fiscal Year beginning October 1, 2016, the estimated Residential Solid Waste Collection Assessed Cost to be assessed is $1,818,240. The Residential Solid Waste Collection Assessment to be assessed and apportioned among benefitted parcels pursuant to the Cost Apportionment and Parcel Apportionment to generate the estimated Residential Solid Waste Collection Assessed Costs for the Fiscal Year commencing October 1, 2016, is hereby established at $216.02 annually, per residential unit. This assessment rate is hereby approved. Except as otherwise provided herein, the Residential Solid Waste Collection Assessment for Residential Solid Waste Collection Services in the amounts set forth in the Assessment Roll, as herein approved, are hereby levied and imposed on all parcels of Assessed Property described in the Assessment Roll.

(D) The Residential Solid Waste Collection Assessment shall constitute a lien upon the Assessed Property so assessed equal in rank and dignity with the liens of all state, county, district or municipal taxes and other non-ad valorem Assessment. Except as otherwise provided by law, such lien shall be superior in dignity to all other liens, titles, and claims, until paid.

(E) The Assessment Roll as herein approved, together with the correction of any errors or omissions as provided for in the Ordinance shall be delivered to the Tax Collector for collection using the tax bill collection method in the manner prescribed by the Ordinance.

(F) Interim Residential Solid Waste Collection Assessments are also levied and imposed against all property for which a Certificate of Occupancy is issued after adoption of this Resolution based upon the rates of assessment approved herein.
SECTION 5. CONFIRMATION OF PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT RESOLUTION.

The Preliminary Assessment Resolution, except as may be amended herein, is hereby confirmed.

SECTION 6. EFFECT ON ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION. The adoption of this Annual Assessment Resolution shall be the final adjudication of the issues presented (including, but not limited to, the determination of special benefit and fair apportionment to the Assessed Property, the method of apportionment and assessment, the rate of assessment, the Assessment Roll and the levy and lien of the Residential Solid Waste Collection Assessment), unless proper steps shall be initiated in a court of competent jurisdiction to secure relief within twenty (20) calendar days from the date of this Annual Assessment Resolution.

SECTION 7. SEVERABILITY. If any clause, section or other part of this Resolution shall be held by any court of competent jurisdiction to be unconstitutional or invalid, such unconstitutional or invalid part shall be considered as eliminated and in no way affecting the validity of the other provisions of this Resolution.

SECTION 8. CONFLICTS. That all prior Resolutions or parts of resolutions in conflict herewith, are hereby repealed to the extent of such conflict.

[REMAINDER OF PAGE INTENTIONALLY BLANK]
SECTION 9. EFFECTIVE DATE. This Annual Assessment Resolution shall take effect immediately upon its passage and adoption.


__________________________________
MAYOR JACK BRADY

__________________________________
VICE MAYOR DAVID G. HILTON

ATTEST:

__________________________________
PATRICIA VANCHERI, City Clerk

APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM
BY CITY ATTORNEY:

__________________________________
SAMUEL S. GOREN, ESQUIRE

SSG:MDC
CERTIFICATE
TO
NON-AD VALOREM ASSESSMENT ROLL

I HEREBY CERTIFY that, I am the Mayor of the City of North Lauderdale, or authorized agent of the City of North Lauderdale, Florida (the "City"); as such I have satisfied myself that all property included or includable on the non-ad valorem assessment roll for residential solid waste collection services (the "Non-Ad Valorem Assessment Roll") for the City is properly assessed so far as I have been able to ascertain; and that all required extensions on the above described roll to show the non-ad valorem assessments attributable to the property listed therein have been made pursuant to law.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that, in accordance with the Uniform Assessment Collection Act, this certificate and the herein described Non-Ad Valorem Assessment Roll will be delivered to the Broward County Department of Finance and Administrative Services by September 15, 2016.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have subscribed this certificate and directed the same to be delivered to the Broward County Department of Finance and Administrative Services and made part of the above described Non-Ad Valorem Assessment Roll this _____ day of September, 2016.

CITY OF NORTH LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA

By: _______________________________
Title: ______________________________

[to be delivered to Broward County Department of Finance and Administrative Services on or before September 15]
To implement the Comprehensive Fiscal Year 2016/17 Budget, two separate ordinances will be enacted. The first ordinance pertains to the City’s millage rate and the second adopts the City’s budget.

As originally discussed at the July 12, 2016 Commission Workshop, the Administration had proposed that the Commission maintain the City’s operating millage rate at 7.5000 mills. Based on the revenue forecast and the Commission tentatively approving the 7.5000 millage rate, the City Administration is now able to present a balanced budget to the Commission.

The General Fund operating budget will increase from $28.1 million to $29.6 million for FY 2017. The primary sources of change in the General Fund budget are: a $906,000 increase in the funding of capital projects which will cover one-time projects including field lighting - $1,000,000, Boulevard of Champions Wall - $500,000, Street Lights with Signage - $25,000 and Entryway Signs - $20,000; a $465,000 increase in funding for the BSO contract; a $200,000 increase to Repair and Maintenance for the Somerset Academy roof.

The total City budget, that also includes Enterprise, Capital and Internal Service funds, will increase from $52.0 million to $55.2 million (a 6.1% increase overall). This change includes the General Fund items mentioned above, plus a one-time I.T. capital purchase of $400,000 and Enterprise Fund contractual increases and projects amounting to $462,000.

The attached ordinance approving the Administration’s recommended budget provides for spending limitations on the various City funds that are in the proposed budget. The final adopted budget will reflect any and all modifications approved by the Commission.

The proposed ordinance before you reflects the following changes made since the proposed budget was submitted to you and posted on the City website:
City of North Lauderdale
Proposed Budget for FY 2017
Changes from July 12, 2016 Workshop

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Revenue</th>
<th>Expenditures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>001 0011 399001</td>
<td>001 0101 581010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USE FUND BAL OR</td>
<td>AREA AGENCY ON AGING</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NET POSITION</td>
<td>$ (440)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ 1,480</td>
<td>001 0101 581200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WOMEN IN DISTRESS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>001 0101 581350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BROWARD 211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$ 1,480</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Some General Fund revenue accounts have been reclassified to reflect proper categorization but the total revenue budget did not change other than what is mentioned above.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Administration recommends Commission’s consideration and approval of the attached Ordinance which establishes and adopts the City’s annual budget for the 2016/17 fiscal year, allocating, appropriating, and authorizing expenditures in accordance with and for the purposes as stated in said budget and providing for the authorization of all budgeted employment positions.
AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF NORTH LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA, ADOPTING THE ATTACHED ANNUAL BUDGET, AS THE CITY OF NORTH LAUDERDALE’S FINAL BUDGET FOR THE 2016/17 FISCAL YEAR, ALLOCATING, APPROPRIATING, AND AUTHORIZING EXPENDITURES IN ACCORDANCE WITH AND FOR THE PURPOSES AS STATED IN SAID BUDGET, EXCEPTING CERTAIN APPROPRIATIONS AND EXPENDITURES REQUIRING FURTHER CITY COMMISSION ACTION AND/OR AUTHORIZATION; AUTHORIZING BUDGETARY CONTROL BY DEPARTMENTAL FUND TOTAL FOR ALL APPROPRIATIONS EXCEPT FOR AMOUNTS ALLOCATED FOR CAPITAL OUTLAY ITEMS; PROVIDING FOR THE AUTHORIZATION OF ALL BUDGETED EMPLOYMENT POSITIONS AND THE AUTHORIZATION FOR THE CITY MANAGER TO MAKE TEMPORARY APPOINTMENTS TO BUDGETED POSITIONS; PROVIDING FOR THE CREATION OF TRUST FUND(S) FOR RECEIPT OF MONIES BY GIFT, GRANT, OR OTHERWISE, WHEN THE SAME CONTAINS AS A CONDITION OF ACCEPTANCE, A LIMITATION OR RESTRICTION REGARDING THE USE OR EXPENDITURE OF THE SAME AND THE MANAGEMENT AND DISBURSEMENT THEREOF; PROVIDING FOR A TEMPORARY OR PERMANENT SUSPENSION OF THE OMNIBUS APPROPRIATION AND EXPENDITURE AUTHORIZATION CONTAINED HEREIN BY CITY COMMISSION RESOLUTION; PROVIDING THAT THE BUDGET HEREBY ADOPTED MAY BE ADJUSTED OR MODIFIED BY SUBSEQUENT RESOLUTION SO LONG AS SUCH ADJUSTMENT OR MODIFICATION SHALL NOT RESULT IN A VARIATION OF THE TOTAL BUDGET, PROVIDING THAT IN THE EVENT A VARIATION FROM THE TOTAL BUDGET IS OR BECOMES NECESSARY, THIS ORDINANCE SHALL BE AMENDED ONLY BY A SUBSEQUENT ORDINANCE CONSISTENT WITH CHAPTER 166, FLORIDA STATUTES, THE CHARTER AND CODE OF ORDINANCES OF THE CITY OF NORTH LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA; PROVIDING FOR THE AUTHORIZATION TO LAPSE ALL ENCUMBRANCES OUTSTANDING AT SEPTEMBER 30, 2016, AND AUTHORIZATION TO RE-APPROPRIATE ALL UNEXPENDED CAPITAL APPROPRIATIONS, INCLUDING LAPPED CAPITAL OUTLAY ENCUMBRANCES IN THE 2016/17 BUDGET YEAR PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY; PROVIDING FOR CONFLICT; AND, PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF NORTH LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA:

Section 1. That the 2016/17 Annual Budget, attached hereto and made a part hereof as specifically as if set forth at length here at, be and the same is hereby established and adopted as the City of North Lauderdale’s final budget for 2016/17 fiscal year. This Annual Budget provides for maintaining the Ad Valorem Tax Operating Millage Levy Rate from the prior year’s rate.
Section 2. That the budget adopted hereby shall provide that the budget may be amended or adjusted by a resolution approved at the public hearing in accordance with the requirements of Chapter 200.065, Florida Statutes, as amended from time to time.

Section 3. That, subject to the adjustment and/or amendment process authorized in Section 2 thereof, amounts allocated in said budget for expenditure effective October 1, 2016 are authorized in accordance with the purposes as set forth in said budget except that no funds shall be deemed authorized for expenditure in those instances where specific and additional City Commission action and authorization is required as provided in Section 3.14 of the North Lauderdale City Charter, as well as other applicable law. That this budget shall appropriate an expenditure of funds for scheduled repayments of debt financing as required by City loan agreements.

Section 4. That the 2016/17 Annual Budget establishes, except as otherwise provided in Section 5 hereof below, limitations on expenditures by department total within fund. Said limitation meaning that the total sum allocated to each department for operating expenses may not be increased or decreased without specific authorization by a duly enacted Resolution and/or Ordinance effecting such amendment or transfer. However, specific activity amounts may be exceeded so long as excesses exist in other activities within said department budget.

Section 5. That amounts allocated to Capital Outlay items are specific authorizations and may be expended only for capital project and capital purchase purposes. The amount allocated for Capital Outlay may not be exceeded or increased without specific City Commission authorization, the same being by a duly enacted Resolution and/or Ordinance effecting such amendment or transfer.

Section 6. In the event that an authorized position is vacant and monies are available within a department’s salary appropriation to fund a temporary appointment to vacant position, the City Manager may appoint a temporary employee for a period not to exceed 90 days, to fill said vacant position. Said temporary appointment may be renewable for an additional 90-day period and may only be made for the period pending the filling of the authorized position by a permanent employee.

Section 7. That when the City of North Lauderdale receives monies from any source, be it private or governmental, by Grant, Gift, or otherwise, to which there is attached as a condition of acceptance, any limitation regarding the use or expenditure of the monies received, the funds so received need not be shown in the Annual Budget nor shall said budget be subject to amendment or expenditure as a result of the receipt of said monies, but said monies shall only be disbursed and applied toward the purposes for which the said funds were received. To ensure the integrity of the Operating Budget, and the integrity of the monies received by the City under Grants or Gifts, all monies received as contemplated above must, upon receipt, be segregated and accounted for based upon accepted contemporary Florida Municipal Accounting Principles and where appropriate, placed into separate and individual trust and/or escrow accounts from which any money drawn may only be disbursed and applied within the limitations placed upon the Gift or Grant as aforesaid.
Section 8. That the Omnibus Appropriation and Expenditure Authorization as contained in Section 3 hereof may be temporarily or permanently suspended by Resolution of the City Commission if at any time it appears that the projected revenue supporting the above-described budget is below anticipated levels or maybe temporarily or permanently suspended by Resolution of the City Commission for any other reason or purpose deemed proper by the Commission.

Section 9. Upon the passage and adoption of the 2016/17 fiscal year budget for the City of North Lauderdale, should the City Manager determine that Department Total or a Capital Outlay will exceed its original appropriation, the City Manager is hereby authorized and directed to prepare such Resolutions as may be necessary and proper to administratively and publicly adjust or modify any line item from the Budget hereby adopted so long as such adjustment or modification shall not result in a variation of the total budget appropriation adopted pursuant to this Ordinance, as provided by General or Special Law.

Section 10. In the event a variation from the total budget appropriation is or becomes necessary, such variations shall only become legally effective upon the adoption of an amending Ordinance consistent with Chapter 166, Florida Statutes, the Charter and Code or Ordinances of the City of North Lauderdale, Florida. Notwithstanding this limitation, health insurance coverage shall be available to and paid for by the City on behalf of the City’s elected officials in a manner consistent with the City’s general employees at a cost which shall not exceed 100% of the City’s monthly premium amount, and further recognizing that, for the economic benefit of the City, staff shall make every effort to pay directly to their insurance carrier the monthly cost of an elected official’s health coverage in an amount not exceeding 100% of comparable City health cost should the member have their health insurance coverage from another source.

Section 11. That all outstanding encumbrances for operating expenditures at September 30, 2016 shall lapse at that time; and, that all outstanding encumbrances for Capital Expenditures as at September 30, 2016 shall lapse at that time; and, that all unexpended Capital appropriations, including outstanding encumbrances, may be added to the corresponding 2016/17 available balances and be simultaneously re-appropriated for capital expenditures, as previously approved in the 2016/17 fiscal year. It is contemplated and acknowledged that the possible addition of available Capital balances in other Funds and their simultaneous re-appropriation under this Section shall not be interpreted or construed as an increase in revenues available for appropriation under Section 3.14 of the City Charter or as a variation of the total budget under Section 10 of the City’s Original Budget Ordinance.

Section 12. If any clause, section, or other part or application this Ordinance shall be held by any Court of competent jurisdiction to be unconstitutional or invalid, such unconstitutional or invalid part or application shall be considered as eliminated and so not affecting the validity of the remaining portions or applications remaining in full force and effect.

Section 13. All Ordinances or parts of Ordinances in conflict herewith be and the same are repealed to the extent of such conflict.

Section 14. This Ordinance shall take effect on October 1, 2016.
PASSED on first reading by the City Commission of the City of North Lauderdale, Florida this 14th day of September, 2016.

PASSED and ADOPTED on second reading by the City Commission of the City of North Lauderdale, Florida, this _____ day of September, 2016.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

______________________________
CITY ATTORNEY SAMUEL S. GOREN

______________________________
MAYOR JACK BRADY

______________________________
VICE MAYOR DAVID G. HILTON

ATTEST

______________________________
CITY CLERK PATRICIA VANCHERI
### General Fund Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances
#### City of North Lauderdale
#### Budget for Fiscal Year 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Taxes</td>
<td>$10,557,693</td>
<td>$11,076,259</td>
<td>$11,405,812</td>
<td>$12,031,535</td>
<td>$12,772,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Licenses and permits</td>
<td>4,326,828</td>
<td>4,554,994</td>
<td>4,836,099</td>
<td>4,904,400</td>
<td>4,677,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intergovernmental revenue</td>
<td>4,576,522</td>
<td>5,010,903</td>
<td>5,341,973</td>
<td>5,053,397</td>
<td>5,408,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charges for services</td>
<td>3,459,829</td>
<td>3,520,047</td>
<td>3,751,841</td>
<td>4,427,188</td>
<td>4,399,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fines and forfeitures</td>
<td>692,817</td>
<td>635,981</td>
<td>1,290,383</td>
<td>570,000</td>
<td>624,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous revenue</td>
<td>2,235,474</td>
<td>1,180,094</td>
<td>2,190,099</td>
<td>1,102,000</td>
<td>1,199,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of fund balance</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>477,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total revenue</strong></td>
<td>$25,849,163</td>
<td>$25,978,278</td>
<td>$28,816,207</td>
<td>$28,088,520</td>
<td>$29,557,500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expenditures:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Departmental</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Commission</td>
<td>$357,560</td>
<td>$376,726</td>
<td>$382,772</td>
<td>$420,386</td>
<td>$447,760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Manager</td>
<td>407,534</td>
<td>415,423</td>
<td>399,680</td>
<td>420,386</td>
<td>436,730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Attorney</td>
<td>274,417</td>
<td>233,703</td>
<td>246,710</td>
<td>274,488</td>
<td>274,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Clerk</td>
<td>265,392</td>
<td>246,707</td>
<td>305,615</td>
<td>416,260</td>
<td>401,710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Resources</td>
<td>285,564</td>
<td>284,728</td>
<td>305,615</td>
<td>416,260</td>
<td>401,710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finance</td>
<td>825,421</td>
<td>830,549</td>
<td>862,776</td>
<td>917,030</td>
<td>841,680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Works</td>
<td>3,918,901</td>
<td>3,344,658</td>
<td>3,402,150</td>
<td>5,098,266</td>
<td>5,307,630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks and Recreation</td>
<td>3,858,987</td>
<td>3,976,262</td>
<td>4,049,355</td>
<td>4,549,138</td>
<td>4,595,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Development</td>
<td>1,780,235</td>
<td>1,690,454</td>
<td>1,782,358</td>
<td>2,107,188</td>
<td>2,166,660</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Police - Contractual</td>
<td>8,534,548</td>
<td>8,841,340</td>
<td>9,263,901</td>
<td>9,409,673</td>
<td>9,875,070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Departmental</td>
<td>2,951,403</td>
<td>2,967,183</td>
<td>3,130,385</td>
<td>4,160,652</td>
<td>4,916,820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total expenditures</strong></td>
<td>$23,459,962</td>
<td>$23,207,733</td>
<td>$24,064,270</td>
<td>$28,088,520</td>
<td>$29,557,500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Change in Fund Balance | $2,389,201 | $2,770,545 | $4,751,937 | - | $477,100 |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fund balance</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beginning balance</td>
<td>$27,963,301</td>
<td>$30,352,502</td>
<td>$33,123,047</td>
<td>$37,874,984</td>
<td>$37,874,984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ending balance</td>
<td>$30,352,502</td>
<td>$33,123,047</td>
<td>$37,874,984</td>
<td>$37,874,984</td>
<td>$37,397,884</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fund balances</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Assigned:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future capital projects</td>
<td>$750,000</td>
<td>$750,000</td>
<td>$750,000</td>
<td>$750,000</td>
<td>$750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disaster Assistance</td>
<td>2,450,000</td>
<td>2,450,000</td>
<td>2,450,000</td>
<td>2,450,000</td>
<td>2,450,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scholarships</td>
<td>7,311</td>
<td>7,311</td>
<td>7,311</td>
<td>7,311</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unassigned</td>
<td>27,145,191</td>
<td>29,915,736</td>
<td>34,667,673</td>
<td>34,667,673</td>
<td>34,197,884</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total fund balances</strong></td>
<td>$30,352,502</td>
<td>$33,123,047</td>
<td>$37,874,984</td>
<td>$37,874,984</td>
<td>$37,397,884</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Fire/Rescue Special Revenue Fund Schedule of Revenue and Expenditures

**City of North Lauderdale**

**Budget for Fiscal Year 2017**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FY 2013 Actual</th>
<th>FY 2014 Actual</th>
<th>FY 2015 Actual</th>
<th>FY 2016 Budget</th>
<th>FY 2017 Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Revenue:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Assessments</td>
<td>$3,278,900</td>
<td>$3,778,046</td>
<td>$3,610,917</td>
<td>$4,097,132</td>
<td>$3,912,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Assessments-Interim</td>
<td>24,110</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2,425</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shared Revenue - Firefighter Supplemental Comp</td>
<td>23,267</td>
<td>19,282</td>
<td>19,242</td>
<td>17,527</td>
<td>17,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest Earnings</td>
<td>1,106</td>
<td>3,541</td>
<td>4,170</td>
<td>4,000</td>
<td>4,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous Revenue-Other</td>
<td>1,436</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfer In-General Fund for EMS Subsidy</td>
<td>600,000</td>
<td>550,000</td>
<td>650,000</td>
<td>939,724</td>
<td>1,254,920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfer In-General Fund for Exempt Properties</td>
<td>498,157</td>
<td>569,886</td>
<td>524,503</td>
<td>659,233</td>
<td>289,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of Fund Balance or Net Position</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>125,000</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fees-Other Permits</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4,142</td>
<td>10,658</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charges for Services-F/R Inspection Fees</td>
<td>443</td>
<td>6,464</td>
<td>11,659</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>12,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charges for Services-EMS</td>
<td>862,404</td>
<td>62,692</td>
<td>215,749</td>
<td>450,000</td>
<td>743,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charges for Services-Other Fees</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total revenue</strong></td>
<td>$5,289,823</td>
<td>$4,994,420</td>
<td>$5,049,464</td>
<td>$6,317,716</td>
<td>$6,243,820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Expenditures:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative Division</td>
<td>$629,484</td>
<td>$601,466</td>
<td>$607,875</td>
<td>$949,788</td>
<td>$940,330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating Division (Station 34 &amp; 44)</td>
<td>4,383,499</td>
<td>4,578,815</td>
<td>4,713,934</td>
<td>4,906,608</td>
<td>5,062,990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Departmental</td>
<td>326,945</td>
<td>555,242</td>
<td>372,529</td>
<td>461,320</td>
<td>240,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total expenditures</strong></td>
<td>$5,339,928</td>
<td>$5,735,523</td>
<td>$5,694,338</td>
<td>$6,317,716</td>
<td>$6,243,820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Change in fund balance</strong></td>
<td>$(50,105)</td>
<td>$(741,103)</td>
<td>$(644,874)</td>
<td>$(125,000)</td>
<td>$-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fund balance**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FY 2013</th>
<th>FY 2014</th>
<th>FY 2015</th>
<th>FY 2016</th>
<th>FY 2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beginning balance</td>
<td>$2,446,545</td>
<td>$2,396,440</td>
<td>$1,655,337</td>
<td>$1,010,463</td>
<td>$885,463</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ending balance</td>
<td>$2,396,440</td>
<td>$1,655,337</td>
<td>$1,010,463</td>
<td>$885,463</td>
<td>$885,463</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
North Lauderdale Water Control District Special Revenue Fund Schedule of Revenue and Expenditures
City of North Lauderdale
Budget for Fiscal Year 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FY 2013 Actual</th>
<th>FY 2014 Actual</th>
<th>FY 2015 Actual</th>
<th>FY 2016 Budget</th>
<th>FY 2017 Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Revenue:</strong></td>
<td>$55.15</td>
<td>$55.15</td>
<td>$55.15</td>
<td>$55.15</td>
<td>$55.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance Assessment (per unit):</td>
<td>$55.15</td>
<td>$55.15</td>
<td>$55.15</td>
<td>$55.15</td>
<td>$55.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Assessments</td>
<td>$617,906</td>
<td>$616,589</td>
<td>$617,068</td>
<td>$610,815</td>
<td>$611,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Assessments-WCD Brookside</td>
<td>$3,177</td>
<td>$3,210</td>
<td>$3,177</td>
<td>$3,177</td>
<td>$3,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest Earnings</td>
<td>1,521</td>
<td>821</td>
<td>1,435</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous Revenue-Other</td>
<td>2,111</td>
<td>9,089</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfer In-General Fund for Exempt Properties</td>
<td>50,242</td>
<td>51,896</td>
<td>65,518</td>
<td>62,739</td>
<td>62,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of Fund Balance or Net Position</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3,396</td>
<td>9,140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total revenue</strong></td>
<td>$674,957</td>
<td>$681,605</td>
<td>$687,238</td>
<td>$680,127</td>
<td>$687,040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Expenditures:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal Maintenance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative Costs</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$59,784</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal Maintenance</td>
<td>540,296</td>
<td>540,298</td>
<td>531,464</td>
<td>607,543</td>
<td>621,440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessment Comm Fees</td>
<td>12,358</td>
<td>12,332</td>
<td>12,341</td>
<td>12,800</td>
<td>12,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cap Outlay-Machinery/Equip</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24,099</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total expenditures</strong></td>
<td>$552,654</td>
<td>$552,630</td>
<td>$567,904</td>
<td>$680,127</td>
<td>$687,040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Change in Fund Balance</strong></td>
<td>$122,303</td>
<td>$128,975</td>
<td>$119,334</td>
<td>$(3,396)</td>
<td>$(9,140)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fund balance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beginning balance</td>
<td>$770,082</td>
<td>$892,385</td>
<td>$1,021,360</td>
<td>$1,140,694</td>
<td>$1,137,298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ending balance</td>
<td>$892,385</td>
<td>$1,021,360</td>
<td>$1,140,694</td>
<td>$1,137,298</td>
<td>$1,128,158</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Debt Service Fund (210)

### Revenue:
- **Ad Valorem Taxes Delinquent**
  - FY 2013: $960
  - FY 2014: $640
  - FY 2015: $601
  - FY 2016: $-
  - FY 2017: $-
- **Interest Earnings**
  - FY 2013: $24
  - FY 2014: $26
  - FY 2015: $25
  - FY 2016: $-
  - FY 2017: $-
- **Transfer In-General Fund for Debt Service**
  - FY 2013: 715,143
  - FY 2014: 715,143
  - FY 2015: 715,143
  - FY 2016: 715,144
  - FY 2017: 676,200
- **Use of Fund Balance or Net Position**
  - FY 2013: $-
  - FY 2014: $-
  - FY 2015: $-
  - FY 2016: $39,000
  - FY 2017: $-

**Total revenue**
- FY 2013: $716,127
- FY 2014: $715,809
- FY 2015: $715,769
- FY 2016: $715,144
- FY 2017: $715,200

### Expenditures:
- **Principal-2003A Cir Bonds**
  - FY 2013: $508,875
  - FY 2014: $533,334
  - FY 2015: $558,968
  - FY 2016: $585,834
  - FY 2017: $614,000
- **Interest-2003A Cir Bonds**
  - FY 2013: $206,268
  - FY 2014: $181,810
  - FY 2015: $156,175
  - FY 2016: $129,310
  - FY 2017: $101,200
- **Bond Costs**
  - FY 2013: $6,417
  - FY 2014: $-
  - FY 2015: $-
  - FY 2016: $-
  - FY 2017: $-

**Total expenditures**
- FY 2013: $721,560
- FY 2014: $715,144
- FY 2015: $715,143
- FY 2016: $715,144
- FY 2017: $715,200

### Change in Fund Balance
- FY 2013: $(5,433)
- FY 2014: $665
- FY 2015: $626
- FY 2016: $-
- FY 2017: $(39,000)

**Fund balance**
- **Beginning balance**
  - FY 2013: $93,619
  - FY 2014: $88,186
  - FY 2015: $88,851
  - FY 2016: $89,477
  - FY 2017: $89,477
- **Ending balance**
  - FY 2013: $88,186
  - FY 2014: $88,851
  - FY 2015: $89,477
  - FY 2016: $89,477
  - FY 2017: $50,477
## Capital Projects Fund Schedule of Revenue and Expenditures
### City of North Lauderdale
#### Budget for Fiscal Year 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transfer In-General Fund for Capital Projects</td>
<td>$600,000</td>
<td>$600,000</td>
<td>$700,000</td>
<td>$804,000</td>
<td>$1,710,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest Earnings</td>
<td>16,669</td>
<td>41,910</td>
<td>29,648</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous Revenue</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>298,278</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total revenue</strong></td>
<td><strong>$616,669</strong></td>
<td><strong>$641,910</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,027,926</strong></td>
<td><strong>$804,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,710,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parks &amp; Recreation</td>
<td>$1,242,481</td>
<td>$550,881</td>
<td>$504,808</td>
<td>$515,000</td>
<td>$1,025,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Development</td>
<td>18,514</td>
<td>39,303</td>
<td>5,287</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>30,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Works</td>
<td>24,126</td>
<td>556,906</td>
<td>1,933,172</td>
<td>259,000</td>
<td>655,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information Technology</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>230,560</td>
<td>62,946</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total expenditures</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,285,121</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,377,650</strong></td>
<td><strong>$2,506,213</strong></td>
<td><strong>$804,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,710,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Change in Fund Balance</th>
<th>FY 2013</th>
<th>FY 2014</th>
<th>FY 2015</th>
<th>FY 2016</th>
<th>FY 2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>$ (668,452)</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ (735,740)</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ (1,478,287)</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beginning balance</td>
<td>$5,248,756</td>
<td>$4,580,304</td>
<td>$3,844,564</td>
<td>$2,366,277</td>
<td>$2,366,277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ending balance</td>
<td><strong>$4,580,304</strong></td>
<td><strong>$3,844,564</strong></td>
<td><strong>$2,366,277</strong></td>
<td><strong>$2,366,277</strong></td>
<td><strong>$2,366,277</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilities Fund Schedule of Revenues and Expenses</td>
<td>City of North Lauderdale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Budget for Fiscal Year 2017</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Utilities Fund (401)

**Revenue:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>FY 2013 Actual</th>
<th>FY 2014 Actual</th>
<th>FY 2015 Actual</th>
<th>FY 2016 Budget</th>
<th>FY 2017 Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water Sales</td>
<td>$ 4,663,088</td>
<td>$ 4,735,534</td>
<td>$ 4,956,520</td>
<td>$ 5,220,926</td>
<td>$ 5,335,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sale of Meters</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2,820</td>
<td>4,480</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>1,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backflow Certification Fees</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15,264</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilities Late Fees</td>
<td>505,631</td>
<td>482,840</td>
<td>43,499</td>
<td>250,000</td>
<td>400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilities Turn Off Fees</td>
<td>118,506</td>
<td>185,027</td>
<td>333,680</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilities Returned Check Fees</td>
<td>3,553</td>
<td>3,658</td>
<td>3,656</td>
<td>3,500</td>
<td>3,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilities Hook Up Fees</td>
<td>16,050</td>
<td>19,020</td>
<td>18,920</td>
<td>12,000</td>
<td>13,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilities Miscellaneous</td>
<td>7,142</td>
<td>21,016</td>
<td>27,620</td>
<td>7,500</td>
<td>7,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Availability Fee</td>
<td>120,985</td>
<td>70,239</td>
<td>53,458</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sewer Sales</td>
<td>5,898,283</td>
<td>6,065,221</td>
<td>6,272,801</td>
<td>6,686,905</td>
<td>6,752,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment Earnings</td>
<td>7,226</td>
<td>65,519</td>
<td>103,957</td>
<td>51,000</td>
<td>69,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>594,740</td>
<td>70,054</td>
<td>(124)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total revenue</strong></td>
<td>$ 11,935,204</td>
<td>$ 11,720,948</td>
<td>$ 12,225,231</td>
<td>$ 12,383,331</td>
<td>$ 12,731,800</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Expenditures:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>FY 2013 Actual</th>
<th>FY 2014 Actual</th>
<th>FY 2015 Actual</th>
<th>FY 2016 Budget</th>
<th>FY 2017 Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water Plant</td>
<td>$ 1,714,729</td>
<td>$ 2,869,932</td>
<td>$ 2,104,208</td>
<td>$ 2,062,045</td>
<td>$ 2,189,210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Distribution</td>
<td>1,221,983</td>
<td>1,210,114</td>
<td>1,327,741</td>
<td>1,496,857</td>
<td>1,550,750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sewer Operations</td>
<td>3,000,395</td>
<td>3,407,412</td>
<td>4,011,363</td>
<td>6,066,206</td>
<td>5,927,660</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Departmental</td>
<td>2,247,215</td>
<td>2,245,883</td>
<td>2,410,840</td>
<td>2,758,223</td>
<td>3,064,180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total expenses</strong></td>
<td>$ 8,184,322</td>
<td>$ 9,733,341</td>
<td>$ 9,854,152</td>
<td>$ 12,383,331</td>
<td>$ 12,731,800</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Change in Net Position**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>FY 2013 Actual</th>
<th>FY 2014 Actual</th>
<th>FY 2015 Actual</th>
<th>FY 2016 Budget</th>
<th>FY 2017 Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Net position, beginning</td>
<td>$ 3,750,882</td>
<td>$ 1,987,607</td>
<td>$ 2,371,079</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net position, ending</td>
<td>$ 31,387,129</td>
<td>$ 33,374,736</td>
<td>$ 35,745,815</td>
<td>$ 35,745,815</td>
<td>$ 35,745,815</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Net Position**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>FY 2013 Actual</th>
<th>FY 2014 Actual</th>
<th>FY 2015 Actual</th>
<th>FY 2016 Budget</th>
<th>FY 2017 Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Net investment in capital assets</td>
<td>$ 13,545,927</td>
<td>$ 12,545,246</td>
<td>$ 12,151,308</td>
<td>$ 12,151,308</td>
<td>$ 12,151,308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unrestricted</td>
<td>17,841,202</td>
<td>20,829,490</td>
<td>23,594,507</td>
<td>23,594,507</td>
<td>23,594,507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total net position</strong></td>
<td>$ 31,387,129</td>
<td>$ 33,374,736</td>
<td>$ 35,745,815</td>
<td>$ 35,745,815</td>
<td>$ 35,745,815</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Stormwater Management Fund (411)

#### Revenue:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FY 2013 Actual</th>
<th>FY 2014 Actual</th>
<th>FY 2015 Actual</th>
<th>FY 2016 Budget</th>
<th>FY 2017 Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stormwater Fees</td>
<td>723,343</td>
<td>732,435</td>
<td>730,508</td>
<td>722,997</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment Earnings</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>8,755</td>
<td>11,900</td>
<td>7,500</td>
<td>9,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of Fund Balance or Net Position</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>317,802</td>
<td>153,030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total revenue</strong></td>
<td><strong>723,873</strong></td>
<td><strong>741,190</strong></td>
<td><strong>742,408</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,048,299</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,162,030</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Expenses:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FY 2013 Actual</th>
<th>FY 2014 Actual</th>
<th>FY 2015 Actual</th>
<th>FY 2016 Actual</th>
<th>FY 2017 Actual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regular Salaries and Wages</td>
<td>347,966</td>
<td>416,442</td>
<td>426,935</td>
<td>466,252</td>
<td>480,010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sick and Vacation Payout</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(8,919)</td>
<td>13,304</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overtime</td>
<td>5,556</td>
<td>2,765</td>
<td>5,703</td>
<td>4,200</td>
<td>4,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fica Taxes</td>
<td>26,134</td>
<td>30,649</td>
<td>31,674</td>
<td>34,075</td>
<td>34,360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>401 (A) Retirement</td>
<td>39,508</td>
<td>50,912</td>
<td>40,624</td>
<td>65,282</td>
<td>66,990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health/Dental Insurance</td>
<td>1,945</td>
<td>2,848</td>
<td>3,186</td>
<td>4,346</td>
<td>4,920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disability Insurance</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,816</td>
<td>4,346</td>
<td>4,920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life Insurance</td>
<td>2,229</td>
<td>2,421</td>
<td>2,309</td>
<td>2,688</td>
<td>2,740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal Services</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Architect and Engineering</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19,351</td>
<td>3,668</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal Admin Costs</td>
<td>90,000</td>
<td>90,000</td>
<td>90,000</td>
<td>89,388</td>
<td>81,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Street Cleaning</td>
<td>11,021</td>
<td>11,798</td>
<td>11,441</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storm Drain Maintenance</td>
<td>20,250</td>
<td>7,458</td>
<td>3,915</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statement Preparation</td>
<td>10,145</td>
<td>10,196</td>
<td>10,199</td>
<td>10,600</td>
<td>10,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calvert Cleaning</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R&amp;M-Equipment Maint.</td>
<td>5,424</td>
<td>13,015</td>
<td>9,015</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payment In Lieu of Taxes</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17,483</td>
<td>15,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Permits</td>
<td>7,984</td>
<td>9,773</td>
<td>9,867</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>10,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Office Supplies</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>555</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>1,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel-City Vehicles</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13,671</td>
<td>11,631</td>
<td>12,000</td>
<td>12,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating Equipment</td>
<td>17,619</td>
<td>8,559</td>
<td>2,784</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemicals</td>
<td>5,237</td>
<td>665</td>
<td>786</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uniform Purchase</td>
<td>4,993</td>
<td>2,304</td>
<td>4,907</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>6,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety Equipment</td>
<td>1,732</td>
<td>2,074</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Misc Operating Supplies</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worker’s Compensation</td>
<td>6,699</td>
<td>6,699</td>
<td>6,699</td>
<td>15,829</td>
<td>14,940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance</td>
<td>2,400</td>
<td>2,400</td>
<td>2,400</td>
<td>1,429</td>
<td>1,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicles</td>
<td>11,819</td>
<td>8,901</td>
<td>8,892</td>
<td>11,924</td>
<td>12,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation</td>
<td>67,509</td>
<td>65,726</td>
<td>65,548</td>
<td>75,575</td>
<td>75,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingency-Stormwater</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10,325</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4,300</td>
<td>100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total expenses</strong></td>
<td><strong>751,327</strong></td>
<td><strong>882,072</strong></td>
<td><strong>863,693</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,048,299</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,162,030</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Change in net position

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FY 2013 Actual</th>
<th>FY 2014 Actual</th>
<th>FY 2015 Actual</th>
<th>FY 2016 Actual</th>
<th>FY 2017 Actual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net position, beginning</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,706,381</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,678,927</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,537,245</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,415,960</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,098,158</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net position, ending</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,678,927</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,537,245</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,415,960</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,098,158</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,945,128</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net investment in capital assets</strong></td>
<td><strong>759,274</strong></td>
<td><strong>693,548</strong></td>
<td><strong>628,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>628,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>628,000</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Unrestricted</strong></td>
<td>1,919,653</td>
<td>1,843,697</td>
<td>1,787,960</td>
<td>1,470,158</td>
<td>1,317,128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total net position</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,678,927</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,537,245</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,415,960</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,098,158</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,945,128</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Vehicle Maintenance Fund Schedule of Revenue and Expenses
#### City of North Lauderdale
#### Budget for Fiscal Year 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FY 2013 Actual</th>
<th>FY 2014 Actual</th>
<th>FY 2015 Actual</th>
<th>FY 2016 Budget</th>
<th>FY 2017 Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Vehicle Maintenance (501)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Revenue:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest Earnings</td>
<td>$ 303</td>
<td>$ 314</td>
<td>$ 318</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charges for Services</td>
<td>$ 327,000</td>
<td>$ 246,000</td>
<td>$ 246,000</td>
<td>$ 250,400</td>
<td>$ 253,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total revenue</strong></td>
<td>$ 327,303</td>
<td>$ 246,314</td>
<td>$ 246,318</td>
<td>$ 250,400</td>
<td>$ 253,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Expenses:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garage -Outside Svc Contract</td>
<td>$ 49,926</td>
<td>$ 50,747</td>
<td>$ 55,753</td>
<td>$ 71,400</td>
<td>$ 75,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electricity</td>
<td>$ 1,877</td>
<td>$ 2,406</td>
<td>$ 2,028</td>
<td>$ 2,000</td>
<td>$ 2,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water/Sewer/Garbage</td>
<td>$ 1,935</td>
<td>$ 477</td>
<td>$ 610</td>
<td>$ 1,000</td>
<td>$ 1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle Repairs</td>
<td>$ 815</td>
<td>$ 2,425</td>
<td>$ 5,375</td>
<td>$ 4,000</td>
<td>$ 5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Current Charges-Misc</td>
<td>$ 628</td>
<td>$ 1,678</td>
<td>$ 5,352</td>
<td>$ 1,000</td>
<td>$ 6,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Car Wash</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$ 1,000</td>
<td>$ 500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle Parts</td>
<td>$ 70,791</td>
<td>$ 80,500</td>
<td>$ 70,056</td>
<td>$ 125,000</td>
<td>$ 125,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance</td>
<td>$ 38,133</td>
<td>$ 43,290</td>
<td>$ 42,393</td>
<td>$ 45,000</td>
<td>$ 38,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total expenses</strong></td>
<td>$ 164,105</td>
<td>$ 181,523</td>
<td>$ 181,567</td>
<td>$ 250,400</td>
<td>$ 253,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Change in net position</strong></td>
<td>$ 163,198</td>
<td>$ 64,791</td>
<td>$ 64,751</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net position, beginning</td>
<td>$ 1,186,303</td>
<td>$ 1,349,501</td>
<td>$ 1,414,292</td>
<td>$ 1,479,043</td>
<td>$ 1,479,043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net position, ending</td>
<td>$ 1,349,501</td>
<td>$ 1,414,292</td>
<td>$ 1,479,043</td>
<td>$ 1,479,043</td>
<td>$ 1,479,043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net Position</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unrestricted</td>
<td>$ 1,349,501</td>
<td>$ 1,414,292</td>
<td>$ 1,479,043</td>
<td>$ 1,479,043</td>
<td>$ 1,479,043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total net position</td>
<td>$ 1,349,501</td>
<td>$ 1,414,292</td>
<td>$ 1,479,043</td>
<td>$ 1,479,043</td>
<td>$ 1,479,043</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Information Technology Fund Schedule of Revenue and Expenses

**City of North Lauderdale**

**Budget for Fiscal Year 2017**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Information Technology Fund (530)</th>
<th>FY 2013 Actual</th>
<th>FY 2014 Actual</th>
<th>FY 2015 Actual</th>
<th>FY 2016 Budget</th>
<th>FY 2017 Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Revenue:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charges for Services</td>
<td>$774,371</td>
<td>$857,156</td>
<td>$857,156</td>
<td>$980,125</td>
<td>$966,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest Earnings</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of Fund Balance or Net Position</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total revenue</strong></td>
<td>$774,601</td>
<td>$857,397</td>
<td>$857,398</td>
<td>$980,125</td>
<td>$1,366,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Expenses:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regular Salaries And Wages</td>
<td>$182,105</td>
<td>$143,005</td>
<td>$118,584</td>
<td>$146,147</td>
<td>$158,730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overtime</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fica Taxes</td>
<td>13,637</td>
<td>11,698</td>
<td>8,767</td>
<td>10,851</td>
<td>11,130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>401 (A) Retirement</td>
<td>23,630</td>
<td>19,052</td>
<td>15,168</td>
<td>20,227</td>
<td>20,920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>457 Deferred Compensation</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>808</td>
<td>1,125</td>
<td>1,502</td>
<td>2,260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health/Dental Insurance</td>
<td>25,825</td>
<td>21,089</td>
<td>19,881</td>
<td>23,054</td>
<td>23,420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disability Insurance</td>
<td>1,643</td>
<td>1,188</td>
<td>762</td>
<td>1,356</td>
<td>1,530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life Insurance</td>
<td>565</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>364</td>
<td>504</td>
<td>520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Consulting</td>
<td>86,178</td>
<td>54,788</td>
<td>53,218</td>
<td>60,000</td>
<td>60,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Munis Training/Contract</td>
<td>1,100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Munis Tech Support</td>
<td>4,673</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel And Per Diem</td>
<td>1,772</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication Services</td>
<td>4,060</td>
<td>82,178</td>
<td>71,739</td>
<td>75,000</td>
<td>75,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication-Internet</td>
<td>41,365</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R&amp;M-Computer Software</td>
<td>96,044</td>
<td>144,480</td>
<td>217,680</td>
<td>180,300</td>
<td>180,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R&amp;M-Server Maintenance</td>
<td>3,051</td>
<td>7,312</td>
<td>3,819</td>
<td>8,000</td>
<td>8,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R&amp;M-Munis Software Maint</td>
<td>54,630</td>
<td>59,927</td>
<td>66,456</td>
<td>73,000</td>
<td>80,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R&amp;M-Printer Maintenance</td>
<td>1,176</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Current Charges-Misc</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>7,299</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Office Supplies</td>
<td>9,446</td>
<td>3,911</td>
<td>2,702</td>
<td>3,500</td>
<td>3,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer Software- Not Capital</td>
<td>1,071</td>
<td>12,947</td>
<td>33,766</td>
<td>35,000</td>
<td>35,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer Hardware- Not Capital</td>
<td>49,771</td>
<td>51,072</td>
<td>46,827</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memberships/Prof Dues</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3,575</td>
<td>4,000</td>
<td>4,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training And Seminars</td>
<td>1,366</td>
<td>5,807</td>
<td>9,989</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I/S - Worker’S Compensation</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I/S - Insurance</td>
<td>4,330</td>
<td>4,400</td>
<td>4,400</td>
<td>3,669</td>
<td>3,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation</td>
<td>86,273</td>
<td>78,362</td>
<td>1,894</td>
<td>88,997</td>
<td>88,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay- Hardware</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>450,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay- Software</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>80,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingency</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>77,378</td>
<td>77,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total expenses</strong></td>
<td>$701,173</td>
<td>$712,924</td>
<td>$681,211</td>
<td>$980,125</td>
<td>$1,366,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Change in net position</strong></td>
<td>$73,428</td>
<td>$144,473</td>
<td>$176,187</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$(400,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net position, beginning</td>
<td>$896,491</td>
<td>$969,919</td>
<td>$1,114,392</td>
<td>$1,290,579</td>
<td>$1,290,579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net position, ending</td>
<td>$969,919</td>
<td>$1,114,392</td>
<td>$1,290,579</td>
<td>$1,290,579</td>
<td>$890,579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net Position</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net investment in capital assets</td>
<td>$118,977</td>
<td>$33,692</td>
<td>$31,798</td>
<td>$31,798</td>
<td>$31,798</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unrestricted</td>
<td>850,942</td>
<td>1,080,700</td>
<td>1,258,781</td>
<td>1,258,781</td>
<td>858,781</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total net position</strong></td>
<td>$969,919</td>
<td>$1,114,392</td>
<td>$1,290,579</td>
<td>$1,290,579</td>
<td>$890,579</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Insurance Fund Schedule of Revenue and Expenses
### City of North Lauderdale
### Budget for Fiscal Year 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FY 2013 Actual</th>
<th>FY 2014 Actual</th>
<th>FY 2015 Actual</th>
<th>FY 2016 Budget</th>
<th>FY 2017 Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Insurance Fund (550)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Revenue:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest Earnings</td>
<td>$1,248</td>
<td>$1,293</td>
<td>$1,304</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance Proceeds-Not City Reimburs</td>
<td>$325</td>
<td>27,117</td>
<td>291,171</td>
<td>281,700</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charges for Services-Workers Compensation</td>
<td>176,386</td>
<td>176,386</td>
<td>176,386</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charges for Services-General Insurance</td>
<td>423,185</td>
<td>423,185</td>
<td>423,185</td>
<td>462,909</td>
<td>473,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total revenue</strong></td>
<td><strong>$601,144</strong></td>
<td><strong>$627,981</strong></td>
<td><strong>$600,875</strong></td>
<td><strong>$754,080</strong></td>
<td><strong>$754,700</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Expenses:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal Svcs-Outside Counsel</td>
<td>$1,592</td>
<td>1,098</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16,444</td>
<td>12,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Liability</td>
<td>91,872</td>
<td>97,488</td>
<td>115,654</td>
<td>126,134</td>
<td>139,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Automotive Insurance</td>
<td>37,334</td>
<td>38,063</td>
<td>38,536</td>
<td>41,331</td>
<td>35,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property Insurance</td>
<td>203,070</td>
<td>203,933</td>
<td>205,820</td>
<td>224,000</td>
<td>223,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Insurance</td>
<td>7,539</td>
<td>3,397</td>
<td>2,897</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>7,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workers Compensation Exp</td>
<td>183,855</td>
<td>187,201</td>
<td>317,868</td>
<td>291,171</td>
<td>281,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claims Under Deductable</td>
<td>43,096</td>
<td>53,873</td>
<td>31,965</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>45,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claims Not Submitted To Ins</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>9,636</td>
<td>2,425</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accident Prevention</td>
<td>2,489</td>
<td>3,614</td>
<td>3,762</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total expenses</strong></td>
<td><strong>$570,844</strong></td>
<td><strong>$598,303</strong></td>
<td><strong>$718,927</strong></td>
<td><strong>$754,080</strong></td>
<td><strong>$754,700</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Change in net position</strong></td>
<td><strong>$30,300</strong></td>
<td><strong>$29,678</strong></td>
<td><strong>(118,052)</strong></td>
<td><strong>-</strong></td>
<td><strong>-</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net position, beginning</strong></td>
<td><strong>$4,175,965</strong></td>
<td><strong>$4,206,265</strong></td>
<td><strong>$4,235,943</strong></td>
<td><strong>$4,117,891</strong></td>
<td><strong>$4,117,891</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net position, ending</strong></td>
<td><strong>$4,206,265</strong></td>
<td><strong>$4,235,943</strong></td>
<td><strong>$4,117,891</strong></td>
<td><strong>$4,117,891</strong></td>
<td><strong>$4,117,891</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Net Position

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FY 2013</th>
<th>FY 2014</th>
<th>FY 2015</th>
<th>FY 2016</th>
<th>FY 2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unrestricted</td>
<td>$4,206,265</td>
<td>$4,235,943</td>
<td>$4,117,891</td>
<td>$4,117,891</td>
<td>$4,117,891</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total net position</td>
<td>$4,206,265</td>
<td>$4,235,943</td>
<td>$4,117,891</td>
<td>$4,117,891</td>
<td>$4,117,891</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
WHEREAS, GG’s of New York was established in 1937 by the Delgardio family and opened as Troy Restaurant and Pizzeria on Atlantic Avenue in Brooklyn; and

WHEREAS, in 1948 the Delgardio family moved to Utica Avenue in Brooklyn to open Star Pizzeria and Restaurant; and

WHEREAS, in 1950 the Delgardios expanded and established the famous Del Dio’s Pizzeria and Restaurant in Canarsie, Brooklyn; and

WHEREAS, the family opened GG’s of New York in their current location on State Road 7 in North Lauderdale in 1976 and has enjoyed 40 years of success in the business; and

WHEREAS, as a family owned and operated restaurant, GG’s takes pride in serving the community with time-honored Italian recipes and signature dishes handed down from three generations of Italian chefs and prepared with the special care that the Delgardios can offer.

NOW THEREFORE, the City of North Lauderdale’s Mayor and Commission pays tribute and extends greetings and best wishes to the Delgardio Family on this milestone and for many years of success in the future.

Dated this 14th day of August, 2016

__________________________
MAYOR JACK BRADY
Proclamation

LEUKEMIA & LYMPHOMA SOCIETY
BLOOD CANCER AWARENESS MONTH

WHEREAS, in the United States, an estimated 1,237,824 people are living with, or are in remission from, leukemia, lymphoma, myeloma or other form of blood cancer, with an estimated 171,550 new cases expected to be diagnosed in 2016; and

WHEREAS, leukemia, lymphoma, myeloma and other blood cancers will kill an estimated 58,320 people in the United States this year alone; and

WHEREAS, The Leukemia & Lymphoma Society (LLS), exists to find cures and ensure access to treatments for blood cancer patients, and

WHEREAS, LLS maintains an office in Hollywood to help improve the quality of life for blood cancer patients and their families in the State of Florida; and

WHEREAS, the City of North Lauderdale acknowledges a need for the eradication of these diseases and supports the treatment of blood cancer patients and their families; and

WHEREAS, the City of North Lauderdale encourages private efforts to enhance research funding and education programs that are saving lives, not someday, but today.

NOW THEREFORE, be it resolved that by virtue of the authority vested in me as Mayor of the City of North Lauderdale, I, Jack Brady, together with the City Commission does hereby proclaim the month of September as

BLOOD CANCER AWARENESS MONTH

and supports the efforts of the LLS to educate North Lauderdale’s citizens about the need to find cures and create access to treatments for all types of blood cancers; to get involved by making a donation; volunteering; or participating in fundraising for lifesaving research to advance breakthrough therapies for blood cancer patients.

Dated this 14th day of September, 2016

______________________________
MAYOR JACK BRADY
TO: Mayor and City Commission

FROM: Ambreen Bhatti, City Manager

BY: Tammy Reed-Holguin, Community Development Director

DATE: September 14, 2016

SUBJECT: 1st Reading: VAR - 16-03 Easy Self Storage

Folio # 494111280020 W. McNab Road and Avon Lane

APPLICANT: SS McNab LLC.

Variance to accommodate the building of a storage facility including Building height requirement per Section 106-490 (10) and fence height per Section 106-184 Fences, Walls and Hedges within a light industrial (M-1) zoning district.

BACKGROUND
Tonight the City Commission is considering a site plan to build a 100,000 square foot storage facility on a vacant parcel located east of Avon Lane on the south side of McNab Road. To accommodate the construction, the applicant is requesting two variances from the City Code of Ordinances. The variances being requested are for an 8 foot high wall and fence combination around the property where six feet are allowed and to allow building that is 53 1/2 feet high where 45 feet is allowed. The specific extent of this request is provided in attachment A.

ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS

1. Building Height. Section 106-490 (10) of the City Code limits the building height to 45 feet. According to the site plan, the proposed height is 53 1/2 feet.

2. Fences, Walls and Hedges Height. Section 106-184 b of the City Code limits the height of walls, fences and hedges to 6 feet. The applicant is proposing to provide the 8 foot tall masonry wall around the building and 8 feet fenced sections at intervals between the masonry wall as shown on the site plan. This will require a 2 foot variance from the height limitations.

Per the guidelines and criteria contained in Section 106-79 of the City Code, a variance shall not be granted unless the City’s governing body determines the following:

1. That special circumstances exist affecting the land involved preventing the reasonable use of such land.
2. That the circumstances, which cause the hardship, are peculiar to the property, such that they clearly constitute marked exceptions to other properties in the district.
3. That the hardship is not an economical hardship.
4. That the hardship is not self-created.
5. That the variance is the minimum variance that will make possible the reasonable use of the property and will not be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare.

Staff has reviewed the application in light of the guidelines and criterion listed above and determined that it reasonably meets the guidelines established for granting a variance, and will enable the reasonable use of this property. This determination is based upon the following facts:

- The variance for the height of the building permits the concealment of the air conditioning units and increases the architectural interest of the rectangular building by incorporating the arched panel mirroring the structure of the nearby outparcels as requested by the City. The variance is minimal in nature and is proportional given that the building is setback more than 50 feet from the road.
- The two foot height variance for the perimeter fence and wall is requested by the applicant for both safety and aesthetic reasons. Staff finds this variance request reasonable given the nature of the business and surrounding area. The 8 foot enclosure appears proportional given the height of the building it is attached to.

On May 10th, 2016 the Development Review Committee met and after considerable review and discussion by the City’s staff, the applicant and the City’s consulting group Calvin, Giordano & Associates, Inc., recommended approval of the redesigned plan that includes the above requests for variances.

The Planning and Zoning board met Tuesday, August 2\textsuperscript{nd}, 2016 and recommended the item for approval by the City Commission.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

If the City Commission concurs with this request, a motion is in order to approve the attached ordinance on first reading granting the proposed variances for increased building and wall/fence height to facilitate the construction of the storage facility within a light industrial (M-1) zoning district subject to the following conditions:

1. That all applicable approvals by the City, County, State and other regulatory agencies be provided to the City of North Lauderdale prior to the issuance of permits.

2. In the event that any problems arise, as a result of the operation of this establishment, such as noise, parking, traffic, and/or other nuisances, the applicant shall make all improvements required to mitigate these nuisances so as not to negatively impact adjacent commercial/residential areas.

3. The applicant shall comply with all provisions contained in Chapter 102 “Vegetation” of the City Code and shall submit a final landscaping plan for review prior to City Commission approval.

**Motion:**

“A motion to grant the requested variances from the City of North Lauderdale Code of Ordinances to facilitate the construction of a storage facility by increasing the allowable wall/ fence height allowed and overall building height within a proposed Industrial (M-1) Zoning District.”
ORDINANCE NO. ______________

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF NORTH LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA, APPROVING AND GRANTING VARIANCES FROM THE NORTH LAUDERDALE CODE OF ORDINANCES, SECTION 106-490 (10) REGARDING OVERALL BUILDING HEIGHT WHERE 45 FEET IS ALLOWED AND 53 FEET 6 INCHES IS BEING REQUESTED; SECTION 106-184 REGARDING FENCE AND WALL HEIGHT TO PERMIT AN 8 FEET WALL AND FENCE WHERE 6 FEET HEIGHT IS ALLOWED; PROVIDING FOR STIPULATIONS AND CONDITIONS; PROVIDING THAT PROVISIONS NOT VARIED BY THIS ORDINANCE REMAIN IN FULL FORCE AND EFFECT; PROVIDING FOR CONFLICTS; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, Section 106-490 (10) of the City Code allows for a maximum building height of 45 feet in an (M-1) Light Industrial zoning district; and

WHEREAS, SS McNab LLC. has applied for a variance to allow the building to be 53 ½ feet in total height; and

WHEREAS, Section 106 – 184 requires the height of fence and walls to be limited to 6 feet and the applicant is requesting 8 feet to be allowed; and

WHEREAS, the Planning and Zoning Board recommended approval of said variance request; and

WHEREAS, the City Commission is desirous of granting the requested variances from the requirements of the aforecited Ordinance and associated Zoning Regulations and/or applicable provisions thereof to said legal titleholder(s) as they apply to the property in question.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF NORTH LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA:

Section 1: That pursuant to an application properly filed for variances from the requirements of, Section 106-490 (10) and 106-184 of the North Lauderdale Code, variances are and the same are hereby granted from the requirements for the project located at Folio # 49411280020 W. McNab Road and Avon Lane, North Lauderdale, Florida.

Section 2: That the variances are hereby granted to inure to the benefit of the present and future titleholder(s) to said property.

Section 3: That all other requirements of the North Lauderdale Code of Ordinances and associated zoning regulations are not otherwise varied by the terms of this Ordinance and shall be in full force and effect and fully applicable to the property.
Section 4: That all applicable approvals by the City, County, State and other regulatory agencies are provided to the City of North Lauderdale prior to the issuance of permits and that the applicant meets all conditions set forth by said regulatory agencies, including but not limited to the City engineer and Broward County Traffic Engineering Department.

Section 5: That all Ordinances, or parts of Ordinances, Resolutions or parts of Resolutions, in conflict herewith be and the same are repealed to the extent of such conflict.

Section 6: That this Ordinance shall take effect immediately upon adoption.

PASSED on first reading by the City Commission of the City of North Lauderdale, Florida, this 14th day of September, 2016.

PASSED on second reading by the City Commission of the City of North Lauderdale, Florida, this _____day of ____________________, 2016.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

___________________________________
CITY ATTORNEY SAMUEL S. GOREN

___________________________________
MAYOR JACK BRADY

___________________________________
VICE MAYOR DAVID G. HILTON

ATTEST:

___________________________________
PATRICIA VANCHERI, CITY CLERK
April 25, 2016

City of North Lauderdale
Development Review

Easy Storage
W. McNab Rd and Avon Ln.
North Lauderdale
Folio # 494111280020

AUTHORIZED LETTER
I, Owner and Managing Member of SS McNab LLC, authorize Kenneth R. Carlson - Architect, P.A. to sign the waiver application for the construction of our self-storage building located at W. McNab Road and Avon Lane in North Lauderdale (Folio Numbers: 494111280020).

Sincerely,

[Signature]
Thomas P Richerson
MMbr, SS McNab LLC

BE IT KNOWN. That on the ____ day of April 20, before me, a Notary Public in and for the State of Florida duly commissioned and sworn, dwelling in the City of Miami Beach, Personally came and appeared Thomas Richerson to me personally known, and known to me to be the same person described in and who executed the above and who produced 201835643050, as identification.

My Commission Expires: October 21, 2018

[Notary Seal]
JESSICA BUSTAMANTE
Notary Public - State of Florida
My Comm. Expires Oct 21, 2018
Commission # FF 770284
TO: Mayor and City Commission
FROM: Ambreen Bhatti, City Manager
BY: Tammy Reed - Holguin, Community Development Director
DATE: September 14, 2016
SUBJECT: Preliminary Site Plan Approval SPR 16-02
Easy Self Storage
Folio # 49411280020 East of Avon Lane on South side of McNab Rd

Site plan approval to construct 100,000 square foot self-storage facility in a light industrial (M-1) zoning district.

APPLICANT: Kenneth Carlson, SS McNab , LLC.

SS McNab, LLC is proposing to build 100,000 square foot storage facility, on 4.59 acres of land located east of Avon Lane on the south side of McNab Road. The approximately 200,065 square foot site is currently undeveloped. Development of the site will facilitate the connection of this parcel on the East to the new Wal Mart site.

The storage facility consists of four buildings all facing to the interior of the development. The main unit closest to McNab Road totals 87,200 square feet and is four stories high. It will be used for the self-storage offices, small retail for accessory items and interior accessed air conditioned storage units. A variance request was also heard tonight as the 53 1/2 foot building exceeds the height limitation in the M-1 zoning district. Part of the height increase is to accommodate the architectural arch feature to mirror the façades of other buildings on the outparcels of this development. The other three storage units buildings total 4,800 Sq Ft., 4,000 Sq Ft. and 4,000 Sq Ft. each and have outdoor access through rolling doors that face the interior of the site. There is one entrance to the site from the existing access road. The site will be buffered by landscaping and 8 foot concrete block wall that complements the walls of the structures as well as black vinyl covered chain link fencing. A variance request regarding the height of the fence will also be heard tonight.

There is limited traffic impact as access is granted by a keypad only to those employed by the self storage and by the renters of the storage spaces. The site will be accessible 365 days a year, 7 days a week with hours of operation from 9 am to 6 pm Monday thru Friday, then 8:30 am to 4 pm Saturday and 10 am to 3pm Sunday. The site meets all required parking requirements by providing 57 spaces, 2 handicapped, where 50 parking spaces are required by Code.
In an effort to build a project consistent with the City’s plan for the McNab Road Redevelopment Overlay District; the applicant has included several features to enhance the self-storage such as designing the main building to resemble an office building, adding a geometric feature to the large, flat wall facing McNab Road, incorporating additional landscape features and utilizing a similar color pallet to the surrounding area.

The specific extent of the anticipated use is explained in Attachment A, and made a part of this report.

The Development Review Committee met on March 31st, 2016 and after considerable review and discussion, recommended approval of the preliminary and final site plan to the Planning and Zoning Board.

The Planning and Zoning Board met on August 2nd, 2016 and recommended Commission’s approval of the item.

**RECOMMENDATION:**
If the City Commission concurs with this request and staff’s recommendation, a motion is in order for the approval of the preliminary site plan, subject to the following conditions with direction for administration and the applicant to continue work together to finalize the site plan:

1. The applicant shall comply with applicable City Codes and Florida Building Codes.
2. All terms, conditions and provisions imposed by the Planning and Zoning Board, City Commission, DRC and Staff including all life, health and safety Codes pertaining to this development shall be met prior to the issuance of building permits.
3. The DRC, Planning and Zoning Board and City Commission reserve the right to impose any additional requirements deemed necessary during subsequent approval reviews.
4. Copies of all applicable permits and approvals by other regulatory agencies shall be provided to the City prior to issuance of building permits.
5. All conditions required by Code and/or set forth by the City engineer shall be met.
6. In the event that any problems arise, as a result of the operation of this establishment, such as noise, parking, traffic, and/or other nuisances, the applicant shall make all improvements required to mitigate these nuisances so as not to negatively impact adjacent areas.
7. Photometric Plan approval by staff is required.
8. The applicant shall comply with all provisions contained in Chapter 102 “Vegetation” of the City Code and shall submit detailed landscaping plans depicting the placement of all landscaping including the palm trees along McNab Road for landscaping permit.
9. Prior to the issuance of building permits, the applicant shall provide a written verification that all County impact fees associated with this project have been paid.
10. Prior to the issuance of the Certificate of Occupancy by the City Building Department, the applicant shall pay all applicable assessment and impact fees to the City.
11. If necessary, proper easements will be dedicated to the City of North Lauderdale.
12. The applicant shall install the pedestrian lights (the City style) along McNab Road, at locations determined by the City Staff, prior to the issuance of first Certificate of Occupancy.
March 16, 2016

City of North Lauderdale
Community Development Department
44 SW 2nd Ave., 8th Floor
Miami, FL 33130

Re: SS McNab

Dear Sir/Madam,

I am writing this letter on behalf of SS McNab, LLC regarding the project on W. McNab Road. Please accept this letter as a request to review our plans for site plan approval.

The proposed project includes the development of a full service and limited access self-storage and ancillary business such as selling boxes, locks, and moving supplies.

The full service limited access storage facility will be open 7 days a week, 365 days. The hours of operation for the facility will be:

- Mon - Fri: 9:00am - 6:00pm
- Sat: 8:30am - 4:00pm
- Sun: 10:00am - 1:00pm

The facility will employ approximately 3 employees.

Thank you for your consideration and please contact me if you have any questions or need additional information.

Sincerely,

Kenneth R. Carlson, President
KENNETH R. CARLSON-ARCHITECT, P.A.

KRC/am
TO: Mayor and City Commission

FROM: Ambreen Bhatti, City Manager

BY: Tammy Reed-Holguin, Community Development Director

DATE: September 14, 2016

SUBJECT: Sign Waiver SWAV 16-04
Easy Storage
McNab Road and Avon Lane Folio # 49411280020

To allow two wall signs whereas City Code Section 94 only allows one square foot of wall sign no greater than the width of area owned or leased and to allow letters up to 31 inches in height, whereas City Code Section 94-16(C)(2)(c) of the City Code allows for 16 inches maximum letter height within a M-1 light industrial zoning district.

APPLICANT: Kenneth Carlson, SS McNab, Inc. LLC.

Easy Self Storage is proposing to develop vacant property located to the east of Avon Lane on the south side of McNab Road. They are requesting two wall signs and letter height of 31 inches where 16 inches are allowed. Tonight the Commission also considered the site plan and variances for the storage facility.

The applicant indicated that approval of this request is necessary to business operations and would provide favorable exposure to their business along the McNab Road corridor and interior access road to the Wal-Mart shopping plaza. The specific details of the request are contained in the attachments and made a part of this report.

Section 94-43 of the sign code makes a provision where the City recognizes that the commercial areas located adjacent to McNab Road and Rock Island are established business areas serving a regional population base and that certain requirements of the sign code may not be applicable. The City Commission, therefore upon recommendation of the Planning and Zoning Board, may waive the requirements of the sign code.

It is always the staff’s objective to review any application in the best interest of business viability and success. Staff also has the responsibility to review the aesthetic and other impacts of any signage in the City.

The criteria that staff uses to consider sign waivers include how far the business sits from the roadway, if there are any obstructions to see the business from the road, if signage other than the wall sign is available and can be used additionally, and if the larger letter size does not increase the size of
the sign beyond that which is allowed by Code in terms of square footage. In this instance, the business is proposing two wall signs, one facing McNab Road and the second facing the interior access road to the Walmart property. There is no other signage for the business, i.e. monument sign. The building sits approximately 51 feet from the property line and an additional 50 feet away from McNab Road due to a wide easement. Keeping in mind the approved additional building height (through a variance) and overall building size, the increased letter size will not be out of proportion on the front and side of the large building. Additionally, the combined square footage of both signs, 120 sq. ft., falls within the maximum which is equal to the overall frontage of the building of approximately 150 linear feet. For these reasons, staff recommends approval of the sign waiver.

The Planning and Zoning board met on August 2nd, 2016 and approved the item for recommendation to the City Commission.

**MOTION**

If the City Commission agrees with the recommendation of staff and the Planning and Zoning Board a motion is in order to approve the requested sign waiver to allow two wall signs with 31 inch letter height.
RESOLUTION NO. __________________

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF NORTH LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA, APPROVING A SIGN WAIVER REQUEST FOR TWO WALL SIGNS WITH LETTERS NOT TO EXCEED 31 INCHES HIGH WHERE A MAXIMUM OF 16 INCH HIGH LETTERS ARE ALLOWED BY SECTION 94-16 (C) (2) (c) FOR EASY SELF STORAGE LOCATED AT FOLIO #494111280020 NORTH LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA, WITHIN A LIGHT INDUSTRIAL (M-1) ZONING DISTRICT; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, Section 94-43, of the City's Sign Code, allows the City Commission the option to waive the requirements of the sign code relating to commercial areas adjacent to Rock Island Road, Southgate Boulevard, State Road 7, McNab Road and S.W. 81st Avenue; and

WHEREAS, Easy Self Storage is located at the vacant parcel on the south side of McNab Road, east of Avon Lane (FOLIO #494111280020) as shown in figure A , North Lauderdale, Florida; and

WHEREAS, Easy Self Storage feels that the provisions of the sign code for letter size and number of wall signs is inadequate for their needs; and

WHEREAS, Easy Self Storage, is requesting a sign waiver to allow the installation and maintenance of two wall signs with up to 31 inch high letters whereas a maximum of 16 inch high letters are allowed by Section 94-16 and 94-16 (C) (2) (c); and

WHEREAS, the Planning and Zoning Board recommended approval of said request at their meeting on August 2nd, 2016.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF NORTH LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA:

Section 1: That the waiver of the sign code be and the same are hereby granted to Easy Self Storage to allow the installation and maintenance of two wall signs with 31 inch high letters whereas a maximum of 16 inch high letters are allowed by Section 94-16 (C) (2) (C) within a Light Industrial (M1) Zoning District.

Section 2: That the waiver granted by this Resolution shall not excuse the applicant from compliance with each and every term, condition and provision of the City's Sign Code, the Code of Ordinances of the City of North Lauderdale, Florida, the conditions outlined in the staff’s memorandum for approval, as well as the obtainment of the necessary permits.

Section 3: That this Resolution shall take effect immediately upon adoption.
PASSED and ADOPTED by the City Commission of the City of North Lauderdale, Florida, this 14th day of September, 2016.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

______________________________
CITY ATTORNEY SAMUEL GOREN

______________________________
MAYOR JACK BRADY

______________________________
VICE MAYOR DAVID G. HILTON

ATTEST:

______________________________
CITY CLERK PATRICIA VANCHERI
SIGN WAIVER ✔  LANDSCAPE WAIVER □  OTHER WAIVER □

APPLICANT: Kenneth Carlson Architect-PA.  Phone: (954) 427-8848
Mailing Address: 1106 West Newport Center Dr. Suite 311
City: Deerfield Beach  State: FL  Zip: 33442

PROPERTY OWNER (if different from above): SS McNab LLC.
Mailing Address: 6450 Collins Ave. PH-1  Phone:
City: Miami Beach  State: FL  Zip: 33141

- Location of Special Exception Requested: FOLIO:494111280020 W. McNab & Avon LN., North Lauderdale
- Name of Business: Easy Storage  Current Use: Vacant Land
- Please explain nature, extent, scope and purpose of proposed use:
  Request to install an additional sign on the proposed West building facade that faces the shopping center entry drive. The sign will add in giving both roadway (McNab Rd.) and internal shopping center guests a clear identity of the proposed storage facility. Adjacent buildings to the west have been granted a sign waiver.

Applicant Signature:  Date: April 25, 2016

BELOW FOR COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT USE ONLY

Waiver Fee: $150.00 each  Paid / /  Received By:

Planning & Zoning Board Meeting: / /  Recommendation: □ Approve  □ Deny
Identify any conditions or stipulations recommended or basis for denial:

City Commission Meeting: / /  Recommendation: □ Approve  □ Deny
Identify any conditions or stipulations recommended or basis for denial:

C:\Documents and Settings\plonover\Local Settings\Temporary Internet Files\OLX10\Sign Waiver.doc
APPLICANT: Kenneth Carlson Architect-PA  
Phone: (954) 427-8848  
Mailing Address: 1106 West Newport Center Dr. Suite 311  
City: Deerfield Beach  
State: FL  
Zip: 33442  

PROPERTY OWNER (If different from above): SS McNab LLC.  
Mailing Address: 8450 Collins Ave. PH-1  
City: Miami Beach  
State: FL  
Zip: 33141  

- Location of Special Exception Requested: FOLIO:494111280020 W. McNab & Avon LN., North Lauderdale  
- Name of Business: Easy Storage  
- Current Use: Vacant Land  
- Please explain nature, extent, scope and purpose of proposed use:  
  Request to increase sign letter height from 16" to 31". The scale of the building compliment the requested letter size increase. Similar request was given to the adjacent building in the development.  

Applicant Signature: ____________________________  Date: 4.25.16  

BELOW FOR COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT USE ONLY  

Waiver Fee: $150.00 each  
Paid / /  
Received By:  

Planning & Zoning Board Meeting: / /  
Recommendation: □ Approve □ Deny  
Identify any conditions or stipulations recommended or basis for denial:  

City Commission Meeting: / /  
Recommendation: □ Approve □ Deny  
Identify any conditions or stipulations recommended or basis for denial:  

C:\Documents and Settings\pdonovan\Local Settings\Temporary Internet Files\OLK10E\Sign Waiver.doc
April 25, 2016

City of North Lauderdale
Development Review

Easy Storage
W. McNab Rd and Avon Ln.
North Lauderdale
Folio # 494111280020

AUTHORIZATION LETTER
I, Owner and Managing Member of SS McNab LLC, authorize Kenneth R.
Carlson - Architect, P.A. to sign the waiver application for the construction of our
self-storage building located at W. McNab Road and Avon Lane in North
Lauderdale (Folio Numbers: 494111280020).

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Thomas P Richerson
MMbr, SS McNab LLC

BE IT KNOWN, That on the 30th day of April 2016, before me, a
Notary Public in and for the State of Florida duly commissioned and sworn, dwelling in the City of
Miami Beach, Personally came and appeared Thomas Richerson to me personally
known, and known to me to be the same person described in and who executed the above and
who produced FL ID No. R201835643030, as identification.

My Commission Expires: October 21, 2018

JESSICA BUSTAMANTE
Notary Public - State of Florida
My Comm. Expires Oct 21, 2018
Commission # FF 170294
TO: Mayor and City Commission
FROM: Ambreen Bhatt, City Manager
BY: Tammy Reed-Holguin, Community Development Director
DATE: September 14, 2016
SUBJECT: First Reading: Amendment to Appendix F Fee Schedule Related to Residential Units

We are presenting the attached Ordinance tonight for the Commission’s consideration of approval on first reading to amend Appendix F Fee Schedule for the inspection of rental units.

BACKGROUND:
The City updated its Local Business Tax Receipt and Associated Processing Fees for residential rental units through approval of Ordinance No. 16-06-1330 by the City Commission on June 14, 2016. While inputting the fee schedule changes into the computer system, it was identified that a clarification is needed. The intent of the Ordinance is to provide an inspection of all units in a duplex, triplex or quadplex that is owned by one person or entity for one fee. If one or more units in a multi-unit residential complex are owned by more than one owner, then a fee will be charged to each owner for the unit(s) they own. It is recommended that the underlined language in the table below be added to the fee schedule to clarify the charges.

Sec. 4. Local Business Tax

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Type of Unit</th>
<th>Fee</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Rental unit, single-family, duplex</td>
<td>32.00 per unit or portion thereof</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Rental unit, triplex</td>
<td>40.00 per unit or portion thereof</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Rental unit, quadplex</td>
<td>50.00 per unit or portion thereof</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RECOMMENDATION:
The City Administration recommends Commission’s consideration and approval of the first reading of the proposed Ordinance to amend Section 4, of Appendix F, entitled "LOCAL BUSINESS TAX" clarifying the related fees for rental units of the City’s Code of Ordinances.
ORDINANCE NO. ____________________

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF NORTH LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA, AMENDING APPENDIX F TO THE CITY’S CODE OF ORDINANCES, “FEE SCHEDULE” TO CLARIFY THE FEES FOR THE BUSINESS TAX RECEIPT AND THE PROCESSING AND INSPECTION OF RESIDENTIAL RENTAL UNITS; PROVIDING FOR CONFLICTS; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY; PROVIDING FOR INCLUSION IN THE CODE; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.  

WHEREAS, the City Commission approved Ordinance No. 16-06-1330 on June 14, 2016 to implement the program requiring a local business tax receipt for the rental of single family homes; and

WHEREAS, the fee schedule in Appendix F of the City Code of Ordinances was amended to include inspection fees for the units being licensed; and

WHEREAS, clarification is needed regarding the fee to be charged per unit or any portion thereof; and

WHEREAS, the City Commission of the City of North Lauderdale finds that such revision to the fee schedule pertaining to the licensing of residential rental units to obtain a local business tax receipt are in the best interest of the health, safety, and welfare of the citizens and residents of the City of North Lauderdale.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF NORTH LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA, THAT:

Section 1. The foregoing "WHEREAS" clauses are hereby ratified and confirmed as being true and correct and are hereby made a specific part of this Ordinance upon adoption hereof.

Section 2. Appendix F, “Fee Schedule,” of the Code of Ordinances of the City of North Lauderdale, be and the same is hereby amended as provided in Exhibit "A" to this Ordinance, which is attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference.

Section 4. Codification. It is the intention of the City Commission of the City of North Lauderdale that the provisions of this Ordinance shall become and be made a part of the Code of Ordinances of the City of North Lauderdale, Florida.
Section 5. Severability. If any clause, section or other part of this Ordinance shall be held by any court of competent jurisdiction to be unconstitutional or invalid, such unconstitutional or invalid part shall be considered as eliminated and in no way affecting the validity of the other provisions of this Ordinance.

Section 6. Conflicts. All Ordinances or parts of Ordinances, Resolutions or parts of Resolutions in conflict herewith, be, and the same are hereby repealed to the extent of such conflict.

Section 7. Effective Date. This Ordinance shall become effective immediately upon adoption.


____________________________________
MAYOR JACK BRADY

ATTEST: VICE MAYOR DAVID G. HILTON

__________________________
PATRICIA VANCHERI, CITY CLERK

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

____________________________________
SAMUEL S. GOREN, CITY ATTORNEY
Sec. 4. Local Business Tax

**PROCESSING AND INSPECTION FEES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Fee</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Rental unit, single-family, duplex</td>
<td>32.00 per unit or portion thereof</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Rental unit, triplex</td>
<td>40.00 per unit or portion thereof</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Rental unit, quadplex</td>
<td>50.00 per unit or portion thereof</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CITY OF NORTH LAUDERDALE
FIRE RESCUE DEPARTMENT

TO: Honorable Mayor and City Commissioners
FROM: Ambreen Bhatty City Manager
BY: Rodney Turpel, Fire Chief
DATE: September 14, 2016
SUBJECT: Agreement with McFatter Vocational College to permit Paramedic and Emergency Medical Technician Students to Participate in Educational and Training Programs with North Lauderdale Fire Rescue Department

In the past, North Lauderdale Fire Rescue Department has been able to take advantage of an opportunity to have emergency medical technician student riders from McFatter Vocational College participate in our daily operations. This opportunity not only enables these students to learn from our staff to enhance their career, but it also allows our firefighter/paramedics an opportunity to learn and train with the latest techniques and information that is provided to these students through these institutions. Therefore, the staff is requesting Commission’s consideration to authorize entering into an agreement with McFatter Vocational College to allow students to ride, learn and experience the day-to-day aspects of being a firefighter/paramedic with our department.

McFatter Vocational College will schedule, organize and allow its students to ride with the firefighter/paramedics. This institution meets the State and Federal guidelines for teaching, carries all the necessary medical malpractice liability insurance and has demonstrated a high degree of professionalism that the City demands when students associate with the Department.

The City Attorney has reviewed and made appropriate changes to the new Agreement. A copy of the Agreement is available for public review in the City Clerk’s office.

RECOMMENDATION:

The Administration recommends Commission’s consideration and approval of the attached Resolution authorizing the City Manager or her designee to enter into an acceptable agreement with McFatter Vocational College to allow EMT students to ride with North Lauderdale Fire Rescue Department for educational and training purposes.
RESOLUTION NO. _____________________

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF NORTH LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA, AUTHORIZING AND DIRECTING THE CITY MANAGER OR HER DESIGNEE TO ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT WITH MCFATTER VOCATIONAL COLLEGE, TO ALLOW EMT STUDENTS TO RIDE WITH THE NORTH LAUDERDALE FIRE RESCUE DEPARTMENT FOR EDUCATIONAL AND TRAINING PURPOSES; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF NORTH LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA:

Section 1: That the City Commission does hereby authorize the City Manager or her designee to enter into an acceptable agreement with McFatter Vocational College, permitting students to ride with the North Lauderdale Fire Rescue Department for educational and training purposes under the guidelines set forth in each agreement.

Section 2: That this Resolution shall take effect immediately upon adoption.

PASSED and ADOPTED by the City Commission of the City of North Lauderdale, Florida this 14th day of September, 2016.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

______________________________
CITY ATTORNEY SAMUEL S. GOREN

______________________________
MAYOR JACK BRADY

______________________________
VICE MAYOR DAVID G. HILTON

ATTEST:

______________________________
CITY CLERK PATRICIA VANCHERI
CITY OF NORTH LAUDERDALE
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

TO: Mayor and City Commission
FROM: Ambreen Bhattty, City Manager
By: Tammy L. Reed-Holguin, Community Development Director
DATE: September 14, 2016

SUBJECT: Resolution Approving and Ratifying the Administrative Order Executed by City Manager on August 16, 2016 and the August 22, 2016 Notice of Intent (NOI) Prohibiting the Issuance of Building Permits or Development Orders for the Installation of or Siting of any Wireless Communications Facility as Defined Under Section 365.172, Florida Statutes, in any Public Rights-of-Way or any Location in the City

Tonight, staff is requesting your consideration of the attached Resolution approving and ratifying the Administrative Order, making it effective retroactively to the date the Administrative Order was executed.

While the execution of the Administrative Order and the NOI is an administrative step which expedites the process, a moratorium must be also imposed by ordinance. Therefore, an ordinance formally imposing the moratorium that was contemplated by the Administrative Order and the NOI was prepared and taken to the Planning and Zoning

Background:
The City currently has an Ordinance addressing telecommunication devices and their location. As part of the process to update the City Code to insure consistency with new legislation and technology, staff began reviewing Section 106-186.1 Telecommunications towers and antennas. During this process, we were apprised of a new facility defined under 365.172 Florida Statutes as a wireless communications facility and the need to update the Code became more apparent. Given the uncertainty of the impact of the installation of such facilities in public right-of-ways and other locations in the City and in an effort to administratively expedite this process, on August 16, 2016 the City Manager executed the Administrative Order to commence the zoning review process and to prohibit the issuance of building permits and development orders for the installation of these facilities throughout the City. Tonight we are requesting your consideration of the attached Resolution approving and ratifying the Administrative Order, making it effective retroactively to the date the Administrative Order was executed.
Board for recommendation to the Commission at their September 6, 2016 meeting. The Board unanimously recommended City Commission’s approval of the Ordinance instituting the moratorium.

The first public hearing before the Commission was advertised and scheduled for this evening also. The consideration and adoption of this ordinance is a procedural operation which will further affirm and impose the moratorium on the issuance of local business tax receipts and building permits.

The prohibition imposed by the Administrative Order and the NOI, as ratified by the Commission by resolution, and the moratorium to be formally enacted by ordinance, will afford the City’s professional staff with an opportunity to fully review the City’s options with regards to this new type of wireless service facility.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The City Attorney’s Office and Administration recommend the City Commission’s consideration and approval of the attached Resolution ratifying the Administrative Order and the NOI, thereby, retroactively to the date the Administrative Order was executed, prohibiting the issuance of building permits and development orders for the installation of or siting of any wireless communications facility as defined under Section 365.172, Florida Statutes, in any public rights-of-way or any location in the City.
ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER
CITY OF NORTH LAUDERDALE

TO: CITY ADMINISTRATION AND DEPARTMENT HEADS

FROM: AMBREEN BHATTY, CITY MANAGER

DATE: AUGUST 16, 2016

SUBJECT: REVIEW OF ZONING REGULATIONS PERTAINING TO USES THAT INCLUDE TELECOMMUNICATION SERVICES INCLUDING PERSONAL WIRELESS SERVICE FACILITIES THROUGHOUT THE CITY

I, AMBREEN BHATTY, WITH POWERS VESTED IN ME AS THE CITY MANAGER OF NORTH LAUDERDALE, HEREBY ISSUE AN ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER TO REVIEW AND CONSIDER REGULATIONS PERTAINING TO THE ISSUANCE OF BUILDING PERMITS AND ZONING/LAND USE APPROVALS TO USES RELATED TO TELECOMMUNICATION SERVICES INCLUDING PERSONAL WIRELESS SERVICE FACILITIES THROUGHOUT THE CITY AND TO REVIEW THE ZONING CODE AS IT PERTAINS TO THESE USES INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, SECTIONS 106-186 AND 106-186.1 OF THE CITY’S CODE OF ORDINANCES. AS A RESULT, ZONING IN PROGRESS IS IN PLACE PURSUANT TO SECTION 106.61 WHILE THE CITY STAFF REVIEWS AND PREPARES RECOMMENDATIONS PERTAINING TO ZONING REGULATIONS REGARDING TELECOMMUNICATION SERVICES INCLUDING PERSONAL WIRELESS SERVICE FACILITIES.

AMBREEN BHATTY
CITY MANAGER

DATE 8/16/16
NOTICE OF INTENT
PENDING MORATORIUM ON INSTALLATION OF OR SITING OF ANY WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY

I. Statement of Purpose

To impose a moratorium pursuant to Section 106.60 of the City's Code of Ordinances on any applications to the City for building permits or development orders for the installation of or siting of any wireless communications facility as defined under Section 365.172, Florida Statutes, in any public rights-of-way or any location in the City. This moratorium will allow staff the time to carefully review, consider, modify, process for adoption and implement regulations pertaining to the referenced wireless communication facilities.

II. Brief Description of pending regulatory change, including possible affect on development and existing code provisions which may require modification

Rewriting of development regulations which may include, but are not limited to Sections 106-186 and 106-186.1 and the following: permitted uses, conditional uses, imposition of various requirements for the use (e.g. permitted locations for wireless facilities, distance separation requirements, co-location, regulations pertaining to ownership, regulations pertaining to operation), and zoning relief procedures.

For purposes of this Notice of intent, businesses related to wireless communication facilities shall include, but not be limited to, monopoles, antenna, telecommunication tower and other uses providing personal wireless services as defined under Section 365.172, Florida Statutes.

III. Projected time frame for adoption of pending regulation

April 1, 2017

IV. Recommended stage of the review process that the pending regulation would affect

All applications, of any form, for building permits or development orders to provide time for the City to research the issues surrounding the implementation of these rules regulating the installation of or siting of personal wireless
communication facilities as defined under Section 365.172, Florida Statutes in right-of-ways or any location within the City.

Filed with the City Clerk and City Attorney

Date: August 22, 2016
By: [Signature], City Manager

Date Posted:
By: City Clerk's Office

cc: Tammy Reed-Holguin, Director of Community Development
    Sam Goren, City Attorney
RESOLUTION NO. __________________

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF NORTH LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA, CONFIRMING AND RATIFYING THE CITY MANAGER’S ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER AND NOTICE OF INTENT RETROACTIVELY TO THE DATE OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER COMMENCING ZONING IN PROGRESS PURSUANT TO SECTION 106-61 OF THE CITY OF NORTH LAUDERDALE CODE OF ORDINANCES AND PROHIBITING THE ISSUANCE OF BUILDING Permits OR DEVELOPMENT ORDERS FOR THE INSTALLATION OF OR SITING OF ANY WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY AS DEFINED UNDER SECTION 365.172, FLORIDA STATUTES, IN ANY PUBLIC RIGHTS-OF-WAY OR ANY LOCATION IN THE CITY AND AUTHORIZING AND DIRECTING THE CITY MANAGER TO UNDERTAKE STUDY AND REVIEW OF THE CITY’S REGULATIONS REGARDING THE SAME; PROVIDING THAT THE ADOPTION OF THIS RESOLUTION SHALL COMMENCE THE ZONING IN PROGRESS RETROACTIVELY PURSUANT TO SECTION 106-60 OF THE CITY’S CODE OF ORDINANCES, WHICH SHALL BE FURTHER EMBRACED THROUGH THE ADOPTION OF A SUBSEQUENT ORDINANCE AND SHALL RUN UNTIL APRIL 1, 2017; PROVIDING FOR CONFLICTS; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, the City Manager and the City’s professional planning staff continuously monitor and review the City’s Land Use Regulations and Comprehensive Land Use Plan to ensure appropriate development in the City, and from time to time recognizes the need to study and amend aspects of the same; and

WHEREAS, the City Manager has issued an Administrative Order dated August 16, 2016, and a Notice of Intent (NOI), dated August 22, 2016, specifically authorizing and directing City staff to undertake study and review of the City’s regulations regarding the installation of or siting of any wireless communications facility as defined under Section 365.172, Florida Statutes, in any public rights-of-way or any location in the City; and
WHEREAS, the City Manager, pursuant to Section 106-61 of the City’s Code of Ordinances, and subject to the approval and ratification by the City Commission pursuant to this Resolution, prohibits the issuance of building permits and development orders for wireless communication facilities as defined by F.S. 365.172 pursuant to Section 106.60 of the City’s Code of Ordinances; and

WHEREAS, such a prohibition will enable the City’s professional staff to properly study the issues associated with the siting of said facilities; and

WHEREAS, the City Commission approves of and confirms the City Manager’s Administrative Order and Notice of Intent retroactively to the date of the Administrative Order and hereby finds that the ratification of the same is in the best interests of the citizens and residents of the City.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF NORTH LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA, THAT:

SECTION 1. The foregoing "WHEREAS" clauses are hereby ratified and confirmed as being true and correct and incorporated herein by this reference.

SECTION 2. That the City Commission confirms and ratifies the City Manager’s August 16, 2016 Administrative Order and the August 22, 2016, Notice of Intent retroactively to the date of the Administrative Order to prohibit the issuance of building permits and development orders for the siting of wireless communication facilities within the City, pursuant to Section 106.60 of the City’s Code of Ordinances. The City Commission hereby further affirms that, subject to the adoption of the requisite ordinance, such a moratorium may be formally imposed through April 1, 2017.
SECTION 3. That the City Commission hereby directs the City Manager to study and review regulations pertaining to the siting of wireless communication facilities and to report back to the City Commission regarding the same.

SECTION 4. All resolutions or parts of resolutions in conflict herewith are hereby repealed to the extent of such conflict.

SECTION 5. If any clause, section, other part or application of this Resolution is held by any court of competent jurisdiction to be unconstitutional or invalid, in part or application, it shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions or applications of this Resolution.

SECTION 6. This Resolution shall become effective immediately upon adoption.


____________________________
MAYOR JACK BRADY

____________________________
VICE MAYOR DAVID G. HILTON

ATTEST:

________________________________
PATRICIA VANCHERI, City Clerk

APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM:

________________________________
SAMUEL S. GOREN, City Attorney
TO: Mayor and City Commission

FROM: Ambreen Bhatti, City Manager

BY: Tammy Reed - Holguin, Community Development Director

DATE: September 14, 2016

SUBJECT: First Reading: Ordinance for a Moratorium on Issuance of Building Permits or Development Orders for the Installation of or Siting of any Wireless Communications Facility as Defined Under Section 365.172, Florida Statutes, in any Public Rights-of-Way or any Location in the City

Tonight, staff is presenting on Ordinance for your consideration on first reading that will institute a moratorium on the issuance of building permits and development orders for the installation of or siting of any wireless communications facility as defined under Section 365.172, Florida Statutes, in any public rights-of-way or any location in the City. If the Ordinance is approved on first reading, the City Commission will consider adopting the Ordinance on second reading, scheduled for September 28, 2016.

BACKGROUND:
From time to time, staff reviews portions of the City Code to insure that the language is current and consistent with modern technology and legislation. As part of that process, staff began reviewing Section 106-186.1 Telecommunications towers and antennas of the City Code in relation to the advancements in technology and to the amendments in the Florida Statutes. The need to update the Code became more apparent upon the recent receipt of an application for a new wireless telecommunications facility as defined under 365.172 Florida Statutes. Although the City currently has land development regulations which govern the siting of telecommunication towers, it is unclear how or if these new facilities are properly regulated by the current Code. Therefore, given the uncertainty of the impact of the installation of such facilities in public right-of-ways and other locations in the City, it is recommended that a moratorium on the issuance of building permits and development orders related to wireless communications facilities be imposed to allow time for City staff to review, study, develop and consider the adoption of new land development regulations to govern the siting and installation of these types of facilities. A review of the current Code in relation to the newly defined wireless communications facilities will identify needed amendments to the zoning code to protect the residents while addressing the needs of these facilities.

The Commission also considered a Resolution for adoption tonight confirming the City Manager’s Administrative Order issued August 16, 2016, and the August 22, 2016 Notice of
Intent that instituted Zoning-in-Progress while this study is undertaken. Adoption of the attached ordinance imposes a moratorium on the issuance of building permits and development orders for the installation of or siting of any wireless communications facility as defined under Section 365.172, Florida Statutes, in any public rights-of-way or any location in the City until April 1, 2017. At the conclusion of the study period, staff will make recommendations for amendments to the zoning code, if applicable, and present the proposed amendments to the Planning and Zoning Board and City Commission for consideration and final adoption.

On September 8, 2016 the Planning and Zoning Board met and made a recommendation for approval of the Ordinance to enact the moratorium to the City Commission.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The City Administration recommends City Commission’s consideration of adoption of the attached Ordinance on first reading to institute a moratorium on the issuance of building permits and development orders for the installation of or siting of any wireless communications facility as defined under Section 365.172, Florida Statutes, in any public rights-of-way or any location in the City.
ORDINANCE NO. ______________

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF NORTH LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA, ESTABLISHING A MORATORIUM UNTIL APRIL 1, 2017 ON THE ISSUANCE OF BUILDING PERMITS, OR DEVELOPMENT ORDERS FOR THE INSTALLATION OF OR SITING OF ANY WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY, AS DEFINED UNDER SECTION 365.172, FLORIDA STATUTES, IN ANY PUBLIC RIGHTS-OF-WAY OR IN ANY LOCATION WITHIN THE CITY OF NORTH LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, pursuant to Article VIII, Section 2 of the Florida Constitution and Chapter 166 of the Florida Statutes, the City of North Lauderdale is authorized and required to protect the public health, safety and welfare of its citizens and has the power and authority to enact regulations for valid governmental purposes that are not inconsistent with general or special law; and,

WHEREAS, the public health, safety and welfare is a legitimate public purpose recognized by the courts of Florida; and,

WHEREAS, on August 16, 2016, pursuant to Section 106-61 of the City of North Lauderdale Code of Ordinances, the City Manager issued an Administrative Order instituting a zoning-in-progress for wireless telecommunication services, including personal wireless service facilities; and

WHEREAS, on August 22, 2016, the City Manager issued a Notice of Intent to adopt a moratorium ordinance with respect to the installation and siting of wireless communication facilities facility as defined under Section 365.172, Florida Statutes, within the City of North Lauderdale, Florida; and
WHEREAS, on September 14, 2016, the City Commission adopted Resolution _____ to ratify the Administrative Order, and to institute zoning-in-progress for wireless telecommunication services, including personal wireless service facilities; and

WHEREAS, based upon the above facts and recommendations from City Administration, the City Commission deems it necessary and to be in the best interests of the health, safety, and welfare of the citizens and residents of the City of North Lauderdale, to impose a moratorium on the approval of any new applications or the issuance of any building permits, engineering permits, or development orders for the installation of or siting of any wireless communications facility as defined under Section 365.172, Florida Statutes, in within the City of North Lauderdale, Florida until April 1, 2017.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF NORTH LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA THAT:

SECTION 1. The foregoing "WHEREAS" clauses are hereby ratified and confirmed as being true and correct and are hereby made a specific part of this Ordinance. These clauses represent the legislative findings of the City Commission. It is the purpose and intent of this Ordinance to promote the health, safety and welfare of the residents of North Lauderdale.

SECTION 2. Moratorium Declared. The City Commission hereby declares that a moratorium shall be immediately imposed on the approval of any new applications or the issuance of any building permits, engineering permits, or development orders for the installation of or siting of any wireless communications facility as defined under Section 365.172, Florida Statutes, within the City of North Lauderdale, Florida until April 1, 2017. This shall not be interpreted inconsistent with any federal or state preemptions. During the term of the moratorium, the City shall not accept or review any applications for licenses or permits related to the installation of wireless
communication facilities. The moratorium may be extended by the City Commission by subsequent ordinance should additional time be needed by the City for the adoption of appropriate land development regulations. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the moratorium shall not apply to any application for licenses or permits related to the repair or replacement of existing wireless communication facilities to the extent that the repair or replacement does not alter the existing footprint, height, or other dimensions of the existing wireless communication facilities.

SECTION 3. Severability. If any clause, section, or other part or application of this Ordinance shall be held by any court of competent jurisdiction to be unconstitutional or invalid, such unconstitutional or invalid part or application shall be considered as eliminated and so not affecting the validity of the remaining portions or applications remaining in full force and effect.

SECTION 4. Conflicts. All ordinances or parts of ordinances, resolutions or part of resolutions in conflict herewith are to the extent of such conflicts hereby repealed.

SECTION 5. Effective Date. This ordinance shall take effect immediately upon its passage.

PASSED AND ADOPTED BY THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF NORTH LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA, ON THE FIRST READING, THIS ___ DAY OF _____________, 2016.

PASSED ADOPTED BY THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF NORTH LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA, ON THE SECOND AND FINAL READING, THIS ___ DAY OF _____________, 2016.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

______________________________
CITY ATTORNEY SAMUEL S. GOREN

______________________________
MAYOR JACK BRADY

______________________________
ATTEST

______________________________
VICE MAYOR DAVID G. HILTON

______________________________
CITY CLERK PATRICIA VANCHERI
ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER
CITY OF NORTH LAUDERDALE

TO: CITY ADMINISTRATION AND DEPARTMENT HEADS

FROM: AMBREEN BHATTY, CITY MANAGER

DATE: AUGUST 16, 2016

SUBJECT: REVIEW OF ZONING REGULATIONS PERTAINING TO USES THAT INCLUDE TELECOMMUNICATION SERVICES INCLUDING PERSONAL WIRELESS SERVICE FACILITIES THROUGHOUT THE CITY

I, AMBREEN BHATTY, WITH POWERS VESTED IN ME AS THE CITY MANAGER OF NORTH LAUDERDALE, HEREBY ISSUE AN ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER TO REVIEW AND CONSIDER REGULATIONS PERTAINING TO THE ISSUANCE OF BUILDING PERMITS AND ZONING/LAND USE APPROVALS TO USES RELATED TO TELECOMMUNICATION SERVICES INCLUDING PERSONAL WIRELESS SERVICE FACILITIES THROUGHOUT THE CITY AND TO REVIEW THE ZONING CODE AS IT PERTAINS TO THESE USES INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, SECTIONS 106-186 AND 106-186.1 OF THE CITY’S CODE OF ORDINANCES. AS A RESULT, ZONING IN PROGRESS IS IN PLACE PURSUANT TO SECTION 106.61 WHILE THE CITY STAFF REVIEWS AND PREPARES RECOMMENDATIONS PERTAINING TO ZONING REGULATIONS REGARDING TELECOMMUNICATION SERVICES INCLUDING PERSONAL WIRELESS SERVICE FACILITIES.

AMBREEN BHATTY
CITY MANAGER

8/16/16
DATE
NOTICE OF INTENT
PENDING MORATORIUM ON INSTALLATION OF OR SITING OF ANY
WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY

I. Statement of Purpose

To impose a moratorium pursuant to Section 106.60 of the City’s Code of Ordinances on any applications to the City for building permits or development orders for the installation of or siting of any wireless communications facility as defined under Section 365.172, Florida Statutes, in any public rights-of-way or any location in the City. This moratorium will allow staff the time to carefully review, consider, modify, process for adoption and implement regulations pertaining to the referenced wireless communication facilities.

II. Brief Description of pending regulatory change, including possible affect on development and existing code provisions which may require modification

Rewriting of development regulations which may include, but are not limited to Sections 106-186 and 108-186.1 and the following: permitted uses, conditional uses, imposition of various requirements for the use (e.g. permitted locations for wireless facilities, distance separation requirements, co-location, regulations pertaining to ownership, regulations pertaining to operation), and zoning relief procedures.

For purposes of this Notice of Intent, businesses related to wireless communication facilities shall include, but not be limited to, monopoles, antenna, telecommunication tower and other uses providing personal wireless services as defined under Section 365.172, Florida Statutes.

III. Projected time frame for adoption of pending regulation

April 1, 2017

IV. Recommended stage of the review process that the pending regulation would affect

All applications, of any form, for building permits or development orders to provide time for the City to research the issues surrounding the implementation of these rules regulating the installation of or siting of personal wireless
communication facilities as defined under Section 365.172, Florida Statutes in right-of-ways or any location within the City.

Filed with the City Clerk and City Attorney

Date: August 22, 2016
By: [Signature], City Manager

Date Posted:
By: City Clerk's Office

cc: Tammy Reed-Holguin, Director of Community Development
    Sam Goren, City Attorney
RESOLUTION NO. __________________

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF NORTH LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA, CONFIRMING AND RATIFYING THE CITY MANAGER’S ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER AND NOTICE OF INTENT RETROACTIVELY TO THE DATE OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER COMMENCING ZONING IN PROGRESS PURSUANT TO SECTION 106-61 OF THE CITY OF NORTH LAUDERDALE CODE OF ORDINANCES AND PROHIBITING THE ISSUANCE OF BUILDING PERMITS OR DEVELOPMENT ORDERS FOR THE INSTALLATION OR SITING OF ANY WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY AS DEFINED UNDER SECTION 365.172, FLORIDA STATUTES, IN ANY PUBLIC RIGHTS-OF-WAY OR ANY LOCATION IN THE CITY AND AUTHORIZING AND DIRECTING THE CITY MANAGER TO UNDERTAKE STUDY AND REVIEW OF THE CITY’S REGULATIONS REGARDING THE SAME; PROVIDING THAT THE ADOPTION OF THIS RESOLUTION SHALL COMMENCE THE ZONING IN PROGRESS RETROACTIVELY PURSUANT TO SECTION 106-60 OF THE CITY’S CODE OF ORDINANCES, WHICH SHALL BE FURTHER EMBRACED THROUGH THE ADOPTION OF A SUBSEQUENT ORDINANCE AND SHALL RUN UNTIL APRIL 1, 2017; PROVIDING FOR CONFLICTS; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, the City Manager and the City’s professional planning staff continuously monitor and review the City’s Land Use Regulations and Comprehensive Land Use Plan to ensure appropriate development in the City, and from time to time recognizes the need to study and amend aspects of the same; and

WHEREAS, the City Manager has issued an Administrative Order dated August 16, 2016, and a Notice of Intent (NOI), dated August 22, 2016, specifically authorizing and directing City staff to undertake study and review of the City’s regulations regarding the installation of or siting of any wireless communications facility as defined under Section 365.172, Florida Statutes, in any public rights-of-way or any location in the City; and

WHEREAS, the City Manager, pursuant to Section 106-61 of the City’s Code of Ordinances, and subject to the approval and ratification by the City Commission pursuant to this
Resolution, prohibits the issuance of building permits and development orders for wireless communication facilities as defined by F.S. 365.172 pursuant to Section 106.60 of the City’s Code of Ordinances; and

WHEREAS, such a prohibition will enable the City’s professional staff to properly study the issues associated with the siting of said facilities; and

WHEREAS, the City Commission approves of and confirms the City Manager’s Administrative Order and Notice of Intent retroactively to the date of the Administrative Order and hereby finds that the ratification of the same is in the best interests of the citizens and residents of the City.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF NORTH LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA, THAT:

SECTION 1. The foregoing "WHEREAS" clauses are hereby ratified and confirmed as being true and correct and incorporated herein by this reference.

SECTION 2. That the City Commission confirms and ratifies the City Manager’s August 16, 2016 Administrative Order and the August 22, 2016, Notice of Intent retroactively to the date of the Administrative Order to prohibit the issuance of building permits and development orders for the siting of wireless communication facilities within the City, pursuant to Section 106.60 of the City’s Code of Ordinances. The City Commission hereby further affirms that, subject to the adoption of the requisite ordinance, such a moratorium may be formally imposed through April 1, 2017.

SECTION 3. That the City Commission hereby directs the City Manager to study and review regulations pertaining to the siting of wireless communication facilities and to report back to the City Commission regarding the same.
SECTION 4. All resolutions or parts of resolutions in conflict herewith are hereby repealed to the extent of such conflict.

SECTION 5. If any clause, section, other part or application of this Resolution is held by any court of competent jurisdiction to be unconstitutional or invalid, in part or application, it shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions or applications of this Resolution.

SECTION 6. This Resolution shall become effective immediately upon adoption.

PASSED AND ADOPTED BY THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF NORTH LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA, THIS ____ DAY OF ________________, 2016.

______________________________
MAYOR JACK BRADY

______________________________
VICE MAYOR DAVID G. HILTON

ATTEST:

______________________________
PATRICIA VANCHERI, City Clerk

APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM:

______________________________
SAMUEL S. GOREN, City Attorney
TO: Mayor and City Commission

FROM: Ambreen Bhati, City Manager

BY: Michael Sargis, Assistant City Manager/Parks & Recreation Director

DATE: September 14th, 2016

SUBJECT: Penny Surtax Projects

As you are aware, Broward County voters will have the opportunity in the upcoming General Election, on Tuesday November, 8, 2016 to vote on two separate one-half cent sales surtaxes. The 2 separate one-half cent surtax was a result of long negotiations between the Cities and the County.

The proceeds of the first half-cent surtax would go to Broward County and may be used only to fund county-wide transportation improvements to reduce traffic congestion, develop rail and enhanced bus systems, improve roads and signalization, and develop safe sidewalks and bicycle pathways, among other needs.

The proceeds of the second half-cent surtax would be used by Broward’s municipalities. The City of North Lauderdale, being more than 50 years old, has started to show the signs of deteriorating aging infrastructure that would need to be replaced or repaired soon. This means that the City would be in a dire need of some stable revenue stream in the future to take care of these infrastructure and other capital improvement needs in the years to come. In absence of the revenue generated through this surtax option, the City will have no choice but to raise taxes and impose assessments to generate revenues needed for these projects. In North Lauderdale surtax funds, if approved by the voters, will be used for the following projects:

- Reconstruction and Repaving of roads in North Lauderdale
- Lining of all clay underground sewer lines to eliminate ground water infiltration as part of the roadway improvements
- Improvements to public buildings and facilities such as Fire Stations, Police Station, Teen/Recreation Center, City Hall, Champions Hall, the City Park System, and the construction of new Public Buildings and Facilities
- Replace field lights at Sports Complex and Highland Park, Pompano Park, Landings Park, Broadview Park Tennis and Basketball Courts
- City Wide repair of damaged sidewalks
- Installation of new sidewalks in areas with no sidewalks
- Purchase of New Fire/Rescue Vehicles and Equipment
For either of the half-cent surtaxes to go into effect, the voters of Broward County would need to approve both half-cent surtaxes.

If both half-cent surtaxes are approved, prior to any expenditure of the proceeds of the surtax an independent Oversight Board must review and approve each project to assure that expenditures comply with Florida Statutes and the ballot language.

While the voters will have the final say in November on the Surtax Issue, we need to be prepared to proceed. One of the requirements from the inter-local agreement between Broward County and the municipalities is that each City Commission approves the project list set for their respective Cities.

Tonight we are seeking the Commission’s input and approval on the projects planned for the surtax revenue.

**Motion**
The City Administration recommends Commission’s motion to approve the Penny Surtax project list as outlined by Staff.
TO: Mayor and City Commission

FROM: Ambreen Bhattty, City Manager

BY: Tammy L. Reed-Holguin, Community Development Director
    Mike Sargis, Parks and Recreation Director

DATE: September 14, 2016

SUBJECT: BROADVIEW/POMPANO PARK 19th ANNUAL COMMUNITY BAZAAR

APPLICANT: Broadview/Pompano Park Civic Association

The Broadview/Pompano Park Civic Association is requesting permission to hold their annual bazaar featuring rides, exhibits and a food drive, on Saturday, December 3, 2016, from 2 P.M. to 9:00 P.M., in Broadview/Pompano Park Community Park located at 4100 Bailey Road in North Lauderdale. Specific details of the proposed event are set forth in Attachment A, which is made a part of this report.

The carnival will include the following attractions: various rides, bounce house, food trucks, and wrestling show. The city will require submission of the State rides inspection report if three or more mechanical rides are proposed. Food items will also be available for sale at the event. The Association has agreed to abide by all applicable health and fire codes, with regards to the cooking tent. A movie in the park will be the finale similar to last year’s event.

As in the past years, the Association has invited the City to display information at a booth. City budget constraints mandate that City’s involvement be minimal. The City continues to be a co-sponsor of the event and will waive all associated fees, including use of City equipment and permitting. The park will be closed on Sunday to reduce overtime costs of staff. The stage and other event items will be left in the park after the event and removed on Monday.

At this time, the applicant is confident that all permits/items necessary to conduct a safe and successful special event can be secured in a timely manner. City Commission approval will be subject to compliance with all City and County codes, including the conditions associated with the application, such as submission of an indemnity agreement and obtaining certificates of insurance.

The applicant understands that under no circumstances would the City permit the event to proceed unless and until all applicable codes are met. These codes must be met prior to the event’s opening and shall be continually adhered to while the event is open to the public.
This request has been reviewed by Parks and Recreation, Community Development, Fire Department and BSO. The applicant is continuing to coordinate with each department.

**RECOMMENDATION**

Based on the foregoing, it is recommended that the City Commission approve a motion authorizing this event subject to the following conditions:

1. Submission of State rides inspection report for mechanical rides if three or more such rides are being proposed for use at the bazaar.
2. City inspectors shall be present if/when the state inspector conducts ride inspections.
3. Applicant will apply for and secure all applicable permits.
4. Conformance with all Public Safety and Fire Rescue requirements.
5. Fully executed indemnification/hold harmless agreement.
6. Adequate liability insurance of $1,000,000/$3,000,000 for the amusement ride company naming the City of North Lauderdale as an additional insured.
7. That all other applicable codes of the City regarding special events shall be adhered to and the terms, conditions and provisions imposed by the City Commission and Staff shall be met.

If the Commission concurs with this request, a motion is in order to approve the following motion:

**MOTION:**

To approve “The Broadview/Pompano Park 19th Annual Community Bazaar”, to be held on Saturday, December 3, 2015, from 2 P.M. to 9:00 P.M. at the Broadview/Pompano Park Community Park subject to the conditions listed in Staff’s memorandum.
Broadview/Pompano Park Civic Association

July 17, 2016

Ambreen Bhatti, City Manager
City of North Lauderdale
701 SW 71 Avenue
North Lauderdale, FL 33068

Subject: 19th Annual Community Bazaar Request

Dear Ms. Bhatti,

The Broadview/Pompano Park Civic Association is holding its 19th Annual Community Bazaar on Saturday, December 3, 2016. The Bazaar will be held at the Pompano Park Community Park, which is located at 4100 Bailey Road in North Lauderdale. The Bazaar will be in operation from 2pm to 9pm. Again this year we will work with the Parks and Recreation Department to show a free movie after the free Wrestling event. We would like to extend an open invitation to you, your staff, the mayor, city commissioners, and the other city officials and employees to attend. We also want to thank you and the various departments within the City of North Lauderdale for all of the previous assistance they have provided our Association at our Annual Bazaar events.

We also welcome the City of North Lauderdale to bring any flyers that they would like to have for the residents to pick up. We will provide a table for the set up and distribution of flyers promoting any city events or for public information.

The Officers, Board of Directors and members of our Civic Association look forward to having the City of North Lauderdale participate in our Community Bazaar, to help make it truly a community event. We are planning to have a variety of rides and bounce houses again this year, all of which are very popular with the children. We hope to have some food trucks on site to supplement the traditional hot dogs that are sold each year. This year we will be selling arm bands for the rides for $10.00 or individual rides will again be $.50 cents each. We will also be collecting can foods again this year in conjunction with the Parks and Recreation Department for a community food drive. This should be a very nice Bazaar event.

We will also be contacting BSO Chief Brian Paer, Parks and Recreation Director Mike Sargs, and Fire Chief Rodney Tulpel, for the participation of their departments in our Bazaar, with the variety of services that they have provided in the past. Should you have any questions or need any additional information, please do not hesitate to contact me at 954-974-7331 (home) or 954-881-3666 (cell) or stop me in City Hall. Please mark your calendar now.

Sincerely,

Jerry Chudnow
President
Broadview/Pompano Park Civic Association

1560 SW 63 Avenue North Lauderdale, FL 33068
TO: Mayor and City Commission

FROM: Ambreen Bhatti, City Manager
       Samuel S. Goren, City Attorney

BY: Tammy Reed-Holguin, Community Development Director

DATE: September 14, 2016

SUBJECT: City of North Lauderdale (“City”)/Residential Construction Mitigation Program – Request for Legal Opinion – Gregory J. Bill Disclosure of No Conflict

City Administration has requested a determination of no conflict of interest from the City Attorney for Gregory J. Bill, who is employed by the City as a Canal Maintenance Worker, with respect to his application for funding through the City’s Residential Construction Mitigation Program (the “Program”). Typically the City Attorney’s Office provides a conflict of interest disclosure memorandum in association with the use of funds from the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (“HUD”). In this instance, the funds are provided by the State of Florida Division of Emergency Management, and would not require a conflict of interest disclosure memorandum. However, while the funds are not provided by HUD, in the interest of full disclosure, the City Attorney’s Office is providing the attached written legal opinion stating that Mr. Bill’s receipt of funds through the program does not violate any state or local law, and therefore, the funding agency may grant the exception. There is no maximum award amount for the RCMP grant. The award is determined by the scope of work and through a formal bid process. Historically, depending on the scope, grants have not exceeded $25,000.

RECOMMENDATION:

The City Administration recommends Commission’s concurrence with the City Attorney’s written opinion of disclosure for which the exception is sought and the award of program funds to Mr. Bill does not violate federal, state or local law.
CITY OF NORTH LAUDERDALE

INTER-OFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

MEMORANDUM NO. 2016-075

TO: 
Ambreen Bhat, City Manager  
Tammy Reed-Holguin, Director of Community Development  
Sandy Lila, Community Development Department

FROM: 
Samuel S. Goren, City Attorney  
David N. Tolies, Assistant City Attorney

DATE: 
August 10, 2016

RE:  
City of North Lauderdale ("City") / Residential Construction Mitigation Program – Request for Legal Opinion – Gregory J. Bill

Pursuant to your request, we have reviewed the application for Gregory J. Bill, who is employed by the City as a Canal Maintenance Worker, with respect to his application for funding through the City’s Residential Construction Mitigation Program (the “Program”). Typically the City Attorney’s Office provides a conflict of interest disclosure memorandum in association with the use of funds from the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development ("HUD"). In this instance, the funds are provided by the State of Florida Division of Emergency Management, and would not require a conflict of interest disclosure memorandum. However, while the funds are not provided by HUD, in the interest of full disclosure, the City Attorney’s Office is providing this written opinion that the grant to Mr. Bill does not violate state or local law.

Florida’s Code of Ethics for public officials and public employees is contained in Section 112.313, Florida Statutes. With respect to Mr. Bill’s application to receive funds through the Program, specifically, one must review Section 112.313(3), Florida Statutes, which provides as follows:

(3) DOING BUSINESS WITH ONE’S AGENCY.—No employee of an agency acting in his or her official capacity as a purchasing agent, or public officer acting in his or her official capacity, shall either directly or indirectly purchase, rent, or lease any realty, goods, or services for his or her own agency from any business entity of which the officer or employee or the officer’s or employee’s spouse or child is an officer, partner, director, or proprietor or in which such officer or employee or the officer’s or employee’s spouse or child, or any combination of them, has a material interest. Nor shall a public officer or employee, acting in a private capacity, rent, lease, or sell any realty, goods, or services to the officer’s or employee’s own agency, if he or she is a state officer or employee, or to any political subdivision or any agency thereof, if he or she is serving as an officer or employee of that political subdivision. The foregoing shall not apply to district
offices maintained by legislators when such offices are located in the legislator's place of business or when such offices are on property wholly or partially owned by the legislator. This subsection shall not affect or be construed to prohibit contracts entered into prior to:
(a) October 1, 1975.
(b) Qualification for elective office.
(c) Appointment to public office.
(d) Beginning public employment.

As defined in Section 112.313(1), Fla. Stat., Mr. Bill was not a "public officer" at the time Mr. Bill applied for assistance from the City. Mr. Bill is not purchasing goods for the City from any business of which he has any type of relationship. Finally, Mr. Bill is not providing any goods or services to the City. Therefore, the above-referenced statutory provision would not act to prohibit Mr. Bill's receipt of Program funds.

In addition, we reviewed Section 112.313(6), which provides in part, as follows:

(6) MISUSE OF PUBLIC POSITION.—No public officer, employee of an agency, or local government attorney shall corruptly use or attempt to use his or her official position or any property or resource which may be within his or her trust, or perform his or her official duties, to secure a special privilege, benefit, or exemption for himself, herself, or others.

Based upon our review of the facts related to the consideration of Mr. Bill's application for Program funds, there does not appear to be any corrupt intent on the part of Mr. Bill to use or attempt to use his position of Canal Maintenance Worker to obtain any special benefit or exemption for himself, or others. Therefore, the award of funds to Mr. Bill would not violate the relevant provisions of Section 112.313(6), Florida Statutes.

Our office also reviewed relevant City ordinance provisions which apply to City employees, and we find that Mr. Bill's participation in the Program does not violate the City's Conflict of Interest Ordinance. Section 9.4, Conflict of interest; standards of conduct, applies to all City officers and employees, and provides as follows:

Sec. 9.4 Conflict of interest; standards of conduct.

(a) Any City officer or employee who has a financial interest, direct or indirect, in any contract or transaction between the City and any person, firm, corporation, association, or other entity with whom the City officer or employee has a financial interest, shall make known that interest and shall refrain from voting or otherwise participating in any decision affecting the negotiations or consummation of the contract or transaction as aforementioned. Any City officer or employee who willfully conceals such a financial interest or willfully violates the requirements of that section shall be guilty of malfeasance in office or employment and shall forfeit his or her office or employment. Violation of this section with the knowledge expressed or implied of the person, firm, corporation, association or other entity contracting with or transacting business with the City shall render the contract voidable at the election of the City.
(b) All elected officials and employees of the City shall be subject to the standards of conduct for public officers and employees set by general law. The provisions of F.S. Ch. 112, part 3, are hereby adopted as applicable to all officers, employees, officials and/or appointees of the City. In addition, the Council may, by ordinance, establish a code of ethics for officials and employees of the City which may be supplemental to general law but in no case may an ordinance diminish the provisions of general law.

The provisions of Section 9.4 would not apply to Mr. Bill's receipt of Program funds because he is not receiving the Program funds in connection with his employment as a Canal Maintenance Worker, and Mr. Bill has had no involvement in the City's transaction with the Program providers.

The Broward County Code of Ethics, Section 1-19 of the Broward County Code applies to "Elected Officials." As Mr. Bill is not an elected official, the provisions of Section 1-19 of the Broward County Code would not apply to his receipt of Program funds.

In addition, Section 46-10, of the City's Code of Ordinances provides as follows:

Sec. 46-10. Ethical standards.

All city employees are required to maintain the highest ethical standards in the conduct of their position or office. In order to fulfill this requirement, the following points are made:

(1) There shall be no activity which is in conflict with the interest of the employee's official duties.
(2) City employees cannot use their position with the city for private interest.
(3) No employee shall directly or indirectly accept any gift, favor or service in whatever form under circumstances from which it could reasonably be inferred that the gift was intended to influence him, or reasonably be expected to influence him, in the performance of his official duty or was intended as a reward for any official act on his part.

This Section would prohibit a City employee from receiving any gift or compensation for any service or assistance related to performing their job duties and responsibilities. As Mr. Bill is not receiving the Program funds in consideration of performing any job duties or responsibilities, Section 46-10 would not apply to Ms. Bill's receipt of Program funds.

If you require any further assistance, please do not hesitate to contact our office.

SSG:DNT:dnt
TO: Mayor Jack Brady  
Members of the City Commission  
CC: Ambreen Bhatti, City Manager  
Susan Nabors, Finance Director  
FROM: Samuel S. Goren, City Attorney SSG  
Michael D. Cirullo, Jr., Assistant City Attorney MDC  
DATE: September 14, 2016  
RE: City of North Lauderdale (“City”) v. Resource Recovery Board/Broward County  
Amendment to Settlement Agreement

Recall that the City Commission authorized the City to join in litigation, along with several other municipalities, against Broward County relating to the final distribution of assets from the Resource Recovery Board (RRB). The City had been a party to an Interlocal Agreement which created the Broward County Solid Waste Disposal District, and which was managed by the RRB. The Interlocal Agreement expired in June, 2013.

In April, 2015, the County and the RRB cities that participated in the litigation entered into a Settlement Agreement, which provided among other terms for the distribution of RRB assets to the participating cities as agreed upon by the parties, in two (2) parts:

1. Distribution of Cash: The RRB had cash assets upon its termination. The parties agreed to a distribution of $32,000,000.00 from the cash assets of the RRB, based upon tonnage delivered by the entities in 2012. Last year, the City of North Lauderdale received $794,199.89 as its share of the cash distribution.

2. Sale of the Alpha 250 Property: The Alpha 250 Property, located on Copans Road just east of the Turnpike, is to be sold by the County. It was expected to sell for approximately $6,000,000.00, and the sale proceeds would then be distributed to the RRB members based upon 2012 tonnage, the same as the cash distribution. It is estimated that the City of North Lauderdale would receive approximately $150,000 from the sale of the Alpha 250 Property for $6 million.

Since the approval of the Settlement Agreement, subsequent acquisitions and actions by the private sector led to concerns regarding the future of solid waste disposal in Broward County and the impact that may result were a monopoly to exist. As we advised you in April, through
Memorandum 2016-042, the cities were approached several weeks ago by County Commissioner Beam Furr to consider an alternative to selling the Alpha 250 site that would instead call for the property to not be sold, and to be used for future solid waste or recycling purposes. These discussions continued, and Broward County approved a First Amendment to the Settlement Agreement, which provides for delay of the Alpha 250 site for one year, with the potential to extend for one additional year, during which time the County will procure a study in an amount not to exceed $200,000 to determine feasibility of retention of the site along with solid waste disposal issues. A detailed scope for the study would be developed by a work group consisting of 3 municipal and 3 county staff members. The County would facilitate the procurement process, and a municipal representative would be included on the selection panel.

Pursuant to the First Amendment, Broward County is to pay the up-front cost of the referenced study, not to exceed $200,000. Should the parcel be sold, or the County elects to purchase the parcel within the 5 year period following completion of the study, the County would recover 50% of the cost of the study at point of sale or purchase, prior to distribution of the net proceeds as outlined in the settlement agreement.

In order to be effective, the First Amendment must be approved by all municipalities that were a party to the Settlement Agreement, as well as all municipalities that were not in the law suit but entered into a direct agreement with the County to participate in the settlement. To date, several municipalities have approved the First Amendment. A table reflecting the approvals as of August 15, 2016, is attached.

The City Commission is requested to consider adoption of a resolution approving the First Amendment to the Settlement Agreement.

Enclosure

SSG:MDC
RESOLUTION NO. _________________________

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF NORTH LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA, APPROVING THE FIRST AMENDMENT TO THE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT WITH BROWARD COUNTY FOR THE LITIGATION, STYLED CITY OF SUNRISE ET. AL. V BROWARD COUNTY, AND AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTION THEREOF; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY; PROVIDING FOR CONFLICTS; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, Broward County (the “County”) and several Broward municipalities entered into a Settlement Agreement to settle the litigation styled City of Sunrise et. al. v Broward County, 17th Judicial Circuit Court Case No. CACE-013-015660 (the municipalities defined in the Settlement Agreement as the Settling Municipalities shall be collectively referred to herein as the “Settling Municipalities”); and

WHEREAS, the Settlement Agreement provides for the County and the Settling Municipalities to agree to use their best efforts (and to take all reasonable steps) to sell the parcel of land known as Alpha 250, as further described in the Settlement Agreement (“Alpha 250”); and

WHEREAS, the County and the Settling Municipalities desire to amend the Settlement Agreement to delay the sale of Alpha 250 while a joint independent study is performed regarding the following issues:

(i) how a 75% County-wide recycling goal may be reached;
(ii) whether retaining public ownership of Alpha 250 would facilitate the meeting of that recycling goal or would provide other benefits in connection with solid waste disposal within Broward County; and
(iii) general solid waste disposal issues as determined by the Working Group (as defined in the First Amendment), which may include options regarding flow control and potential governance or contractual structures for collaborative management of solid waste disposal.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF NORTH LAUDERDALE, THAT:

Section 1. Legislative Findings/Recitals. The above recitals are hereby adopted by the City Commission of the City North Lauderdale as its legislative findings relative to the subjects and matters set forth in this Resolution.

Section 2. Approval of First Amendment to the Settlement Agreement. The First Amendment to Settlement Agreement, attached as Exhibit “A”, is hereby approved.

Section 3. Authorization to Execute First Amendment. The appropriate City officials are authorized to execute the First Amendment to Settlement Agreement, attached as
Exhibit “A”, together with such non-substantive changes as are acceptable to the City Manager and approved as to form and legal sufficiency by the City Attorney.

**Section 4. Implementing Actions.** The City Manager and the City Attorney are hereby authorized to take any actions necessary to implement the aims of this Resolution.

**Section 5. Severability.** If any provision of this Resolution or the application thereof to any person or circumstance is held invalid, it is the intent of the City Commission that such invalidity shall not affect other provisions or applications of the Resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provision or application and, to this end, the provisions of this Resolution are declared severable.

**Section 6. Conflicts.** All resolutions or parts of resolutions in conflict with any of the provisions of this Resolution are hereby repealed.

**Section 7. Effective Date.** This Resolution shall take effect immediately upon adoption.

PASSED, ADOPTED AND APPROVED THIS _____ DAY OF ________________, 2016.

___________________________________
MAYOR JACK BRADY

___________________________________
VICE MAYOR DAVID G. HILTON

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

_____________________________
SAMUEL S. GOREN, ESQ.
CITY ATTORNEY

ATTEST:

_____________________________
PATRICIA VANCHERI, CITY CLERK
FIRST AMENDMENT TO SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

THIS FIRST AMENDMENT (the "Amendment") to the Settlement Agreement (the "Settlement Agreement") by and between Broward County, Florida, a political subdivision of the State of Florida, (the "County") and the City of Sunrise, the City of Weston, the City of Hollywood, the City of Fort Lauderdale, the City of Lauderdale, the Town of Lauderdale-By-The-Sea, the City of Lighthouse Point, the City of Tamarac, the Town of Davie, the City of Plantation, the City of Coconut Creek, the City of Deerfield Beach, the City of Miramar, the City of Margate, the City of Cooper City, the City of North Lauderdale, the City of Coral Springs, the Town of Southwest Ranches, the City of Wilton Manors, the Town of Hillsboro Beach, and the Village of Sea Ranch Lakes, all political subdivisions of the State of Florida, (individually, each is a "Settling Municipality"; collectively, the "Settling Municipalities") is made and entered into as of the Amendment Effective Date (as defined below).

RECITALS

A. The County and the Settling Municipalities entered into a Settlement Agreement to settle the litigation styled City of Sunrise, et al. v Broward County, 17th Judicial Circuit Court Case No. CACE-013-015660.

B. The Settlement Agreement provides for the County and the Settling Municipalities to agree to use their best efforts (and to take all reasonable steps) to sell the parcel of land known as Alpha 250, as further described in the Settlement Agreement ("Alpha 250").

C. The County and the Settling Municipalities desire to amend the Settlement Agreement to delay the sale of Alpha 250 under the terms and conditions stated herein.

D. The City of Lauderdale Lakes, the City of West Park, the City of Oakland Park, and the Town of Pembroke Park, all political subdivisions of the State of Florida (Individually, each is a "Consenting Municipality"; collectively, the "Consenting Municipalities"), did not join the Settlement Agreement but instead entered into Interlocal Agreements Regarding Distribution of Solid Waste Disposal District Assets with the County pursuant to which they have received and will receive their respective pro rata shares of proceeds distributed under the terms of the Settlement Agreement, including their respective shares from any sale of Alpha 250.

NOW, THEREFORE, for good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged, the parties agree as follows:

1. The above-stated recitals are true and correct and are incorporated into this Amendment by this reference.

2. Notwithstanding any provision to the contrary in the Settlement Agreement, the County and the Settling Municipalities agree that the sale of Alpha 250 shall be delayed for a period of one year from the Amendment Effective Date (the "Sale Delay Period"). The Sale Delay Period may be further extended for an additional period of up to one year upon written approval of the
County Administrator and the Mayors of Coconut Creek, Fort Lauderdale, Hollywood, Miramar, Sunrise, and Weston (collectively, the “Mayors”).

3. During the Sale Delay Period, the County shall procure a study (the “Study”) in an amount not to exceed two hundred thousand dollars ($200,000), which shall be paid for as described in paragraph 7 below. The Study shall evaluate and provide recommendations regarding the following general areas:
   a. How a 75% County-wide recycling goal may be reached;
   b. Whether retaining public ownership of Alpha 250 would facilitate the meeting of that recycling goal or would provide other benefits in connection with solid waste disposal within Broward County; and
   c. General solid waste disposal issues as determined by the Working Group (as defined below), which may include options regarding flow control and potential governance or contractual structures for collaborative management of solid waste disposal.

4. A more detailed scope of the Study will be developed within the above-referenced budgeted amount by a working group (the “Working Group”) consisting of:
   a. Three (3) municipal staff members selected by the Mayors; and
   b. Three (3) County staff members selected by the County Administrator.

5. The Working Group and its individual members shall also interact with the consultant during the course of the Study to ensure a balanced and independent study of the stated issues.

6. The Study consultant shall be selected in a manner consistent with the County's Procurement Code. The Mayors, directly and through their Working Group members, shall have input into this procurement process, and shall appoint one person to serve on the County’s committee that will evaluate the responses submitted by interested consultants.

7. The County shall pay the up-front cost of the Study, and shall recover fifty percent (50%) of the cost of the Study (the "Municipal Share") as follows if either of the following circumstances occur: (a) if Alpha 250 is sold to a third party with the closing of the sale occurring within five (5) years after the Study completion date, the County shall deduct the Municipal Share from the Alpha 250 sales proceeds before the County deposits the net proceeds of the sale into the trust account described in Section 4D of the Settlement Agreement; or (b) if the County, within five (5) years after the Study completion date, exercises its right under the Settlement Agreement to pay the net sales amount and retain Alpha 250, the County shall deduct the Municipal Share from the net sales amount the County deposits into the trust account described in Section 4D of the Settlement Agreement.

8. Based upon the results of the Study, the parties may agree to further amend the Settlement Agreement.
9. **Amendment Approval Process.**

   a. Once approved by the County Commission, this Amendment shall be presented for approval at public Commission/Council meetings at each of the Settling Municipalities and the Consenting Municipalities (the County shall communicate this requirement to the Consenting Municipalities).

   b. To be effective, this Amendment must, within one hundred twenty (120) days after approval by the County Commission: (1) be approved and executed by all Settling Municipalities, with notice attaching true copies thereof provided to the County; and (2) be consented to by each of the Consenting Municipalities through a separate written instrument between the County (by and through the County Administrator) and each of the Consenting Municipalities in which each of the Consenting Municipalities agrees to the terms of the Amendment generally, and specifically to the payment for the Municipal Share of the Study as described above in paragraph 7.

10. **Effective Date.** The date of the last approval and execution of this Amendment by a Settling Municipality, or the date of the last execution of a written instrument reflecting the consent of a Consenting Municipality, whichever is last, is the “Amendment Effective Date.”

11. Except as otherwise revised in this Amendment, the terms and conditions of the original Settlement Agreement shall remain in full force and effect. The preparation of this Amendment has been a joint effort of the parties hereto and the resulting document shall not, solely as a matter of judicial construction, be construed more severely against any party hereto. In the event a portion of this Amendment is found by a court of competent jurisdiction to be invalid, the remaining provisions shall continue to be effective and the parties agree to negotiate in good faith to modify that portion of this Amendment in a manner designed to effectuate the original intent of the parties. This Amendment may be executed in counterparts.
IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have made and executed this Agreement on the respective dates under each signature: BROWARD COUNTY, signing by and through its Mayor or Vice-Mayor, duly authorized to execute same by Board action on the ____ day of June, 2016, and the SETTLING MUNICIPALITIES, signing by and through their respective Mayors, duly authorized to execute same.

BROWARD COUNTY

ATTEST:

BROWARD COUNTY, by and through its Board of County Commissioners

By: ____________________________
        Mayor

____ day of June, 2016

Approved as to form by
Joni Armstrong Coffey
Broward County Attorney
Governmental Center, Suite 423
115 South Andrews Avenue
Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33301
Telephone: (954) 357-7600
Telecopier: (954) 357-7641

By: ____________________________
        Andrew J. Meyers
        Chief Deputy County Attorney

____ day of June, 2016
[NAME OF MUNICIPALITY]

ATTEST:

__________________________
__________________________, City Clerk

Approved as to form and legality:

By: _________________________
__________________________, City Attorney

______ day of _____________, 2016

By: _________________________
__________________________, Mayor

______ day of _____________, 2016

By: _________________________
__________________________, City Manager

______ day of _____________, 2016
## 2012 Tonnage Schedule

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CITY</th>
<th>FY2012 TONNAGE</th>
<th>% TONS</th>
<th>APPROVAL STATUS</th>
<th>APPROVAL BY TONNAGE %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coconut Creek</td>
<td>31,870.03</td>
<td>3.4187%</td>
<td>APPROVED</td>
<td>3.4187%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooper City</td>
<td>20,927.66</td>
<td>2.2449%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coral Springs</td>
<td>84,043.66</td>
<td>9.0153%</td>
<td>APPROVED</td>
<td>9.0153%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davie</td>
<td>74,625.55</td>
<td>8.0050%</td>
<td>APPROVED</td>
<td>8.0050%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deerfield Beach</td>
<td>50,789.52</td>
<td>5.4482%</td>
<td>APPROVED</td>
<td>5.4482%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Lauderdale</td>
<td>153,135.43</td>
<td>16.4268%</td>
<td>August 16, 2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hillsboro Beach</td>
<td>1,402.61</td>
<td>0.1505%</td>
<td>APPROVED</td>
<td>0.1505%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hollywood</td>
<td>79,751.81</td>
<td>8.5549%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lauderdale-By-The-Sea</td>
<td>7,130.07</td>
<td>0.7648%</td>
<td>APPROVED</td>
<td>0.7648%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lauderdale Lakes</td>
<td>18,848.58</td>
<td>2.0219%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lauderhill</td>
<td>37,278.44</td>
<td>3.9988%</td>
<td>APPROVED</td>
<td>3.9988%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lazy Lake</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.0000%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lighthouse Point</td>
<td>7,741.42</td>
<td>0.8304%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margate</td>
<td>31,122.46</td>
<td>3.3385%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miramar</td>
<td>63,928.20</td>
<td>6.8575%</td>
<td>APPROVED</td>
<td>6.8575%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Lauderdale</td>
<td>23,328.83</td>
<td>2.5025%</td>
<td>August, 2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oakland Park</td>
<td>32,619.62</td>
<td>3.4991%</td>
<td>APPROVED</td>
<td>3.4991%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pembroke Park</td>
<td>4,184.14</td>
<td>0.4488%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plantation</td>
<td>48,241.40</td>
<td>5.1748%</td>
<td>APPROVED</td>
<td>5.1748%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sea Ranch Lakes</td>
<td>502.40</td>
<td>0.0539%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South West Ranches</td>
<td>8,251.03</td>
<td>0.8851%</td>
<td>August 11, 2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunrise</td>
<td>62,516.66</td>
<td>6.7061%</td>
<td>APPROVED</td>
<td>6.7061%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tamarac</td>
<td>28,868.59</td>
<td>3.0967%</td>
<td>APPROVED</td>
<td>3.0967%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unincorporated</td>
<td>11,998.80</td>
<td>1.2871%</td>
<td>APPROVED</td>
<td>1.2871%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Park</td>
<td>5,650.36</td>
<td>0.6061%</td>
<td>August 17, 2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weston</td>
<td>33,132.94</td>
<td>3.5542%</td>
<td>APPROVED</td>
<td>3.5542%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilton Manors</td>
<td>10,340.98</td>
<td>1.1093%</td>
<td>August 23, 2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTALS</strong></td>
<td><strong>932,231.19</strong></td>
<td><strong>99.99990%</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>60.98%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
AGENDA

1. ROLL CALL

Mayor Jack Brady
Vice Mayor David G. Hilton
Commissioner Jerry Graziose
Commissioner Rich Moyle
Commissioner Lorenzo Wood
City Manager Ambreen Bhatti
City Attorney Samuel S. Goren
City Clerk Patricia Vancheri

2. FY 2016/2017 BUDGET MATTERS
NORTH LAUDERDALE WATER CONTROL DISTRICT
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MEETING

WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 14, 2016 – 6:00 pm

AGENDA

1. CALL TO ORDER – Chairman Moyle

2. ROLL CALL
   Chairman Rich Moyle
   Secretary Jack Brady
   Supervisor Jerry Graziose
   Supervisor David G. Hilton
   Supervisor Lorenzo Wood
   Administrator George Krawczyk
   City Attorney Samuel S. Goren
   City Clerk Patricia Vancheri

3. APPROVAL OF MINUTES
   a. June 28, 2016

4. RESOLUTION - Water Management System Assessment Annual Rate Resolution and approval of budget for fiscal year October 1, 2016 through September 30, 2017
   ● Motion, second and vote to read
   ● Attorney reads title
   ● Staff presentation
   ● Motion and second to adopt
   ● Discussion
   ● Vote

A RESOLUTION OF THE NORTH LAUDERDALE WATER CONTROL DISTRICT, RELATING TO THE PROVISION OF WATER CONTROL AND DRAINAGE SERVICES, FACILITIES AND PROGRAMS (THE “WATER MANAGEMENT SYSTEM”) WITHIN THE GEOGRAPHICAL BOUNDARIES OF THE DISTRICT; AMENDING RESOLUTION 2016-06-02 TO AMEND THE TIME OF THE PUBLIC HEARING; ESTABLISHING THE ASSESSMENT RATES FOR WATER MANAGEMENT SYSTEM ASSESSMENTS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR BEGINNING OCTOBER 1, 2016; APPROVING, CONFIRMING AND ADOPTING THE ASSESSMENT ROLL; APPROVING THE DISTRICT BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2016-2017 TO BE ADOPTED AS PART OF THE CITY’S BUDGET BY THE CITY COMMISSION; PROVIDING FOR CONFLICT; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

5. ADJOURNMENT

CONVENE TO REGULAR CITY COMMISSION MEETING
NORTH LAUDERDALE WATER CONTROL DISTRICT
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS ANNUAL MEETING

TUESDAY, JUNE 28, 2016

MINUTES

The North Lauderdale Water Control District met at the Municipal Complex on Tuesday June 28, 2016 immediately following the regular City Commission meeting.

1. CALL TO ORDER – Chairman Moyle called the meeting to order at 7:15 p.m.

2. ROLL CALL – Clerk called roll. All present.
   Chairman Rich Moyle  
   Secretary Jack Brady  
   Supervisor David Hilton  
   Supervisor Jerry Graziose  
   Supervisor Lorenzo Wood  
   Consultant Public Works Director Michael Shields  
   City Attorney Sam Goren  
   City Clerk Patricia Vancheri

3. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

   a. **June 14, 2016** – Secretary Brady moved to approve the Minutes as submitted. Supervisor Lorenzo Wood seconded. Minutes approved unanimously by voice vote.

4. RESOLUTION – Preliminary Water Control District Rate

   Attorney read:

   A RESOLUTION OF THE NORTH LAUDERDALE WATER CONTROL DISTRICT, RELATING TO THE PROVISION OF WATER CONTROL AND DRAINAGE SERVICES, FACILITIES AND PROGRAMS (THE “WATER MANAGEMENT SYSTEM”) WITHIN THE GEOGRAPHICAL BOUNDARIES OF THE DISTRICT; ESTABLISHING THE ESTIMATED ASSESSMENT RATE FOR WATER MANAGEMENT SYSTEM ASSESSMENTS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR BEGINNING OCTOBER 1, 2016; DIRECTING THE PREPARATION OF AN ASSESSMENT ROLL; AUTHORIZING A PUBLIC HEARING AND DIRECTING THE PROVISION OF NOTICE THEREOF; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.
Susan Nabors advised the board we are seeking approval of a resolution to set the assessment rate at 55.15 per unit, which is the same assessment cost for the last eleven years.

Secretary Brady moved to approve the proposed assessment rate. Supervisor Wood seconded the motion. No discussion. All in favor by voice vote.

RESOLUTION NO. 16-06-02 PASSED AND APPROVED UNANIMOUSLY

5. GENERAL DISCUSSION
   a. No general discussion took place.

6. ADJOURNMENT – There being no further business, the meeting adjourned at 7:17 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Patricia Vancheri, City Clerk
Transcribed by Deputy Clerk Elizabeth Garcia-Beckford
INTERDEPARTMENTAL
MEMORANDUM

To: Chairman and Board of Supervisors
North Lauderdale Water Control District

From: Ambreen Bhatty, City Manager

By: George Krawczyk, District Administrator
Susan Nabors, Finance Director

Date: September 14, 2016

Subject: Water Management System Assessment Annual Rate Resolution, and approval of budget

Attached for your consideration and approval is the Annual Rate Resolution for the North Lauderdale Water Control District (District). On June 28, 2016, the District Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution 16-06-02, the Preliminary Resolution.

Background: Chapter 2005-316, Laws of Florida, amended, reenacted, repealed and codified all previous Chapters relating to the District. Chapter 2005-316, Laws of Florida, also revised the District’s boundaries to be the same as the City’s, confirmed the District’s authority to levy non ad-valorem special assessments and provided for several other administrative matters.

Current Operations: The District plans to persist in eliminating evasive species of aquatic growth in the canals and lakes and maintain water flows. The District will continue to work closely with Code Enforcement to address violations of illegal dumping of debris that may have impeded the flow of water without the involvement of the City. The District will also continue to attempt to find a cost effective means to identify canal bank erosion issues.

Rate Analysis: The Administration supports maintaining the prior year assessment rate of $55.15 per unit. This is eleventh consecutive year at this rate. The unit rate provides the necessary funding for the District’s operational costs.

RECOMMENDATION:

The Administration recommends the Board of Supervisors’ consideration and approval of the attached resolution relating to the provision of Water Control and Drainage Services, Facilities and Programs (the “Water Management System”) within the geographical boundaries of the District. The resolution establishes the assessment rate for Water Management System Assessments, confirms and adopts the assessment roll, and approves the budget for the fiscal year October 1, 2016 through September 30, 2017. The budget will be adopted by the City Commission as part of its approval of the entire City budget.
RESOLUTION NO. ______________

A RESOLUTION OF THE NORTH LAUDERDALE WATER CONTROL DISTRICT, RELATING TO THE PROVISION OF WATER CONTROL AND DRAINAGE SERVICES, FACILITIES AND PROGRAMS (THE “WATER MANAGEMENT SYSTEM”) WITHIN THE GEOGRAPHICAL BOUNDARIES OF THE DISTRICT; AMENDING RESOLUTION 2016-06-02 TO AMEND THE TIME OF THE PUBLIC HEARING; ESTABLISHING THE ASSESSMENT RATES FOR WATER MANAGEMENT SYSTEM ASSESSMENTS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR BEGINNING OCTOBER 1, 2016; APPROVING, CONFIRMING AND ADOPTING THE ASSESSMENT ROLL; APPROVING THE DISTRICT BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2016-2017 TO BE ADOPTED AS PART OF THE CITY’S BUDGET BY THE CITY COMMISSION; PROVIDING FOR CONFLICT; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, on June 28, 2016, the Board of Supervisors of the North Lauderdale Water Control District (the “Board”) approved Resolution 16-06-02 (the Preliminary Resolution”), which adopted the Preliminary Assessment Roll, provided for or referenced the findings of special benefit and fair apportionment, and set forth or referenced the methodology used to apportion the Water Management System Assessed Costs; and

WHEREAS, the North Lauderdale Water Control District (the “District”) desires to amend the time of the public hearing referenced in Section 9 and Appendix “A” of Resolution 16-06-02 from 5:01 p.m. to 6:00 p.m. which was inadvertently listed at the inappropriate time; and

WHEREAS, the North Lauderdale Water Control District (the “District”) has held a public hearing on September 14, 2016, to adopt the non-ad valorem special assessment roll for funding a portion of the Water Management System Costs for the provision of water control and drainage services, facilities, and programs within the DISTRICT; and

WHEREAS, the District has provided proper notice of the hearing, through U.S. mail using the annual TRIM Notices, and publication in a newspaper generally circulated within Broward County, Florida, to each person owning property subject to the non-ad valorem special assessment; and

WHEREAS, affected property owners have had the right to file written objections to the non-ad valorem special assessment, and to appear at the public hearing; and
WHEREAS, the District has received the written objections, heard testimony from all interested persons, and considered all objections relating to the non-ad valorem special assessment; and

WHEREAS, the District has equalized or adjusted the non-ad valorem special assessment as dictated by fairness and right; and,

WHEREAS, the 2016-2017 budget estimates for the expenditures of the District including all of its departments, divisions, funds, and offices, have been prepared and submitted to the District.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE DISTRICT:

SECTION 1. RECITALS. The aforementioned WHEREAS clauses are hereby ratified and confirmed as true and correct, and incorporated herein.

SECTION 2. AUTHORITY. This Resolution is adopted pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 2005-316, House Bill 1875 (adopted in 1997), House Bill 1043 (adopted in 2007) (collectively known hereafter as “SPECIAL ACTS”), Chapters 189 and 298, Florida Statutes, and other applicable provisions of law.

SECTION 3. PUBLIC HEARING TIME. Resolution 2016-06-02 is amended to amend section 9 and Appendix “A” to provide that the time of the public hearing on September 14, 2016, is 6:00 p.m., which time has been properly noticed through the annual TRIM Notices and published notice by the City.

SECTION 4. PURPOSE AND DEFINITIONS. This Resolution constitutes the Assessment Resolution which imposes the annual special assessments, as authorized in the SPECIAL ACTS and Chapter 189, Florida Statutes; adopts and approves the Assessment Roll; directs the re-imposition of Water Management System Assessments for the Fiscal Year beginning October 1, 2016, and approves the budget for Fiscal Year 2016-2017. All capitalized words and terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings set forth in the SPECIAL ACTS, and Chapters 189 and 197, Florida Statutes. Unless the context indicates otherwise, words imparting the singular number, include the plural number, and vice versa.
SECTION 5. PUBLIC PURPOSE. It is necessary, serves a public purpose consistent with the purposes of the District, and is in the best interests of the District to levy the non-ad valorem special assessment to fund a portion of the District’s Water Management System Costs for the provision of water control and drainage services, facilities, and programs.

SECTION 6. LEVY OF ASSESSMENT. The North Lauderdale Water Control District shall levy the non-ad valorem special assessment to fund a portion of the Water Management System Costs for the provision of water control and drainage services, facilities, and programs.

SECTION 7. APPROVAL OF METHOD OF COMPUTING ASSESSMENT. The method for computing the Water Management System Special Assessment provided for or referenced within the Preliminary Resolution is hereby approved, as supplemented and modified herein.

SECTION 8. FINDINGS OF SPECIAL BENEFIT AND FAIR APPORTIONMENT. The findings set forth or referenced within the Preliminary Resolution as to special benefit to Assessed Properties, and the fairness and reasonableness of the methodology for the Assessment, are hereby confirmed and ratified, and fully incorporated herein.

SECTION 9. ASSESSMENT AMOUNT. The Water Management System Assessed Costs to be assessed and apportioned among benefited parcels pursuant to the Cost Apportionment shall be $687,040. The Assessable Unit Apportionment for the Fiscal Year commencing October 1, 2016, is $55.15 per unit. The approval of the Estimated Water Management System Rate Schedule by the adoption of this Final Assessment Resolution determines the amount of the Water Management System Assessed Costs. The remainder of the Fiscal Year budget for water control and drainage services, facilities, and programs shall be funded from available DISTRICT revenue other than Water Management System Assessment proceeds.

SECTION 10. LEVY AND IMPOSITION OF SPECIAL ASSESSMENT. The above rates are hereby approved, and the Water Management System Assessments set forth herein are hereby levied and imposed on all assessed parcels of Assessed Property described in the Assessment Roll. Interim Water Management System Assessments are also levied and imposed against all property for which a Certificate of Occupancy is issued after adoption of this Resolution based upon the rates of assessment approved herein.
SECTION 11. CONFIRMATION OF ASSESSMENT ROLL / LIENS. The Assessment Roll shall be filed with the District’s Clerk, through the Office of the City Clerk of the City of North Lauderdale, and such assessments shall stand confirmed. All Water Management System Assessments shall constitute legal, valid, and binding first liens, unless otherwise provided by law, upon property against which such assessments are made until paid.

SECTION 12. CERTIFICATION OF ASSESSMENT ROLL. The Assessment Roll, as adopted and approved herein, shall be certified by the Assessment Coordinator and delivered to the Broward County Finance and Administrative Services Department, which pursuant to the Broward County Charter performs all functions and duties of the office of tax collector.

SECTION 13. FINAL ADJUDICATION OF ISSUES. The adoption of this Resolution shall be the final adjudication of any and all issues relating to the District’s Water Management System Special Assessment (including, but not limited to, the determinations of special benefit, the methods of apportionment and the assessment rates) unless proper steps are initiated in a court of competent jurisdiction within twenty (20) days of the adoption of this Assessment Resolution.

SECTION 14. APPROVAL OF BUDGET. The budget estimates, a copy of which are attached hereto and expressly made a part hereof as Exhibit “A,” are hereby approved as the 2016-2017 Fiscal Year Budget and shall adopted as part of the City’s budget by the City Commission at which time it will be in full force and effect for the fiscal year of the District, commencing on October 1, 2016, and terminating on September 30, 2017. From time-to-time, the District may transfer from one fund, account, or department to another as the necessity for the same may occur without being required to amend the terms and provisions of this Resolution. The provisions of this Resolution shall not be deemed to be a limitation of the power granted to the District by applicable law and which relate to the fiscal management of the District’s funds.

SECTION 15. CONFLICT. All resolutions or parts of resolutions in conflict herewith are hereby repealed to the extent of such conflict.

SECTION 16. SEVERABILITY. If any clause, section, other part or application of this Resolution is held by any court of competent jurisdiction to be unconstitutional or invalid, in part or application, it shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions or applications of this Resolution.
SECTION 17. EFFECTIVE DATE. This Resolution shall become effective immediately upon its passage and adoption.

PASSED, ADOPTED, AND APPROVED THIS 14th DAY OF SEPTEMBER, 2016.

____________________________________
DISTRICT CHAIRPERSON

____________________________________
DISTRICT SECRETARY

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

____________________________________
SAMUEL S. GOREN
DISTRICT ATTORNEY

ATTEST:

____________________________________
CLERK TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Appendix A

North Lauderdale Water Control District Special Revenue Fund Schedule of Revenue and Expenditures
City of North Lauderdale
Budget for Fiscal Year 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY 2013 Actual</th>
<th>FY 2014 Actual</th>
<th>FY 2015 Actual</th>
<th>FY 2016 Budget</th>
<th>FY 2017 Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North Lauderdale Water Control District Special Revenue Fund (131)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance Assessment (per unit):</td>
<td>$55.15</td>
<td>$55.15</td>
<td>$55.15</td>
<td>$55.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenue:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Assessments</td>
<td>$617,906</td>
<td>$616,589</td>
<td>$617,068</td>
<td>$610,815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Assessments-WCD Brookside</td>
<td>3,177</td>
<td>3,210</td>
<td>3,177</td>
<td>3,177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest Earnings</td>
<td>1,521</td>
<td>821</td>
<td>1,435</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous Revenue-Other</td>
<td>2,111</td>
<td>9,089</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfer In-General Fund for Exempt Properties</td>
<td>50,242</td>
<td>51,896</td>
<td>65,518</td>
<td>62,739</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of Fund Balance or Net Position</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3,396</td>
<td>9,140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total revenue</td>
<td>$674,957</td>
<td>$681,605</td>
<td>$687,238</td>
<td>$680,127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenditures:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal Maintenance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative Costs</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$59,784</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal Maintenance</td>
<td>$540,296</td>
<td>$540,298</td>
<td>$531,464</td>
<td>$607,543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessment Comm Fees</td>
<td>12,358</td>
<td>12,332</td>
<td>12,341</td>
<td>12,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cap Outlay-Machinery/Equip</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24,099</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total expenditures</td>
<td>$552,654</td>
<td>$552,630</td>
<td>$567,904</td>
<td>$680,127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in Fund Balance</td>
<td>$122,303</td>
<td>$128,975</td>
<td>$119,334</td>
<td>$(3,396)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fund balance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beginning balance</td>
<td>$770,082</td>
<td>$892,385</td>
<td>$1,021,360</td>
<td>$1,140,694</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ending balance</td>
<td>$892,385</td>
<td>$1,021,360</td>
<td>$1,140,694</td>
<td>$1,137,298</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

North Lauderdale Water Control District Assessment

Assessable number of units | 11,551
Assessment rate | $55.15
Gross assessments | $637,038
Less 4% discount | 4%
Net assessments - Revenue | $611,556
Exempt properties assessable number of units | 1,186
Assessment rate | $55.15
Gross exempt properties | $65,408
Less 4% discount | 4%
Exempt properties - Transfer from General Fund | $62,792